

# Third Quarter FY 2009-10 Corridor Mobility Improvement (CMIA) Bond Program Report

Quarterly Report to the California Transportation Commission




# **CMIA Program Status**


#### Third Quarter FY 2009-10

In the CMIA bond program budget, \$4.108 billion is to be allocated for construction. There is also \$90 million set aside for bond administrative costs and an addition \$10 million that has not been committed. The balance of \$292 million is for non-construction funded project components including right of way capital and engineering support costs. To date, \$2.254 billion has been allocated. The total of \$2.246 billion committed to date utilizes 50 percent of the available program funds.

#### CMIA Bond Construction Allocations (millions)



#### **Projects by Phase**



#### **CMIA Bond Funds Committed (millions)**

Component	Available	Allocated	Percent
Construction	\$ 4,108.0	\$ 2,128.0	52%
Non-Construction			
RW Capital	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.5	100%
Support	\$ 291.5	\$ 125.1	43%
Subtotal	\$ 292.0	\$ 125.6	43%
Not Committed	\$ 10.0		
<b>Bond Administration</b>	\$ 90.0		
Program Total	\$ 4,500.0	\$ 2,253.6	50%

#### **Completed Phases**

Phase	Project	Percent
Environmental	52	96%
Design	34	63%
Bid Phase	25	46%
Construction	2	4%

# CMIA Program Progress Report

Third Ouarter FY 2009-10

This report reflects the program delivery status of Corridor Mobility Improvement Account (CMIA) Program bond funds for the 54 projects adopted on March 15, 2007 by the California Transportation Commission. The projects adopted into the program have an overall value of \$9.2 billion including CMIA bond funds for \$4.3 billion.

_								
				Ð	et	Schedule		
				Scope	Budget	che		
#	Со	Rte	Project		ā	Ň	Phase	%
1	Ala	580	EB I-580 EB HOV Hacienda to Greenville	☑	•	<u> </u>	Construction	40
2	Ala	580	I-580 WB HOV Ln Greenville to Foothill	Ø	☑	◩	Design	50
3	Ala	580	I-580 / Isabel IC	Ø	Ø	Ø	Construction	50
<i>4</i> 5	Ala Ala	880 24	I-880 SB HOV Lane Extension Rte 24 Caldecott Tunnel Fourth Bore	Ø	Ø	Ø	Environmental Construction	96 7
6	Cal	4	SR4 Angels Camp Bypass		◩	◩	Closeout	′
7	CC	4	SR4 E Widening fr Somersville to SR 160	Ø	Ø	Ø	Design	85
8	Ala	80	I-80 Integrated Corridor Mobility Project	X	☑	X	Environmental	75
9	ED	50	US 50 HOV Lanes – Phase 1	☑	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	abla	Construction	20
10	Ker	46	Route 46 Expressway – Segment 3	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Design	90
11	Kin	198	Route 198 Expressway	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Construction	5
12	LA	405	I-405 Carpool Ln I-10 to US101 (NB)	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	$   \overline{\mathbf{A}} $	abla	Design-Build	5
13	LA	5	I-5 Carpool Ln fr Rte 134 to Rte 170		☑	•	Advertised	
14	LA	5	I-5 Carpool Ln & Mixed Flow Ln	Ø	☑	X	Design	75
15 16	Mrn Mrn	101 580	Hwy 101 Mrn-Son Narrows Project	Q Q	<u>◆</u>	Ø	Design	85 12
17	Mon	1	WB I-580 to NB 101 Connector Impvmts Salinas Road Interchange		☑		Construction Construction	12 7
18	Nap	12	SR12 Jameson Canyon – Phase 1	Ø	Ø	<u>•</u>	Design	96
19	Nev	49	Rte 49 La Barr Meadows Widening	Ø	☑	Ø	Advertised	30
20	Ora	91	SR 91 EB Ln – Rte 241 to Rte 71	Ø	◩	Ø	Construction	5
21	Ora	22	SR 22/I-405/I-605 HOV Conn w ITS	Ø	◩	Ø	Design	100
22	Ora	91	Widen EB/WB SR91 E 55 Conn E Weir	☑	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	$   \overline{\mathbf{A}} $	Design	50
23	Ora	57	Widen NB S Katella to N Lincoln Ave	abla	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Design	85
24	Ora	57	Widen NB N SR91 to N Lambert Rd	abla	$\checkmark$	abla	Design	97
25	Pla	65	Lincoln Bypass	☑	abla	$\square$	Construction	60
26	Pla	80	Pla 80 HOV Phase 2	◩	☑	☑	Construction	61
27	Pla	80	Pla 80 HOV Phase 3	Ø	Ø	Ø	Construction	10
28	Riv	215	Add one mixed flow In in each direction	Ø	Ø	Ø	Design	90
29 30	Riv Sac	91 50	HOV Lane Gap closure Hwy 50 Bus/Carpool Lanes	Ø	<b>⊠</b>	Ø	Design Construction	85 10
31	Sac	Loc	White Rock Rd fr Grant Line to Prairie City		◩	☑	Design	0
32	SBd	10	I-10 WB Mixed Flow In addition	Ø	Ø	Ø	Advertised	Ü
33	SBd	215	I-215 North Segments 1 & 2	Ø	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	Ø	Construction	5
34	SBd	210	State Route 210/215 Connectors	Ø	☑	Ø	Construction	5
35	SBd	215	I-215 North Segments 5	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	$   \overline{\mathbf{Z}} $	Construction	5
36	SBd	10	Widen exit ramps & add aux lanes	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Construction	5
37	SD	15	Managed Lanes South Segment	abla	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Construction	Var
38	SD	5	I-5 North Coast Corridor – Stage 1A		☑	☑	Construction	Var
39	SJ	205	I-205 Auxiliary Lanes	Ø	◩	Ø	Advertised	
40	SLO	46	Rte 46 Corridor Impvmts (Whitley 1)	Ø	☑	Ø	Design	100
41 42	SM SCI	101 880	Widen US 101 and add Aux Lns	囚	Ø Ø	Ø	Design	65 43
43	SCI	101	I-880 Widening (SR237 to US 101) US101 Aux Lns SR85 to Embarcadero Rd	<b>(V</b> )	<u>~</u>	M	Design Design	43 57
44	SCI	101	US101 Impvmts (I-280 to Yerba Buena Rd)	N.	☑	☑	Advertised	57
45	SCr	1	Hwy 1 Soquel to Morissey Aux Lns	Ø	Ø	<u> </u>	Design	25
46	Sha	5	Cottonwood Hills Truck Climbing Lane	Ø	Ø	Ø	Advertised	-
47	Sol	80	I-80 HOV Lanes Fairfield	$   \overline{\mathbf{A}} $	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	Construction	80
48	Son	101	US 101 HOV Ln – Railroad to Rohnert Pk	abla	$\checkmark$	abla	Construction	15
49	Son	101	US 101 HOV Lanes – North Phase A	◩	☑	$\square$	Construction	20
50	Son	101	US 101 HOV Lanes – Wilfred	◩	$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$	◩	Construction	20
51	Sta	219	Route 219 Expressway Phase 1	Ø	☑	☑	Construction	98
52	Sta	219	Route 219 Expressway Phase 2		X	X	Design	95
53 54	Tuo Ven	108 101	E. Sonora Bypass Stage II	<b>⊠</b>	X	<b>⊠</b>	Design	98 50
			HOV Lns Mussel Shoals to Casitas Pass		_		Design	JU
<u> </u>	_ege	<u>ria</u>	✓ No known scope, budget or sched					
			<ul> <li>Potential scope, budget or schedu</li> </ul>	ıle i	ssue	S.		

Award schedule delay due to availability of bond funds.

#### **Overall Program Status**

To date, 52 projects (including corridor projects starting a subsequent phase) have completed the preliminary engineering and environmental evaluation phase, 34 projects have completed the design phase. There are 23 projects under construction and two projects have been completed and opened to traffic.

#### FY 2009-10 Accomplishments

Progress continues to be made to deliver and implement the adopted CMIA program. To date, in FY 2009-10 two construction contracts were completed, 17 construction contracts were awarded, 10 projects completed the right of way milestone, 11 projects completed design, and nine projects completed the environmental document.

#### Third Quarter FY 2009-10 Milestones Met

The following projects completed a major project delivery milestone in the last quarter:

Cty	Rte	Project	Milestone
Ora	22	SR 22/I-405/I-605 HOV Conn w ITS	End Design
SJ	205	I-205 Auxiliary Lanes	End Design

#### **Program Management**

There are currently 11 projects with action plans outlining actions to be taken and one project to monitor.

## The California Department of Transportation

Third Quarter FY 2009-10

## CMIA Program Delivery Report 54 Planned Deliveries

						/	/ cosi /	risinguishe dictal	Or		THIA	, ,			/ <sub>ka</sub> t			
/3	2036	THUMP STRICT	MATA RC	J.	TOTALPAC	18 00 ST. 00	dis Total	OS I ST. OF THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE ST. OF THE S		NO EN	UR CHINETY A	AD DE	a ch	MD RIF	HTOF WAY	MARD		Ç.P.
	580 F	=astho	und HC	<u>/</u> )V I a	ne - Green	ville	to Hacienda	a - Corridor Project	/ <u>v</u>	,	/ <u>v</u>	•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	/ 4	_		
Ė	000 2		ana me	\$	59,280	\$		Corridor Project #1 (EA 29084)	11/02/07		04/08/08		01/31/08		07/28/08		02/04/10	
				\$	72,326	\$		Corridor Project #2 (EA 29083)	11/02/07		06/19/08		02/28/08		07/22/09		08/01/11	
1	04	Ala	580	\$	22,263	\$		Corridor Project #3 (EA 29085)	08/01/10		09/01/10		10/01/10		03/01/11		02/01/12	
				\$	153,869	\$	72,200	Corridor Summary	08/01/10		09/01/10		10/01/10		07/28/08		02/01/12	
2	04	Ala	580	\$	145,400	\$	101,700	1-580 Westbound HOV Lane - Greenville to Foothill	01/26/10		03/01/11		03/01/11		08/01/11		10/01/13	
J-	580 /	'Isabe	Interch	hange	e - Corridor	Proj	ect				•							
				\$	54,400	\$	24,600	Corridor Project #1 (EA 17131)	08/15/07		10/24/08		08/01/08		06/22/09		03/01/12	
3	04	Ala	580	\$	10,900	\$	3,900	Corridor Project #2 (EA 17132)	08/15/07		10/24/08		08/01/08		06/22/09		08/01/10	
3	04	Ala	300	\$	87,700	\$	39,500	Corridor Project #3 (EA 17133)	08/15/07		08/22/08		07/24/08	☆	07/23/09		09/01/11	
				\$	153,000	\$	68,000	Corridor Summary	08/15/07		10/24/08		08/01/08		06/22/09		03/01/12	
4	04	Ala	880	\$	108,000	\$	94,600	I-880 SB HOV Ln Extension - Hegenberger to Marina Blvd	02/26/10		09/01/11		09/01/11		03/01/12		04/01/14	
S	tate F	Route 2	24 Calc	lecot	t Tunnel - F	ourth		ridor Project	•		•				•			
				\$	406,918	\$	101,043	Corridor Project #1 (EA 29491)	09/12/07	公	02/02/09		10/30/08		11/10/09		05/01/14	
				\$	73,957	\$	73,957	Replacement Project										
_	0.4	Ala	24	\$	480,875	\$	175,000	Bond Corridor Summary		$\stackrel{\wedge}{\boxtimes}$								
5	04	CC	24	\$	4,730		Not Bond	Corridor Project #2 (EA 29492)	09/12/07	☆	11/17/08	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\boxtimes}$	09/26/08	$\stackrel{\sim}{\Box}$	12/23/09		07/01/10	
				\$	642		Not Bond	Corridor Project #3 (EA 29493)		☆				☆	TBD			
				\$	486,247	\$	175,000	Corridor Summary		☆								
6	10	Cal	4	\$	61,552	\$	4,438	Angels Camp Bypass	06/28/02		06/22/06		06/16/06		08/11/07	$\bigstar$	09/24/09	$\Rightarrow$
State	e Rou	ıte 4 E	ast Wic	denin	g from Som	ersv	ille to Route	160										
				\$	92,789	\$	16,700	Corridor Project #1 (EA 2285C)	07/06/06		04/30/10		04/15/10		10/01/10		02/01/13	
7	04	СС	4	\$	122,550	\$	25,200	Corridor Project #2 (EA 2285E)	07/06/06		12/31/10		02/01/11		06/01/11		11/01/13	
	04		7	\$	210,800	\$	43,100	Corridor Project #3 (EA 2285F)	07/06/06		12/01/11		08/01/11		03/01/12		12/01/14	
				\$	426,139	\$	85,000	Corridor Summary	07/06/06		12/01/11		08/01/11		10/01/10		12/01/14	
8	04	Ala CC	80	\$	63,400	\$	55,300	I-80 Integrated Corridor Mobility Project	07/01/10		09/01/10		09/01/10		08/02/10		11/01/11	
9	03	ED	50	\$	44,568	\$	20,000	US 50 HOV Lanes - Phase 1	06/28/02		08/26/08		04/22/08	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\boxtimes}$	11/18/08	$\bigstar$	02/15/11	
10	06	Ker	46	\$	94,032	\$	45,000	Route 46 Expressway - Segment 3	06/14/05		03/19/10		05/01/10		07/01/10		07/26/14	
11	06	Kin Tul	198	\$	120,007	\$	71,600	Route 198 Expressway	06/30/03		10/01/08		05/08/09		09/01/09		02/01/12	
12	07	LA	405	\$	1,060,100	\$	730,000	1-405 Carpool Lane I-10 To US 101 (Northbound)	02/29/08		10/10/08		06/28/11		04/23/09		04/03/13	

		THUMP STRICT				JET COST	OST ST. DOLE TO BE LES DE LES	or or	/	JUR OMMENTA	· /		/	ght of way	/	
	/	ZAIZA		/	/ orc	JE OOD STAL	tunds ente		,	IIRONI.	/ .e	ich /	′ .	xror /		
/	203/		SUNTA RC	Jt.	OTALY	MIA TOLINGE	a Office	/ ,	70 ft		ND DE	alcon (	ND BI	"/ .	MARD	cc^
<u> </u>	y Q	oto 5 (	Corpool	Long	from Pout	to 124 to Pouto	/ <b>Q`</b> 170 - Corridor Project	<del>-                                    </del>	>	/ 4	<i>&gt;</i>	_	>	/ P	<u>:</u>	<u> </u>
	nersa	ale 5 (	<i>Јагр</i> оог	\$	123,765		Corridor Project #3 (EA 12183)	12/29/00		04/15/11		02/14/11		10/14/11		02/10/14
				\$	123,765	\$ 73,000	Bond Corridor Summary	12/29/00		04/15/11		02/14/11		10/14/11		02/10/14
		l	_	\$	128,360	Not Bond	Corridor Project #1 (EA 1218V)	12/29/00		06/29/09		06/25/09		03/18/10		04/19/13
13	07	LA	5	\$	152,624	Not Bond	Corridor Project #2 (EA 12184)	12/29/00		04/15/11		02/14/11		10/14/11		02/10/14
				\$	315,500		Corridor Project #4 (EA 12182)	12/29/00		04/15/11		02/15/11		10/14/11		12/19/14
				\$	720,249	\$ 73,000	Corridor Summary	12/29/00		04/15/11		02/15/11		03/18/10		12/19/14
14	07	LA	5	\$	1,240,524	\$ 387,000	I-5 Carpool Lane from Orange County Line to I-605	06/29/07		09/16/10		11/30/10		05/31/11		11/01/16
						s - Corridor Pro	County Line to 1 coo	00,00							Ш	
	ngriwa	ay 101	iviatit)-	\$ \$	67,440	\$ 10,443	Corridor Project #1 (EA 26407)	10/29/09		03/01/11		03/01/11		09/01/11		12/02/13
				\$	58,049	\$ 22,027	Corridor Project #2 (EA 26408)	10/29/09		03/01/11		03/01/11		09/01/11		12/02/13
15	04	Mrn S	101	\$	77,310	\$ 49,930	<u> </u>	10/29/09		07/31/10		07/31/10		12/01/10		12/02/13
				\$	202,799		Corridor Summary									
16	04	Mrn	580	\$	20,000	\$ 20,000	Westbound I-580 to Northbound	01/31/08		03/12/09		10/31/08		11/04/09		03/01/11
70	0-7	101111	000		20,000		US 101 Connector Improvements	01/01/00			٨		٨			00/01/11
17	05	Mon	1	\$	49,446	\$ 37,061	Salinas Road Interchange	06/26/06		12/31/08	W	11/13/08	W	10/07/09		07/15/13
S	R 12	Jame	son Ca	nyon	Widening -	Phase 1 - Corri	dor Project									
	1		F	1				ı				1	1	ī	_	
		l. <i>.</i>		\$	44,608	\$ 23,000	Corridor Project #1 ( EA 26413 )	01/31/08		04/01/10		06/30/10		09/01/10		08/01/13
18	04	Nap	12	\$	91,061	\$ 50,990	Corridor Project #2 ( EA 26414 )	01/31/08		04/01/10		06/30/10		09/01/10		08/01/13
				\$	135,669	\$ 73,990	Corridor Summary Route 49 La Barr Meadows	01/31/08		04/01/10	٨	06/30/10		09/01/10		08/01/13
19	03	Nev	49	\$	40,500	\$ 18,568	Widening	10/01/07		05/05/09	X	10/01/09		05/05/10		06/01/12
20	12	Ora	91	\$	59,505	Not Bond	SR-241/SR-91 to SR-71/SR-91	12/31/07		05/14/09		05/14/09		08/29/09		01/01/11
S	R-22	/ I-40	5 / 1-605	5 HO	V Connecto	or with ITS Eleme	ents - Corridor Project						•			
				\$	210,560	\$ 186,360	Corridor Project #1 ( EA 07163 )	03/26/03	☆	02/11/10		12/30/09		08/30/10		09/19/14
				\$	19,286	\$ 19,286	Replacement Project							TBD		
21	12	Ora	22	\$	229,846	\$ 205,646	Bond Corridor Summary	03/26/03	☆	02/11/10		12/30/09		08/30/10		09/19/14
				\$	169,000	Not Bond	Corridor Project #2 ( EA 07162 )	03/26/03	☆	09/30/09		09/08/09		05/28/10		03/23/14
				\$	398,846	\$ 205,646	Corridor Summary		☆							
22	12	Ora	91	\$	96,000	\$ 22,000	Widen EB&WB SR-91 fr E of SR- 55 Conn to E of Weir Canyon Road	04/24/09	☆	11/01/10		09/01/10		04/01/11		05/01/13
23	12	Ora	57	\$	41,086	\$ 34,692	Widen NB fr 0.3M S of Katella Ave to 0.3M N of Lincoln Ave	11/25/09		04/01/11		03/01/11		08/01/11		03/01/15
ν	Viden	NB fr	om 0.4	m N a	of SR-91 to	0.1 m N of I am	bert Road - Corridor Project						<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Ė		1		\$	72,208	\$ 63,305	Corridor Project #1 ( EA 0F031 )	11/30/07	৵	12/28/09		06/01/10		08/01/10		12/01/13
24	12	Ora	57	\$	70,543	\$ 61,243	Corridor Project #2 ( EA 0F032 )	11/30/07	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	03/22/10		07/01/10		08/01/10		07/01/14
				\$	142,751	\$ 124,548	Corridor Summary	11/30/07	<del>√</del>	03/22/10		07/01/10		08/01/10		07/01/14
25	03	Pla	65	\$	324,000	\$ 73,715	,		N	02/22/08	₹	04/01/09	❖	06/09/08	₹	01/01/12
26		Pla	80	\$	47,577	\$ 8,484	Lincoln Bypass Pla-80 HOV Phase 2	06/16/04		11/30/07	$\frac{1}{2}$	03/19/08	$\wedge$	05/01/08	N	10/01/10
27	03	Pla	80	\$	66,905	\$ 40,516	Pla-80 HOV Phase 3	06/01/04		11/25/08	<del>√</del>	10/02/08	<del>√</del>	08/10/09		01/01/11
					•	, ,	1 00 7 //400 0						M			

						/	/ .ssi	St. Hard of the state of the st	OF <sup>4</sup>		(A)	,					
/\d	2056 2056	STRICT STRICT	STRICK PC	July /	TOTAL PRO	OJECT OF OF	ONIA TOTAL C	OST IST TO BE THE TOTAL OF THE STATE OF THE		NOEM	JIR OHNE HT A	AD DE	jen (	NO RIG	JHT OF WAY	MARD	ccr
28	08	Riv	215	\$	62,271	\$	38,570	Widening, Add One Mixed Flow Lane in Each Direction	11/14/08	$\Rightarrow$	08/01/10		02/01/10		12/01/10	_	12/01/13
29	08	Riv	91	\$	240,277	\$	157,198	HOV Lane Gap Closure	08/30/07	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\boxtimes}$	04/01/11		08/01/10		08/01/11		08/03/15
30	03	Sac	50	\$	160,925	\$	80,000	Hwy 50 Bus/Carpool & Aux Lns & Community Enhancements	06/25/07	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\boxtimes}$	04/10/09		04/10/09	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\boxtimes}$	10/26/09	$\bigstar$	01/01/13
31	03	Sac	Loc	\$	26,600	\$	22,000	White Rock Road from Grant Line to Prairie City	06/02/09	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\boxtimes}$	12/01/10		12/01/10		05/01/11		11/01/12
32	08	SBd	10	\$	43,186	\$	26,500	Westbound Mixed Flow Lane Addition	07/30/07	$\stackrel{\star}{\boxtimes}$	01/14/10		10/01/09		08/04/10		12/31/11
33	08	SBd	215	\$	424,085	\$	49,120	I-215 North Segments 1 & 2 - HOV & Mixed Flow Ln Addition	12/01/05		06/30/09	$\stackrel{\star}{\Rightarrow}$	01/15/09	$\stackrel{\bigstar}{}$	09/14/09		09/13/13
Ir	ntersta	ate 21	5 HOV	Lanes	and Coni	necto	rs - Corrido	r Project									
34				\$	104,620	\$	29,000	SR - 210/215 Connectors	07/24/07		05/15/09		11/01/08		11/17/09		11/15/13
	08	SBd	215	\$	60,200	\$		I-215 North Segment 5	12/01/05		05/15/09		11/01/08		09/17/09		10/15/13
35				\$	164,820	\$	81,000	Corridor Summary	07/24/07		05/15/09		11/01/08		09/17/09		11/15/13
36	08	SBd	215	\$	32,443	\$	19,233	widen Exit Ramps&Add Aux Ln @Cherry, Citrus&Cedar Ave IC's	01/03/08		08/10/09		05/22/09	$\Rightarrow$	07/19/10		11/01/11
1-	15 Ma	anage	d Lane:	s - Coi	rridor Proj	ect		•									
				\$	110,103	\$	93,765	Corridor Project #1 (EA 2T093)	03/27/03		10/01/07	<b>√</b>	08/20/07	$\frac{1}{2}$	02/08/08	$\star$	05/24/11
				\$	87,770	\$	71,641	Corridor Project #2 (EA 2T091)	03/27/03		02/01/08	74	08/15/07	<del>\</del>	05/12/08	<del>√</del>	02/09/11
				\$	138,686	\$	115,668	Corridor Project #3 (EA 2T092)	03/27/03		03/07/08		04/01/08		07/25/08	~	04/08/12
37	11	SD	15	\$	68,926	\$	68,926	De-allocated funds	00.11.700		00.01700				01120100		0 11 00 11 1
				\$	405,485	\$	350,000	Bond Corridor Summary				$\frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{1}{2}$		$\stackrel{\star}{\propto}$	
				\$	56,798		Bond	Corridor Project #4 (EA 2T095)	03/27/09		01/18/11	$\sim$	01/12/11		08/05/11		04/17/14
				\$	462,283	\$		Corridor Summary	03/27/09		01/18/11		01/12/11		08/05/11	$\stackrel{\star}{\propto}$	
J-	5 Noi	rth Coa	ast Cori	ridor -	- Stage 1A	- Co	rridor Proje	•								V	
				\$	52,664	\$		Corridor Project #1 (EA 2358U)	09/29/06	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\square}$	04/04/07		12/29/06	$\frac{1}{2}$	08/15/07	$\stackrel{\star}{\propto}$	07/06/11
38	11	SD	5	\$	102,000	\$		Corridor Project #2 (EA 2T040)	04/02/09	☆	07/10/09	☆	07/06/09	☆	05/20/10		06/30/12
				\$	154,664	\$	82,000	Corridor Summary	04/02/09	☆	07/10/09		07/06/09	☆		$\stackrel{\star}{\propto}$	
39	10	SJ	205	\$	52,002	\$	25,000	I-205 Auxiliary Lanes	11/25/08	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\boxtimes}$	01/21/10	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\boxtimes}$	10/11/09	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\Rightarrow}$	07/30/10		04/01/13
40	05	SLO	46	\$	109,500	\$	67,742	Route 46 Corridor Improvements (Whitley 1)	05/19/06		12/15/09	$\bigstar$	04/29/10		08/04/10		09/08/13
V	Viden	US 10	01 & ad	d Aux	Lns fr Ma	rsh R	d to Embar	cadero Rd Corridor Project									
				\$	73,391	\$	56,198	Corridor Project #1 (EA 23563)	10/01/08		08/02/10		07/15/10		01/03/11		03/01/12
41	04	SM	101	\$	35,214	\$	3,802	Corridor Project #2 (EA 23564)	10/01/08		09/01/11		08/01/11		02/01/12		11/01/13
				\$	108,605	\$	60,000	Corridor Summary	10/01/08		09/01/11		08/01/11		01/03/11		11/01/13
42	04	SCI	880	\$	95,000	\$	71,600	I-880 Widening (SR 237 to US 101)	06/26/09		02/28/11		02/28/11		06/01/11		07/01/13
43	04	SCI	101	\$	102,258	\$	84,930	US 101 Aux Lanes - State Route 85 to Embarcadero Rd	07/23/09		02/28/11		11/30/10		06/01/11		08/01/13
44	04	SCI	101	\$	62,975	\$	30,000	US 101 Improvements (I-280 to Yerba Buena Rd)	03/26/09		12/03/09		08/25/09	$\Rightarrow$	07/12/10		12/01/12
45	05	SCr	1	\$	22,168	\$	16,190	Hignway 1 Soquel to Morrissey Auxiliary Lanes	09/18/09		05/01/11		12/01/10		11/01/11		11/01/13
46	02	Sha	5	\$	25,721	\$	22,902	Cottonwood Hills Truck Climbing Lane	04/01/08	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\boxtimes}$	11/09/09	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\boxtimes}$	07/14/09		05/01/10		07/01/11

/	ROJ O	THUMP STRICT	STATES OF	/ /	TOTAL PAR	/ Syles	Chur include	of 1st days to be des of the state of the st	O <sup>H</sup>	, NO EN	J. R. O. Market P. P.	AD DE	jacon (	AID RIG	J.H. OF WAY	MARD		, ca
/·	-80 H	OV La	nes, Fa	_	· ·	0/12	to Putah Cr	eek) - Corridor Project	0.4/0.0/0.7		04/04/03		40/05/67		00/04/03		40/00/00	
				\$	66,761	\$	44,184	Corridor Project #1 (EA 0A531)	04/02/07		01/04/08		12/05/07		06/04/08		12/23/09	
				\$	3,800	\$	3,800	Allocated, replaced with ARRA	04/02/07		02/16/10		10/23/09	☆	07/27/10		40/04/40	
47	04	Sol	80	\$	10,026 80,587	\$		Corridor Project #3 (EA 0A532)	04/02/07		02/16/10		10/23/09	^	07/27/10		12/01/10	
				ŕ	30,296	ŕ	ot Bond	Bond Corridor Summary	06/26/08		11/14/08		08/12/08	☆	04/21/09		09/01/10	$\vdash$
				\$	110,883	\$		Corridor Project #2 (EA 4C15U)  Corridor Summary	06/26/08		11/14/08		08/12/08	^	04/21/09		09/01/10	$\vdash$
48	04	Son	101	\$	118,250	\$	-	Central Phase A - US 101 HOV Lns from Railroad Ave to Rohnert Park Expressway	08/31/07	*	02/09/09		01/29/09	*	12/23/09		12/01/11	
49	04	Son	101	\$	120,260	\$	69,860	US 101 HOV lanes - North Phase A (from Steele Lane to Windsor River Road)	10/24/07		06/13/08		03/01/08		10/29/08	☆	01/01/11	
50	04	Son	101	\$	89,715	\$	43,300	US 101 HOV Lanes - Wilfred Ave to Santa Rosa Ave	11/30/06		08/15/08		08/15/08		03/03/09		12/30/11	
51	10	Sta	219	\$	50,496	\$	14,760	SR-219 Expressway, Phase 1 (SR-99 to Morrow Road)	05/13/04		06/28/07		03/10/08		06/19/08		06/20/10	
52	10	Sta	219	\$	50,500	\$	18,813	SR-219 Expressway, Phase 2 (Morrow Road to Route 108)	05/13/04		04/30/10		07/28/10		10/14/10		01/07/13	
53	10	Tuo	108	\$	65,920	\$	17,233	E. Sonora Bypass Stage II	07/16/99		05/13/10		11/01/10		11/17/10		12/01/12	
54	07	Ven	101	\$	151,470	\$	131,600	HOV Lanes, Mussel Shoals to Casitas Pass Road	12/18/08		10/14/10		10/14/10		05/12/11		08/25/14	
			Total	\$	9,183,309	\$	4,318,067											

# CMIA Program Project Action Plan Report Third Quarter FY 2009-10

Each project in the program is being monitored at the component level for potential scope, cost and schedule to ensure timely delivery of the full scope as approved and adopted. Listed below are project action plans that have been identified to address identified scope, cost or schedule issues on projects.

#### **Index of Project Action Plans by Category**

(	(1) Potential Projects to Watch										
ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Proposed Adjustments				
8	4	CC	80	I-80 Integrated Corridor Mobility Project	\$ 55,300	\$ 63,400	CMIA Amendment				
13	7	LA	5	I-5 Carpool Ln fr Rte 134 to Rte 170 - Corrido	or \$ 73,000	<i>\$ 720,249</i>	Monitor Project Costs				

#### (2) Project changes that will require Commission action, plan has been submitted for preparing an amendment.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title Bor	nd's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Proposed Adjustments
15	4	MRN	101	US 101 Marin-Sonoma Narrows- Corridor Project	\$82,400	\$202,799	CMIA Amendment
43	4	SCI	101	US 101 Aux Lns – SR85 to Embarcadero Rd	\$ 84,930	<i>\$ 102,258</i>	CMIA Amendment
<i>53</i>	10	Tuo	108	East Sonora Bypass Stage II	<i>\$ 17,233</i>	\$ 65,920	STIP Program Amendment

#### (3) Project changes that will require Commission action, preparation of a plan has just started.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title Bond	d'S \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Proposed Adjustments
1	4	ALA	580	I-580 Eastbound HOV Lane - Corridor Project #3	<i>\$21,563</i>	<i>\$22,263</i>	CMIA Amendment
14	7	LA	5	I-5 Carpool Ln and Mixed Flow Ln	\$387,000	<i>\$1,240,524</i>	Schedule
18	<i>4</i> Λ	IAP/SOL	L 12	SR 12 Jameson Canyon Widening- Phase 1	\$23,000	\$44,608	CMIA Amendment
29	8	Riv	91	HOV Lane Gap Closure	<i>\$ 157,198</i>	<i>\$ 240,277</i>	TCRP amendment
45	5	SCr	1	Route 1 Soquel to Morissey Auxiliary Lanes	\$ 16,190	<i>\$ 22,168</i>	Schedule
<i>52</i>	10	Sta	219	SR 219 Expwy, Phase 2 (Morrow Rd to Rte 108)	\$ 18,813	\$ 50,500	STIP Program Amendment
54	7	Ven	101	HOV Lns, Mussel Shoals to Casitas Pass Rd	\$ 131,600	<i>\$ 151,470</i>	STIP Program Amendment

#### (4) Program amendments that were approved by the CTC during the past quarter.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
2	4	Ala	<i>580</i>	I-580 Westbound HOV Lane	\$ 101,700	\$ 145,400	CMIA Amendment
7	4	CC	4	SR 4 East Widening – Somersville to Rte 160	\$ 85,000	\$ 426,139	CMIA Amendment
42	4	SCI	880	I-880 Widening (SR-237 to US 101)	\$71,600	\$ 95,000	Team Approved Change

#### **Project Action Plans – Narrative** (in project ID order)

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title Bon	d's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
1	4	ALA	<i>580</i>	I-580 Eastbound HOV Lane - Corridor Project #3	<i>\$21,563</i>	<i>\$22,263</i>	CMIA Amendment

#### **Project Action Plan:**

The proposed amendment will cover scope, cost, and schedule changes. The project has experienced delays in the schedule, and additional local funds have been brought in to fund design and anticipated right of way needs. There is also consideration to combine this project with one of the westbound HOV contracts which has overlapping limits.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance	
2	4	Ala	580	I-580 Westbound HOV Lane	\$101,700	\$145,400	Cost, Scope	

#### **Project Action Plan:**

The Commission approved a CMIA Amendment at their April 2010 meeting that adds auxiliary lanes, removes a bus ramp, and splits the project into three contracts.

D	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
7	4	CC	4	SR 4 East Widening – Somersville to Rte 160	9 \$85,000	<i>\$426,139</i>	Cost, Schedule, Scope

#### **Project Action Plan:**

The Commission approved a CMIA Amendment at their February 2010 meeting that split this project into three roadway segments and one landscaping contract, add scope and funding for eBART, and adjust the funding plan.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
8	4	CC	80	I-80 Integrated Corridor Mobility Project	\$55,300	\$63,400	Schedule, Scope

#### **Project Action Plan:**

This is an Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) project to incorporate integrated corridor management along the I-80 corridor, from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to the Carquinez Bridge. The scope of work includes completion of a vehicle detection system network, purchase of ITS hardware and software, and installation of an active traffic management system. The project action plan will combine this project with the Traffic Light Synchronization Program (TLSP bond program) funded project on San Pablo Ave (a parallel arterial to I-80 to coordinate ITS on both routes), and then split this combined project for delivery purposes into six contracts (two procurement contracts and four construction contracts). The project action plan also addresses the schedule variances for the project in PAED, End Design, End RW, and Begin Construction (Award). The schedule variance is due to delays in starting the project and determining a better assessment of how to effectively deliver the project.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
14	7	LA	5	I-5 Carpool Ln and Mixed Flow Ln	\$387,000	\$1,240,524	Schedule

#### **Project Action Plan:**

The plan will outline strategies to maintain the commitment to the original CMIA agreement and any recovery actions required to address schedule challenges identified. This plan will be shared with the Commission and Commission staff in the next few months. The Department recommends having the Corridor segmented into 5 projects for the purpose of improving the construction staging, local coordination, contract administration and facilitating more competitive bidding packages. Currently, the schedules for each segment are being evaluated based on the large number of parcels requiring extensive hazardous waste investigations during the right-of-way acquisition process. District 7 and Metro are developing an action plan to aggressively manage and control the right-of –way acquisition process as it encounters these hazardous waste situations.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
15	4	MRN	101	US 101 Marin-Sonoma Narrows- Corridor F	Project \$82,400	<i>\$202,799</i>	CMIA Amendment
The	pro	posed	lamen	idment is targeted for the June CTC m	eeting, and will add	dress funding trar	nsfers between phases and
con	trac	its, as v	well as	s split out the non-bond landscaping po	ortion of the project.	. A PCR for thes	e changes was approved by
the Department on April 26, 2010.							

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance	
18	4 N	IAP/SC	DL 12	SR 12 Jameson Canyon Widening- Phase 1	\$23,000	\$44.608	CMIA Amendment	

The right of way schedule will not be met due to the anticipated need for Resolutions of Necessity for several of the 28 parcels needed for the project. A STIP time extension will be requested at the June Commission meeting for RIP and IIP funds programmed for an allocation in the current fiscal year.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance	
29	8	Riv	91	HOV Lane Gap Closure	<i>\$157,198</i>	\$240,277	Cost	

#### **Project Action Plan:**

A plan to revise the following components has been approved at the April 2010 PCR Committee Meeting:

- (a) PA&ED increased from \$2,681,000 to \$3,193,000 in order to reconcile final expenditures for the completion of PA&ED that was performed by RCTC. (b) PS&E increased from \$13,070,000 to \$20,262,000 due to revised workplan.
- (c) construction support changed from \$14,598,000 to \$20,598,000 due to revised workplan. (d) R/W Capital increased from \$31,682,000 to \$62,157,000 due to utility cost increase and increase in parcels. (e) Construction Capital decreased from \$177,146,000 to \$\$171,146,000 due to revised estimate.

RCTC is proposing to fund R/W cost increase with CMAQ, TCRP, and local funds. The programmed support costs are based on non-Department estimates prepared in 2001. Subsequently the Department was asked to perform the preconstruction support work. RCTC and Caltrans is finalizing a project action plan to address all costs and is targeting fourth quarter FY 2009/10 for approval.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
42	4	SCI	880	I-880 Widening (SR-237 to US 101)	\$71,600	\$95,000	Cost

#### **Project Action Plan:**

The expected \$2.3M over-expenditures for PSE will be addressed by transferring available local funds from other components. RW Capital is anticipated to exceed the current baseline by \$0.6M; this will also be addressed by transferring available local funds from other components. The Department approved the PCR for these changes on March 26, 2010. No action from the CTC is required.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
43	4	SCI	101	US 101 Aux Lns – SR85 to Embarcadero Ro	\$84,930	<i>\$102,258</i>	Cost, Scope

#### **Project Action Plan:**

The expected \$437K and \$998K over-expenditures for PAED and PSE, respectively, will be addressed by transferring available local funds from other components. The scope of this project is proposed to be revised to include extending the existing dual HOV lanes. A CMIA amendment, scheduled for the May 2010 CTC meeting, will address the transfer of funds and the extension of the dual HOV lanes. The construction cost is still estimated to meet the CMIA baseline budget.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Tota	al \$ (1,000's)	Variance	
45	5	SCr	1	Route 1 Soquel to Morissey Auxiliary Lanes	\$ 16,190	\$ 22,168	Schedule		

A baseline amendment for minor schedule changes is on the agenda for notice in May. The date for the start of construction has been delayed due to minor design changes, changes to environmental technical studies, and a 13-month delay in getting an allocation for PS&E. The final environmental document is also under a legal challenge.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title Bond	d's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
52	10	Sta	219	SR 219 Expwy, Phase 2 (Morrow Rd to Rte 108)	\$18,813	\$50,500	Cost, Schedule

#### **Project Action Plan:**

A detailed traffic analysis has identified a need for additional improvements in order to meet the benefits of the project. Furthermore, it was determined that additional working days are needed to construct the project. These changes require some adjustments to the costs of the Design, RW, and Construction Support components and to the project schedule. Project costs will be reconciled at vote. The Construction Capital component remains fully funded. The 95% PS&E estimated cost is \$19 million, with \$26 million programmed.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
53	10	Tuo	108	East Sonora Bypass Stage II	\$17,233	\$65,920	Cost, Scope, Schedule

#### **Project Action Plan:**

The Department and the Tuolumne County Transportation Council proposed to amend the 2008 STIP and the Corridor Mobility Improvement Account Baseline Agreement for the East Sonora Bypass Stage II project to revise the scope, schedule, and funding plan. The CTC received notice of this amendment at the February 2010 CTC meeting and the baseline amendment was subsequently approved at the April 2010 CTC meeting.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
54	7	Ven	101	HOV Lns, Mussel Shoals to Casitas Pass Ro	\$131,600	<i>\$151,470</i>	Cost

#### **Project Action Plan:**

A need for additional costs has been identified due to a number of issues, including inadequate clearance under existing railroad bridge (potential need to replace railroad bridge) and utilities. Utility identification is ongoing. Based on current information, the slight overruns in right of way and project development (PS&E and PAED), which are funded from the STIP will be addressed and adjusted at time of vote. The project development component is expected to be 10-15 percent over, with no adjustment required. The right of way component is expected to overrun and will require a debit to the IIP program funds for approximately \$200-300 thousand dollars.

#### **CMIA Bond Program Project Expenditures** Third Quarter FY 2009-10 Within Budget Conditions Potential cost overrun conditions Known cost overrun conditions -G Estimated cost < or = budget</p> s Estimated cost STIP > 120% budget. S Actual cost STIP > 120% budget. STIP costs < 120%; No CTC action require B Estimated cost BOND > 100% budget. Actual cost BOND > 100% budget. P Post Vote Estimated cost OTHER funds > 100% budget. Actual cost OTHER funds > 100% budget. Estimate within 20% at vote Right of Way (1,000's) **Environmental (PAED) Design Support** Construction (1,000's) Project Support (1,000's) (1,000's)Support Capital Support Capital CO RTE PROJECT DESCRIPTION Agency Agency Exp Appd Appd Agency Agency I-580 Eastbound HOV Lane - Greenville to Hacienda Corridor Project ССМА 470 \$ 470 ACCMA 47,410 \$ 40,582 5,700 \$ 4,091 5,700 \$ 4,903 530 530 Caltrans 58,591 \$ Corridor Proiect #3 (EA 29085) **ACCMA ACCMA** 700 \$ 1,150 **ACCMA** \$ - \$ - \$ Caltrans 2.535 \$ 19.028 \$ \$ 12,000 \$ 8,432 1,700 \$ 2,150 15,140 125,029 \$ 52,51 I-580 Westbound HOV Lane 10,000 Caltrans Greenville to Footbill 4,200 \$ 1,173 3.300 \$ 1.275 37.400 \$ Corridor Proiect #2 (EA 17132) 1,300 \$ 363 400 \$ 323 1,900 \$ 1,800 1,200 Livermore Livermore ivermore ivermore 7.300 \$ Corridor Project #3 (EA 17133) ivermore 5,600 \$ 1,564 3,100 \$ 2,804 18,800 \$ 18,800 8,000 \$ 1,729 52,200 \$ 7,142 24,000 96,900 Corridor Summary \$ 21,87 8,000 I-880 SB HOV I n Extension ACCMA ACCMA. 4 520 \$ 4 35 ACCMA 1 900 Caltrans 10 900 83,700 \$ Hegenberger to Marina Blvd State Route 24 Caldecott Tunnel - Fourth Bore - Corridor Project Ala Corridor Project #1 (EA 29491 Caltrans \$ 20,483 \$ 20,128 Caltrans \$ 32.670 \$ 32.583 Caltrans 270 \$ 311 795 \$ 607 Caltrans 42,000 \$ 1,205 310,700 \$ 3,208 CC Replacement Project Bond Proiect Summary \$ 20.483 \$ 20.48 \$ 32,670 \$ 32,58 270 \$ 795 \$ 607 42.000 \$ 1.20 310.700 \$ 3.208 Not Bond Corridor Project #2 (EA 29492) Caltrans 5 \$ Caltrans 20 \$ 350 Caltrans 5 \$ Caltrans 400 \$ 4.300 \$ 12 Not Bond Corridor Project #3 (EA 29493) Caltrans 2 \$ Caltrans 10 \$ 214 Caltrans 25 \$ 24 5 \$ Caltrans 100 500 \$ 16 Not Bond Corridor Project #3 (EA 29494) Caltrans 10 \$ Caltrans 750 Caltrans altrans 1,100 5,850 \$ Overall Corridor Summary \$ 20,500 \$ 20,49 \$ 33,450 \$ 33,147 300 \$ 800 321,350 \$ Caltrans 1,678 \$ 1,68 Caltrans 3,374 \$ 4,326 2,335 18,600 \$ 18,331 3,600 31,965 State Route 4 East Widening from Somersville to Route 160 - Corridor Project 10,608 \$ 59,500 \$ Caltrans CCTA 8,754 \$ 6,725 CCTA 12,013 \$ 1,600 14,395 \$ 87,300 \$ Corridor Proiect #2 (EA 2285E) CCTA 88 \$ 71 Caltrans Corridor Project #3 (EA 2285F) CCTA 148 \$ 119 CCTA \$ 14.704 \$ 9.200 CCTA 15.812 \$ 2.100 24.676 \$ 155.460 \$ Caltrans Overall Corridor Summary \$ 29,700 \$ 21,429 44,200 \$ 18,500 300 \$ 241 49.679 \$ 302.260 \$ I-80 Integrated Corridor *ACCMA* 1,800 \$ 1,350 ACCM/ 6,300 \$ 4,410 8,200 47,100 \$ Caltrans Mobility Project ED 50 US 50 HOV Lanes -ED Co DOT ED Co DOT 3,000 \$ 3,026 ED Co DOT 100 \$ 100 \$ ED Co DOT 3,560 \$ 3,245 37,808 \$ 18,650 Phase 1 Route 46 Expressway - Segmen Caltrans 450 4,795 \$ 3,519 1,055 10,603 \$ 6,485 9,900 67,229 \$ Route 198 Expressway Caltrans 9,514 \$ 1,590 77,249 \$ Kin 2.039 \$ 1.98 Caltrans 5.768 \$ 6.14 Caltrans 3.137 \$ 3.648 22,300 \$ 18,484 Caltrans Tul LA I-405 Carpool Lane I-10 To US Caltrans 22,000 \$ 21,009 39,000 \$ 13,451 15,000 \$ 1,273 82,000 \$ 9,044 902,100 \$ 101 (Northbound)

lifo	rnia Depa	ertment of Transpor		viron	montal (	(BAED)	Ι,	)ooia	ın Cıını	nort			Diaht o	· Mov (	1 000	'a\			F		009-10			rter Re
		Project			mental ( rt (1,000		'		ın Supı (000's,				Right o	r way (	1,000						struction (	(1,000 8		
					• •				. ,			Sup				Capita			Supp		-	_	Capita	
	D CO RTE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION  Lane from Route 134 to Route 170	Agency		Appd	Exp	Agency	,	Appd	Exp	Agency	Д	Appd E	хр	F	Appd	Exp	Agency	Ар	ppd	Exp	Ap	ppd	Exp
13		Corridor Project #3 (EA 12183)	Caltrans	\$	350	\$ 294	Caltrans	¢	6 500	\$ 4,970	Caltrans	\$	615 \$	66	¢	36,000	\$ 18	Caltrans	\$	7,300	•	\$	73,000 \$	
13	07 LA 5		Califaris	φ			Califaris	\$			Califaris				ð			Califaris			<b>5</b> -			, -
		Bond Project Summary		•		\$ 350		\$	6,500	\$ 4,970		\$	615 \$	<sup>66</sup> G	\$	,	\$ 18		\$	7,300	\$ - C	G	73,000	ъ -
	Not Bond	Corridor Project #1 (EA 1218V)	Caltrans	\$	780	5 -	Caltrans		12,800	\$ -	Caltrans	\$	1,730 \$	-	\$	4,000	\$ -	Caltrans		12,200	\$ -		96,850 \$	; - 
	Not Bond	Corridor Project #2 (EA 12184)	Caltrans	\$	1,300	\$ 1,140	Caltrans	\$	15,020	\$ 15,611	Caltrans	\$	1,800 \$ 1	,043	\$	11,000	\$ 5,802	Caltrans	\$ 1	12,718	\$ -	\$ 1	10,786 \$	-
	Not Bond	Corridor Project #4 (EA 12182)	Caltrans	\$	1,700	\$ 1,544	Caltrans	\$	24,500	\$ 23,794	Caltrans	\$	1,980 \$	514	\$	38,500	\$ 37	Caltrans	\$ 2	22,000	\$ -	\$ 2	26,820 \$	· -
		Overall Corridor Summary		\$	4,130	\$ 3,033		\$	58,820	\$ 44,374		\$	6,125 \$	<sup>1,622</sup> G	\$	89,500	\$ 5,858	•	\$	54,218	\$ -	G \$ 5	507,456	\$ -
4	07 LA 5	I-5 Carpool Lane from Orange County Line to I-605	Caltrans	\$	19,930	\$ 2,790 <b>G</b>	Caltrans	\$	42,391	\$ 4,373	Caltrans	\$	15,523 \$	<sup>2</sup> G	\$	507,073	\$ 34	Caltrans	\$ 8	30,064	\$ -	<b>G</b> \$ 5	575,543 \$	; -
Hig	hway 101 Marin-	Sonoma Narrows - Corridor Project	t																			T		
5	04 Mrn 101	Corridor Project #1 (EA 26407)	Caltrans	\$	8,822	\$ 8,809	Caltrans	\$	2,538	\$ -	Caltrans	\$	2,210 \$	44	\$	22,080		Caltrans		4,150	\$ -	\$	27,640 \$	-
		Corridor Project #2 (EA 26408)	Caltrans	\$	7,600		Caltrans	\$	4,380	\$ 637	Caltrans	\$		102	\$	9,190		Caltrans			\$ -		31,270 \$	
		Corridor Project #3 (EA 26406)	Caltrans	\$	9,520		Caltrans	\$	4,000	\$ 4,197	Caltrans	\$	110 \$	50	\$	1,100		Caltrans		8,160			54,420 \$	
		Corridor Summary		\$	25,942		i	\$	10,918			\$	3,239 \$	196	\$		\$ 101			17,000			113,330	
6	04 Mrn 580	Westbound I-580 to Northbound US 101 Connector Improvements	TAM	\$	1,300	\$ 1,269	TAM	\$	2,900	\$ 2,802	TAM	\$	- \$	- <mark>G</mark>	\$	500	\$ - 6	Caltrans	\$	2,100	\$ 164	\$	13,200 \$	973
	05 Mon 1	Salinas Road Interchange	Caltrans	\$	3,217	\$ 2,899	Caltrans	\$	3,358	\$ 3,847 <b>F</b>	Caltrans	\$	757 \$	643 <b>G</b>	\$	5,053	\$ 2,163	Caltrans	\$	4,428	\$ 223	\$	32,633 \$	200
SR	12 Jameson Car	nyon Widening - Phase 1		•	0.400	n 0.400		•		•		_			•		•				•			
		In	T		2,190			\$		\$ -		\$	- \$	-	\$	4 700			\$		\$ -	\$	- \$	
8	04 Nap 12	Corridor Project #1 (EA 26413)	Caltrans	\$	1,790		STA	\$		\$ 1,918	Caltrans	\$		395	\$	4,708		Caltrans		3,500			30,600 \$	
		Corridor Project #2 (EA 26414)	Caltrans	\$	3,320		STA	\$	•	\$ 3,563	Caltrans	\$		393	\$	8,701	-	Caltrans		6,500	-		65,100 \$	
		Corridor Summary		\$		\$ 7,276	i	\$		\$ 5,481		\$	2,350 \$	<sup>788</sup> G	\$		\$ 315	è		10,000	•	G	95,700	
	03 Nev 49	Route 49 La Barr Meadows Widening	Caltrans	\$	2,900		Caltrans	\$		<u> </u>	Caltrans	\$		2,046 P	\$		\$ 3,349		•	3,500			21,000 \$	
0	12 Ora 91 Not Bond	Add one lane on EB SR-91 from SR-241/SR-91 to SR-71/SR-91	OCTA	\$	1,944	\$ 399	Caltrans	\$	8,000	\$ 7,900	Caltrans	\$	750 \$	747	\$	924	\$ 710	Caltrans Not bone		4,241	\$ 1,944	\$ 1	05,086 \$	13,006
		HOV Connector with ITS Elements		Project																				
1	12 Ora 22	Corridor Project #1 (EA 07163)	OCTA	\$	- (	\$ -	OCTA	\$	14,000	\$ 13,351	OCTA	\$	- \$	-	\$	5,000	\$ 1,700	Caltrans	\$ 2	25,000	\$ -	\$ 1	66,560 \$	-
		Replacement Project																						
		Bond Project Summary		\$	-	\$ -		\$	14,000	\$ 13,351		\$	- \$	G	\$	5,000	\$ 1,700	-	\$	25,000	\$ -	<b>G</b> \$ 1	166,560	\$ -
ſ	Not Bond	Corridor Project #2 (EA 07162)	OCTA	\$	- (	\$	OCTA	\$	13,500	\$ 11,275	OCTA	\$	- \$	-	\$	12,200	\$ 4,000	Caltrans	\$ 1	18,200	\$ -	\$ 1	24,100 \$	; -
ſ		Corridor Summary		\$	-	\$ - G		\$	27,500	\$ 24,626		\$	- \$	G	\$	17,200	\$ 5,700	•	\$	43,200	\$ -	G \$ 2	290,660	\$ -
2	12 Ora 91	Widen EB&WB SR-91 fr E of SR- 55 Conn to E of Weir Canyon Road	Caltrans	\$	4,763	\$ 4,114	Caltrans	\$	9,050	\$ 3,704	Caltrans	\$	423 \$	44 <b>G</b>	\$	3,087	\$ 4	Caltrans	\$	8,877	\$ -	<b>G</b> \$	69,800 \$	; -
3	12 Ora 57	Widen NB fr 0.3 m S of Katella Ave to 0.3 m N of Lincoln Ave	OCTA	\$	1,176	\$ 1,224 <b>G</b>	OCTA	\$	3,528	\$ 2,515	Caltrans	\$	150 \$	<sup>5</sup> G	\$	1,540	\$ - <mark>G</mark>	Caltrans	\$	5,292	\$ -	<b>3</b> \$ :	29,400 \$	-
Wi	den NB from 0.4 r	N of SR-91 to 0.1 m N of Lamber	rt Road - Cor	rridor P	roiect		1															+-		
		Corridor Project #1 (EA 0F031)	OCTA	\$	-	\$ 572	OCTA	\$	6,120	\$ 6,341	Caltrans	\$	539 \$	438	\$	1,413	\$ 0	Caltrans	\$	9,180	\$ -	\$	50,445 \$	-
		Corridor Project #2 (EA 0F032)	OCTA	\$		\$ 596	OCTA			\$ 5,740	Caltrans	\$	758 \$		\$	1,591		Caltrans		9,180			54,654 \$	
		Corridor Summary	20.71		1,662		00.71			\$ 12,081	50.0.0110		1,297 \$		\$	3,004	¢ 1			18,360	r .		105,099	
5	no Die es		Coltrana		•		Coltrana				Coltrana			G			_				<u> </u>	9		
	03 Pla 65	Lincoln Bypass Pla-80 HOV Phase 2	Caltrans	\$	5,600		Caltrans			•	Caltrans		3,000 \$ 3		\$	83,750		Caltrans			\$ 2,888	_	96,250 \$	
26			Caltrans	\$	2,136		Caltrans	\$		\$ 7,090 F	Caltrans	\$		348 <b>G</b>	\$	200 400		Caltrans			\$ 2,888		31,200 \$	
- [ '	03 Pla 80	Pla-80 HOV Phase 3	Caltrans	\$	- ;	Ψ - <mark>G</mark>	Caltrans	φ	3,300	\$ 2,537	Caltrans	\$	200 \$	<sup>50</sup> G	\$	-100	\$ 12	Caltrans	\$	5,300	\$ 13	G <sup>\$</sup>	57,505	, 1,790

			•	Project			menta	•			_		pport			Righ	t of Wa	y (1,00	)0's)					Cons	structio	n (1,00	0's)		
				,	Sı	uppo	ort (1,	000':	s)		(1	,000':	s)		Sup	port			Сар	ital			Su	pport			C	apital	
#	D	СО	RTE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Agency		Appd		Ехр	Agency		Appd	Exp	Agency		Appd	Exp		Appd	Е	хр	Agency		Appd	Exp		Appd		Ехр
8	08	Riv	215	Widening, Add One Mixed Flow Lane in Each Direction	RCTC	\$	3,623	3 \$	3,623	RCTC	\$	3,54	8 \$ 2,050	G RCTC	\$	-	\$ -	<b>G</b> \$	-	\$	- G	RCTC	\$	-	\$ -	<b>G</b> \$	55,10	0 \$	-
9	08	Riv	91	HOV Lane Gap Closure	RCTC	\$	2,681	1 \$	785	Caltrans	\$	13,070	0 \$ 18,385	RCTC	\$	1,100	\$ 1,593	\$	31,682	\$ 11	,791	Caltrans	\$	14,598	\$ -	\$	177,14	6 \$	-
0	03	Sac	50	Hwy 50 Bus/Carpool & Aux Lns & Community Enhancements	Caltrans	\$	5,000	\$	4,657	Caltrans	\$	6,500	0 \$ 8,104	P Caltrans	\$	1,300	\$ 1,339	<b>G</b> \$	1,000	\$	289 <b>G</b>	Caltrans	\$	14,000	\$ 958	<b>G</b> \$	133,12	5 \$	5,797
1	03	Sac	Loc	White Rock Road from Grant Line to Prairie City	Sac Co	\$	1,500	) \$	1,135	Sac Co	\$	2,000	0 \$ 113	G Sac Co	\$	-	\$ -	G \$	4,000	\$	<sup>10</sup> G	Sac Co	\$	-	\$ -	<b>G</b> \$	19,10	0 \$	-
2	08	SBd	10	Westbound Mixed Flow Lane Addition	SANBAG	\$		- \$	- (	SANBAG	\$	5,000	0 \$ 2,217	G SANBAG	\$	20	\$ 2	<b>G</b> \$	291	\$	- G	SANBAG	\$	-	\$ -	<b>G</b> \$	37,87	5 \$	21
3	08	SBd	215	I-215 North Segments 1 & 2 - HOV & Mixed Flow Ln Addition	Caltrans	\$	816	5 \$	635	SANBAG	\$	26,792	2 \$ 24,456	G Caltrans	\$	10,090	\$ 9,346	<b>G</b> \$	96,905	\$ 37	<sup>7,202</sup> G	SANBAG	\$	-	\$ -	G \$	368,42	7 \$	2,047
4	08	SBd	215	SR - 210/215 Connectors	SANBAG	\$	1,800	) \$	1,800	SANBAG	\$	4,860	6 \$ 5,473	Caltrans	\$	2,000	\$ 950	\$	8,437	\$ 6	6,619	Caltrans	\$	12,883	\$ -	\$	74,63	4 \$	
5				I-215 North Segment 5	Caltrans	\$	-	- \$	-	SANBAG	\$	5,06	5 \$ 5,177	Caltrans	\$	585	\$ 329	\$	2,550	\$ 1	,444	Caltrans	\$	7,333	\$ -	\$	44,66	7 \$	-
				Corridor Summary		\$	1,800	\$	1,800	3	\$	9,93	1 \$ 10,649	Р	\$	2,585	\$ 1,279	<b>G</b> \$	10,987	\$ 8	3,063 <b>G</b>		\$	20,216	\$ -	G \$	119,30	1 \$	-
6	08	SBd	10	Widen Exit Ramps&Add Aux @Cherry, Citrus&Cedar IC's	Caltrans	\$	650	\$	647	Caltrans	\$	1,670	0 \$ 1,623	G Caltrans	\$	440	\$ 7	<b>G</b> \$	130	\$	- G	Caltrans	\$	3,280	\$ -	<b>G</b> \$	26,27	3 \$	-
Ma	anage	d Lan	es Sou	nth Segment - Corridor Project																						$\neg$			
7	11	SD	15	Corridor Project #1 (EA 2T093)	Caltrans	\$		- \$	-	Caltrans	\$	14,63	1 \$ 9,463	Caltrans	\$	685	\$ 276	\$	1,022	\$	122	Caltrans	\$	14,739	\$ 9,770	\$	90,00	0 \$	47,973
				Corridor Project #2 (EA 2T091)	Caltrans	\$		- \$	-	Caltrans	\$	15,60	4 \$ 8,815	Caltrans	\$	500	\$ 47	\$	25	\$	3	Caltrans	\$	14,025	\$ 8,722	\$	85,00	0 \$	39,484
				Corridor Project #3 (EA 2T092)	Caltrans	\$	-	- \$	-	Caltrans	\$	18,970	0 \$ 12,195	Caltrans	\$	1,405	\$ 403	\$	2,643	\$	152	Caltrans	\$	21,236	\$ 8,099	\$	125,00	0 \$	50,947
				De-allocated funds in reserve																						+			
-				Bond Project Summary		\$		- \$	-		\$	49,20	5 \$ 30,473	G	\$	2,590	\$ 726	<b>G</b> \$	3,690	) \$	278 G		\$	50,000	\$ 26,59	1 6 \$	300,00	00 \$	138,404
ŀ	٨	lot Bor	nd	Corridor Project #4 (EA 2T095)	Caltrans	\$	2,970	) \$	2,666	Caltrans	\$	5,740	0 \$ 2,304	Caltrans	\$	1,929	\$ 205	\$	11,100	\$	6	Caltrans	\$	6,200	\$ -	\$	28,85	9 \$	
-				Overall Corridor Summary		\$	2,97	0 \$	2,666	<u>.</u>	\$	54,94	15 \$ 32,777	G	\$	4,519	\$ 931	<b>G</b> \$	14,790	\$	284 G		\$	56,200	\$ 26,59	1 <sub>G</sub> \$	328,8	59 \$	138,404
1-:	5 Noi	th Co	ast Co	l orridor - Stage 1A - Corridor Pro	oject					<u> </u>											· ·					4		—	
8	11	SD	5	Corridor Project #1 (EA 2358U)	Caltrans	\$	-	- \$	-	Caltrans	\$	3,620	6 \$ 1,361	Caltrans	\$	-	\$ 94	\$	-	\$	27	Caltrans	\$	6,000	\$ 6,540	\$	43,03	8 \$	34,177
				Corridor Project #2 (EA 2T040)	Caltrans	\$	3,500	) \$	2,342	Caltrans	\$	9,900	0 \$ 8,380	Caltrans	\$	900	\$ 728	\$	500	\$	66	Caltrans	\$	18,520	\$ -	\$	86,78	0 \$	
				Corridor Summary		\$	3,50	0 \$	2,342		\$	13,52	26 \$ 9,74	G	\$	900	\$ 822	<b>6</b> \$	500	) \$	93 6		\$	24,520	\$ 6,540	0 6 \$	129,8	18 \$	34,177
9	10	SJ	205	I-205 Auxiliary Lanes	SJCOG	\$	1,169	9 \$	1,145	SJCOG	\$	4,830	0 \$ 3,420	SJCOG	\$	100	\$ 60	<b>6</b> \$	1,150	\$	3 6	Caltrans	\$	4,100	\$ -	6 \$	40,65	3 \$	
0	05	SLO		Route 46 Corridor Improvements (Whitley 1)	Caltrans	\$		- \$	- (	Caltrans	\$	6,400	0 \$ 5,967	G Caltrans	\$	1,200	\$ 1,434	s \$	10,400	\$ 6	6,504 <b>G</b>	Caltrans	\$	7,000	\$ -	G \$	84,50	0 \$	-
и	/iden	US 1	01 & A	Add Aux Lns Marsh Rd to Emba	rcadero Rd	I - Co	rridor F	Projec	t																	十		_	
1	04	SM	101	Corridor Project #1 (EA 23563)	Caltrans	\$	2,800	) \$	2,761	Caltrans	\$	6,050	6 \$ 3,778	Caltrans	\$	316	\$ 89	\$	903	\$	-	Caltrans	\$	8,259	\$ -	\$	55,05	7 \$	
				Corridor Project #3 (EA 23564)	Caltrans	\$	1,300	\$	1,296	Caltrans	\$	2,78	8 \$ 963	Caltrans	\$	176	\$ 45	\$	1,800	\$	-	Caltrans	\$	3,802	\$ -	\$	25,34	8 \$	
f				Corridor Summary		\$	4,10	0 \$	4,057	3	\$	8,84	4,74	G	\$	492	\$ 134	<b>G</b> \$	2,703	3 \$	- G		\$	12,061	\$	- <b>G</b> \$	80,40	05 \$	-
				I-880 Widening (SR 237 to US 101)	SCVTA	\$				SCVTA	\$		0 \$ 3,894	G SCVTA	\$	-	\$ -	<b>G</b> \$	8,100			Caltrans	\$	9,810		<b>G</b> \$	65,39		
			101	US 101 Aux Lanes - State Route 85 to Embarcadero Rd		\$	•		3,927	SCVTA	\$		2 \$ 4,622	SCVTA	\$	-	\$ -	<b>G</b> \$	6,612		376 <b>G</b>	Caltrans	\$	11,080		<b>G</b> \$	73,85		
			101	US 101 Improvements (I-280 to Yerba Buena Rd)	SCVTA	\$			3,320	SCVTA	\$	6,550	0 \$ 6,550	G SCVTA	\$	-		G \$	1,850		605 <b>G</b>	Caltrans	\$	6,690		<b>G</b> \$	44,56		
5	05	SCr	1	Highway 1 Soquel to Morrissey Auxiliary Lanes	SCCRTC	\$	2,010	\$	2,059	SCCRTC	\$	1,26	1 \$ 232	G Caltrans	\$	205	\$ 2	<b>G</b> \$	352	\$	<sup>2</sup> G	Caltrans	\$	2,700	\$ -	<b>G</b> \$	15,64	0 \$	-
	_	Sha	E	Cottonwood Hills Truck Climbing	Caltrans	\$	1,470	) \$	305	Caltrans	\$	1 92	0 \$ 1,378	G Caltrans	\$	966	\$ 4	<b>G</b> \$	185	¢		Caltrans	\$	2,100	\$ 12	\$	20,80	2 \$	

			·	Project			menta	•	,	D	_	n Sup					Righ	t of Wa	y (1,	000's)					Co	nstr	uction (	1,000	's)		
					SI	ıppoı	rt (1,0	JUU 8	5)		(1	,000's)	1			Sup	port			Ca <sub>l</sub>	pital			Sup	port				Ca	pital	
#	D	СО	RTE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Agency	A	Appd		Ехр	Agency		Appd	Е	хр	Agency	A	Appd	Exp		Appd		Exp	Agency	ı	Appd		Exp		Appd		Ехр
Н	OV la	nes, Fa	airfield	(Rt 80/680/12 to Putah Creek) - C	orridor Projec	:t																						T			
17	04	Sol	80	Corridor Project #1 (EA 0A531)	STA	\$	4,475	\$	4,475	STA	\$	2,725	\$ 2	,470	STA	\$	-	\$ -	5	;	- \$	-	Caltrans	\$	6,351	\$	4,058	\$	53,210	) \$	28,101
				Replacement Project																								1			
				Corridor Project #3 (EA 0A532)	STA	\$	300	\$	300	STA	\$	1,500	\$ 1	,151	STA	\$	-	\$ -	5	;	- \$	-	Caltrans	\$	1,319	\$	-	\$	6,907	7 \$	-
-				Bond Project Summary		\$	4,475	5 \$	4,475 ##	ŧ	\$	2,725	\$	2,470	3	\$	-	\$ -	G	\$	- (	\$ - <mark>G</mark>		\$	6,35	1 \$	4,058 <b>G</b>	\$	53,21	0 \$	28,101
	٨	Vot Bor	nd	Corridor Project #2 (EA 4C15U)		\$	840	\$	672		\$	-	\$	578		\$	21	\$ 0	5	1 1	0 \$	· -		\$	4,300	\$	1,586	\$	25,600	) \$	11,858
ľ				Corridor Summary		\$	5,315	5 \$	5,147 G		\$	2,725	\$	3,048	3	\$	21	\$ 0	G	\$ 1	0 5	\$ - <mark>G</mark>		\$	10,65	1 \$	5,645 G	\$	78,81	0 \$	39,95
18	04	Son		Central Phase A - US 101 HOV Lns from Railroad Ave to Rohnert Park Expressway	SCTA	\$	3,500	\$	3,196 <b>G</b>	SCTA	\$	10,000	\$ 8	3,197	Caltrans	\$	750	\$ 536	G	9,70	0 \$	5 1,312 <b>G</b>	Caltrans	\$	10,500	\$	<sup>785</sup> G	\$	83,800	) \$	534
9	04	Son		US 101 HOV lanes - North Phase A (from Steele Lane to Windsor River Road)	SCTA	\$	3,500	\$	3,314 <b>G</b>	Caltrans	\$	6,000	\$ 3	3,898	Caltrans	\$	500	\$ 84	G	7,06	0 \$	6 - <mark>G</mark>	Caltrans	\$	12,000	\$	6,343 <b>G</b>	\$	91,200	) \$	66,343
50	04	Son		US 101 HOV Lanes - Wilfred Ave to Santa Rosa Ave	Caltrans	\$	5,018	\$	4,994 G	Caltrans	\$	6,757	\$ 6	i,818	Caltrans	\$	840	\$ 975	Р	7,23	0 \$	3 2,720 <b>G</b>	Caltrans	\$	6,600	\$	3,168 <b>G</b>	\$	63,270	) \$	10,229
1	10	Sta		SR-219 Expressway, Phase 1 (SR-99 to Morrow Road)	Caltrans	\$	1,648	\$	1,621	Caltrans	\$	2,828	\$ 2	2,891 F	Caltrans	\$	3,260	\$ 3,506	Р	28,00	0 \$	5 15,786 G	Caltrans	\$	2,000	\$	1,565	\$	12,760	) \$	5,684
2	10	Sta		SR-219 Expressway, Phase 2 (Morrow Road to Route 108)	Caltrans	\$	-	\$	- G	Caltrans	\$	2,000	\$ 2	2,768	Caltrans	\$	2,000	\$ 1,879	Š	17,00	0 \$	3,614	Caltrans	\$	3,500	\$	-	\$	26,000	) \$	
3	10	Tuo	108	E. Sonora Bypass Stage II	Caltrans	\$	120	\$	111 G	Caltrans	\$	3,800	\$ 5	,577	Caltrans	\$	4,000	\$ 2,534	G	19,20	0 \$	8,691 <b>G</b>	Caltrans	\$	5,000	\$	-	\$	33,800	) \$	
4	07	Ven		HOV Lanes, Mussel Shoals to Casitas Pass Road	Caltrans	\$	4,400	\$	5,054 <b>s</b>	Caltrans	\$	14,800	\$ 16	,645	Caltrans	\$	441	\$ 37	s	22	9 \$	64 <b>s</b>	Caltrans	\$	15,300	\$	- <b>G</b>	\$	116,300	) \$	



# Third Quarter FY 2009-10 State Route 99 (SR99) Bond Program Report

Quarterly Report to the California Transportation Commission



# State Route 99 Corridor Program Status


Third Quarter FY 2009-10

In the State Route 99 Corridor bond program budget, \$832 million is to be allocated for construction. There is also \$20 million set aside for bond administrative costs. The balance of \$148 million is for non-construction funded project components including right of way capital and engineering support costs. To date, \$144 million has been allocated. The total of \$144 million committed or delivered to date utilizes 14 percent of the available program funds.

#### **SR99 Bond Construction Allocations (millions)**

\$400 \$300 \$200 \$100 \$0 08-09 09-10 11-12 Total 10-11 Base \$62 \$275 \$158 \$337 \$832 Approved \$62 \$299 \$134 \$337 \$832 Allocated \$0 \$87 \$0 \$0 \$87

### **Projects by Phase**



#### SR99 Bond Funds Committed (millions)

Component	Available	Allocated	Percent
Construction	\$ 832	\$ 87	10%
Non-Construction			
RW Capital	\$ 54	\$ 24	44%
Support	\$ 94	\$ 33	35%
Subtotal	\$ 148	\$ 57	39%
Bond Administration	\$ 20		
Program Total	\$ 1,000	\$ 144	14%

#### **Completed Phases**

13	100%
4	31%
0	0%
0	0%
	_

# State Route 99 Corridor Program Progress Report

Third Quarter FY 2009-10

This report reflects the program delivery status of State Route 99 Corridor (SR99) bond funds for the 13 projects adopted on March 15, 2007 by the California Transportation Commission. The projects adopted into the program have a current approved overall value of \$1.334 billion including SR99 bond funds for \$980 million.

#	Со	Rte	Project	Scope	Budget	Schedule	Phase	%
1	But	99	Butte SR99 Chico Auxiliary Lanes	<b>*</b>	<b>♦</b>	<b>•</b>	Design	83
2	Fre	99	Island Park 6-Lane	☑	☑	☑	Advertised	
3	Mad	99	Reconstruct Interchange at Ave 12	☑	☑	☑	Design	25
4	Mer	99	Arboleda Road Freeway	Ø	☑	•	Design	98
5	Mer	99	Freeway Upgrade & Plainsburg Road IC	☑	☑	☑	Design	95
6	Sac	99	Add Aux Ln Calvine to N Mack Rd on 99		☑	☑	Advertised	
7	Sac	99	SR 99 / Elverta Road Interchange	<b>•</b>	•	☑	Design	20
8	SJ	99	SR 99 (South Stockton) Widening	☑	☑	☑	Design	35
9	SJ	99	SR99 Widening in Manteca & San Joaquin	<b>*</b>	•	☑	Environmental	95
10	Sut	99	SR 99 / Riego Road Interchange		•	☑	Design	35
11	Sut	99	Sutter 99 Segment 2	☑	Ø	☑	Advertised	
12	Teh	99	Los Molinos	☑	☑	☑	Advertised	
13	Tul	99	Goshen to Kingsburg 6-Lane	☑	☑	☑	Design	90
<u>I</u>	Legei	<u>nd</u>	<ul> <li>✓ No known scope, budget or sched</li> <li>→ Potential scope, budget or sched</li> <li>✓ Known scope, budget or schedule</li> </ul>	ule i	ssue	es.		

#### **Overall Program Status**

To date, all 13 projects have completed the preliminary engineering and environmental evaluation phase, and are currently being designed to prepare plans for construction. Four projects have completed design and received an allocation last quarter and are being advertised.

#### FY 2009-10 Accomplishments

Progress continues to be made to deliver and implement the adopted SR99 Corridor program. To date, in FY 2009-10 three projects have completed the preliminary engineering and environmental milestone and three projects have met the end design milestone.

#### Third Quarter FY 2009-10 Milestones Met

The following projects completed a major project delivery milestone in the last quarter:

Cty	Rte	Project	Milestone
SJ	99	SR99 Widening in Manteca & San Joaquin	PAED

#### **Program Management**

Five projects have cost, schedule or scope variances and action plans have been prepared to request changes to the SR99 baseline agreements.

This fall, a major amendment will be presented to the Commission to "right size" the SR99 program that will make minor adjustments to existing project components and identify overall savings in the program.

# The California Department of Transportation

Third Quarter FY 2009-10

# State Route 99 Program Delivery Report 13 Planned Deliveries

				///	//							/		/		/	
6	OJE OJE OJE OJE OJE OJE OJE OJE OJE OJE	Kulund Strict	HR PARTY	oute total	LPRO	JECT C	OST SSI CHIA PROJ	tet cost  projected ahead of Schedule	,OM char	HVIR	June End	) Ester	, chos	GHT	FWAY AWAR	, ,	/ cor
		Award	ded	Completed by due to av	7	<u>~</u> /	Awarded al	nead of Schedule	<i>,</i>			Mile	stone Behin rd Behind S	u Sci	ledule		
1	03	But	99		,520	\$	23,520	Butte SR 99 Chico Auxilliary Lanes - Phase II	03/24/05		05/10/10		06/01/10		12/01/10		10/15/13
Is	land	Park 6	6-Lan	e - Corridor	Proje	ect											
				\$ 26	,950	\$	26,950	Corridor Project #1 (EA 44261)	07/22/09		09/30/09				09/01/10		09/01/12
2	06	Fre	99	\$ 66	,050	\$	66,050	Corridor Project #1 (EA 44262)	04/01/10		03/01/12		05/01/12		09/01/12		07/01/16
				\$ 93	,000	\$	93,000	Corridor Summary	07/22/10		09/30/09		05/01/12		09/01/12		09/01/12
3	06	Mad	99	\$ 68	,000	\$	48,400	Reconstruct Interchange at Avenue 12	09/24/09		05/01/12				10/01/12		11/01/15
4	10	Mer	99	\$ 176	,787	\$	140,300	Arboleda Road Freeway	06/30/06		05/31/10		05/31/10		09/30/10		10/28/13
5	10	Mer	99	\$ 118	,720	\$	108,000	Freeway Upgrade & Plainsburg Road I/C	06/30/06		09/01/10		09/01/10		01/18/11		02/01/14
6	03	Sac	99	\$ 8	,500	\$	6,860	Add Aux Lane Calvine to North of Mack Rd on 99	01/15/09		12/01/09		06/01/10		07/15/10		10/15/12
7	03	Sac	99	\$ 30	,200	\$	19,110	SR 99/Elverta Rd. Interchange	07/14/09		12/01/10		12/01/10		05/01/11		01/01/13
8	10	SJ	99	\$ 250	,500	\$	153,900	SR 99 (South Stockton) Widening	10/28/08	$\stackrel{\star}{\boxtimes}$	02/01/12		01/15/12		05/15/12		06/01/15
9	10	SJ	99	\$ 250	,000	\$	133,000	SR 99 Widening in Manteca and San Joaquin	03/15/10	W	10/01/11		09/01/11		03/01/12	_	07/01/15
10	03	Sut	99	\$ 31	,020	\$	19,110	SR 99 / Riego Road Interchange	11/29/07	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\boxtimes}$	01/01/11		04/15/11				01/01/13
11	03	Sut	99	,	,726	\$	· ·	Sutter 99 Segment 2	03/12/04		06/26/09		04/01/09		07/15/10		04/01/14
L	os Mo	olinos	- Stag	ged Constru	ction	Proje	ect		00/40/00		40/47/00	٨	44/00/00		04/44/46	,	40/04/40
								Stage #1	03/12/09		12/17/09	V	11/23/09		04/14/10		12/31/10
12	02	Teh	99					Stage #2	04/01/09		02/01/11		02/01/11		07/01/11		12/31/12
				\$ 7	,034	\$	4,900	Project Summary	03/12/09		12/17/09		11/23/09		07/01/11		12/31/10
13	06	Tul	99	\$ 172	,824	\$	156,400	Goshen to Kingsburg 6-Lane	11/03/06		02/01/10		03/01/10		07/01/10		08/01/13
		Total (	Cost	\$ 1,327,	797	\$	975,100										

# SR99 Project Action Plan Report

#### Third Quarter FY 2009-10

Each project in the program is being monitored at the component level for potential scope, cost and schedule to ensure timely delivery of the full scope as approved and adopted. Listed below are project action plans that have been identified to address identified scope, cost or schedule issues on projects.

#### **Index of Project Action Plans by Category**

(1) F	Potent	ial Pro	jects to Watch				
ID D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Proposed Adjustments	

# (2) Project changes that will require Commission action, plan has been submitted for preparing an amendment. ID D Co Rte Project Title Bond's \$ (1,000's) Total \$ (1,000's) Proposed Adjustments

1	03	But	99	Butte SR99 Chico Auxiliary Lanes	<i>\$ 23,520</i>	\$ 39,520	SR99 Program Amendment	

(	(3) Project changes that will require Commission action, preparation of a plan has just started.												
ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Proposed Adjustments						
4	10	Mer	99	Arboleda Road Freeway	\$ 140,300	\$ 176,787	Schedule						
9	10	SJ	99	SR 99 Widening in Manteca and San Joaquir	n \$ 153,900	\$ 250,500	SR99 Program Amendment						
10	03	Sut	99	Riego Rd IC	\$ 19,110	\$ 31,020	Cost						

(4) Program amendments that were approved by the CTC during the past quarter									
ID	D	Со	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance		
12	2	Teh	99	Los Molinos	\$ 4,900	\$ 7,034	Cost, Scope, Schedule		

#### **Project Action Plans – Narrative** (in project ID order)

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
1	03	But	99	Butte SR99 Chico Auxiliary Lanes	\$ 23,520	\$ 39,520	Cost, Scope, Schedule

#### **Project Action Plan:**

A right of way allocation of STIP funds was requested in January 2009. The amendment utilizes CMAQ funds and redistributes RW funds to add back scope (southbound auxiliary lane) that was previously deleted. The additional scope results in a cost increase for design and construction capital costs. The added costs can be funded within the overall project budget including the CMAQ funds added to the project.

A CMIA Baseline Agreement amendment was noticed at the February 2010 Commission meeting and subsequently approved at the April Commission meeting.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Proposed Adjustments
4	10	Mer	99	Arboleda Road Freeway	\$ 140,300	\$ 176,787	Schedule

#### **Project Action Plan:**

Additional time is needed to secure the necessary right of way needed to deliver the project and put out to bid for construction. The project was originally committed for delivery in January, and is now planned for delivery in June.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Proposed Adjustments
7	3	Sac	99	Elverta Road IC	\$ 19,110	\$ 30,200	Scope, Cost

#### Project Action Plan:

Minor cost increases in PAED, RW Capital, and Construction Capital have been supplemented by local funds from Sacramento County. The Construction Capital increase is due to additional costs for utilities, habitat mitigation and change in bridge length and width. The changes in bridge costs are being evaluated to see if this is a scope change to the baseline amendment.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Proposed Adjustments
9	10	SJ	99	SR 99 Widening in Manteca and San Joaqui	n \$ 133,000	\$ 250,500	Cost, Scope

#### **Project Action Plan:**

Additional work during PA&ED was performed to address unforeseen public response to the interchange alternatives, which resulted in additional funding needs for Design (\$4.5M), R/W Support (\$2M) & Capital (\$18.5M), and Construction Support (\$4.3M). The overall project remains within the baseline budget. The cost increases will be fully covered by the cost savings in Construction Capital identified in the Value Analysis study and reduced item prices. The post mile limit for the project needs to be extended from 5.3 to 4.9 at the south end of the project in order to include the striping changes needed to transition traffic back from three lanes to two lanes. Replacement planting and mitigation will be included in the mainline widening project, and this work is covered within the current budget. The schedule is being adjusted to accommodate the increase in the number of parcels necessary for the project and to provide Right-of-Way with adequate time to acquire the properties and certify the project. An action plan is in process, which proposes to revise the schedule and transfer the capital savings to cover the additional funding needs identified for support and R/W capital.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
10	03	Sut	99	Riego Rd IC	\$ 19,110	\$ 31,020	Cost

The latest right of way capital cost shows a variance. A plan is being developed to address the cost variance.

ID	D	Co	Rte	Project Title	Bond's \$ (1,000's)	Total \$ (1,000's)	Variance
12	2	Teh	99	Los Molinos	\$ 4,900	\$ 7,034	Cost, Scope, Schedule

#### **Project Action Plan:**

A number of transportation enhancements (support and capital components) were added to the project. In order to advance the majority of work, the project was also split into two projects. A CMIA Baseline Agreement amendment was noticed at the December 2009 Commission meeting and subsequently approved at the January Commission meeting.

								State	Route	99 E	3on	d Pro	gram	Pro	ject	Exp	ene	ditu	res							
										Т	hird	l Quart	er FY 2	2009	-10											
	G	Est Pico Pos	imate sts < st Vot	t Conditions d cost < or = budget 120%; No CTC action requ e within 20% at vote	i				Potential co s Estimate B Estimate o Estimate	d cost S d cost E	STIP :	> 120% b 0 > 100%	udget	et			S	Actual Acual o	cost S	STIP > 1 BOND >	nditions - 20% budge 100% budg nds > 100%	et				
Project Environmental (PAE Support (1,000's)			,	(1 000's)						tion (1,000's)																
		_	_		30	рроп	(1,00	,o s,		(1,000	0 3)			Sup	port			(	Capital			Support			Capit	al
#	D	СО	RTE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Agency	Арр	pd	Exp	Agency	Appo	t	Exp	Agency	F	Appd	Exp		Appd		Ехр	Agency	Appd	Exp		Appd	Exp
1	03	But	99	Butte SR 99 Chico Auxilliary Lanes - Phase II	BCAG	\$	-	\$ -	BCAG	\$ 4,5	15 \$	4,494	BCAG	\$	-	\$ -	G	1,6	53 \$	-	BCAG	\$	- \$ -	<b>G</b> \$	33,352	\$ -
				- Corridor Project	-				•				-								-					
2	06	Fre	99	Corridor Project #1 (EA 44261)	Caltrans	\$	500	\$ 500	Caltrans	\$ 1,50	00 \$	1,500	Caltrans	\$	200	\$ 6	\$	5 2	50 \$	-	Caltrans	\$ 3,500	) \$ -	\$	21,000	\$ -
				Corridor Project #2 (EA 44262)	Caltrans	\$ 2,	700	\$ 2,667	Caltrans	\$ 3,4	00 \$	509	Caltrans	\$	500	\$ 67	. 4	1,3	50 \$	-	Caltrans	\$ 4,100	) \$ -	\$	54,000	\$ -
				Corridor Summary		\$ 3,	200	\$ 3,167	G	\$ 4,9	00 \$	2,009	3	\$	700	\$ 73	G	1,6	00		G	\$ 7,600	)	G \$	75,000	\$ -
3	06	Мас	1 99	Reconstruct Interchange at Avenue 12	Caltrans	\$ 2,	000	\$ 1,799	G Caltrans	\$ 4,0	00 \$	528	Caltrans	\$	500	\$ 25	G	6,7	00 \$	24	G Caltrans	\$ 4,800	) \$ -	<b>G</b> \$	50,000	\$ -
4	10	Mei	99	Arboleda Road Freeway	Caltrans	\$ 4,	917	\$ 4,104	G Caltrans	\$ 6,4	00 \$	4,914	Caltrans	\$	1,570	\$ 1,575	s	24,9	00 \$	1,577	G Caltrans	\$ 12,000	) \$ -	G \$	127,000	\$ -
5	10	Mei	99	Freeway Upgrade & Plainsburg Road I/C	Caltrans	\$ 3,	243	\$ 2,579	G Caltrans	\$ 5,3	00 \$	4,022	Caltrans	\$	700	\$ 319	G	6,4	77 \$	13	G Caltrans	\$ 8,300	) \$ -	<b>G</b> \$	94,700	\$ -
6	03	SAC	99	Add Aux Lane Calvine to North of Mack Rd on 99	Caltrans	\$	300	\$ 277	G Caltrans	\$ 8	00 \$	779	Caltrans	\$	75	\$ 25	G	5	15 \$	15	G Caltrans	\$ 750	) \$ -	<b>G</b> \$	6,560	\$ -
			99	SR 99/Elverta Rd. Interchange	Sac Co			<u>L</u>	O Sac Co	\$ 1,8			Sac Co	\$		\$ -	G		00 \$	L	G Sac Co	\$	- \$ -	<b>G</b> \$	24,400	<u> </u>
				SR 99 (South Stockton) Widening	Caltrans	\$ 6,	030	\$ 5,916	G Caltrans	\$ 8,0	00 \$	3,814	Caltrans	\$	7,000	\$ 1,906		59,9		L	G Caltrans	\$ 15,500	) \$ -	<b>G</b> \$	154,000	\$ -
9	10	SJ	99	SR 99 Widening in Manteca and San Joaquin	SJCOG	\$ 3,	600	\$ 3,600	G SJCOG	\$ 8,0	00 \$	8,000	Caltrans Caltrans	\$	2,700	\$ 9	Ľ	12,5		<u>L</u>	B Caltrans	\$ 10,400	\$ -	<mark>В</mark> \$	212,800	\$ -
				SR 99 / Riego Road Interchange	Sut Co	\$	520	\$ 520	G Caltrans	. ,		1,329	Caltrans	\$		\$ 203		3,2		<u>L</u>	O Caltrans	\$ 3,000		<b>G</b> \$	21,300	
			99	Sutter 99 Segment 2	Caltrans	\$	-		G Caltrans	\$ 3,6		2,053		\$		\$ 342			50 \$		G Caltrans	\$ 7,000	· · ·	G \$	73,126	·
				Los Molinos (Stage 1&2)	Caltrans	•			G Caltrans		72 \$	•	1	\$	198		•		00 \$		G Caltrans	-		G \$	4,880	
13	06	Tul	99	Goshen to Kingsburg 6-Lane	Caltrans	\$ 2,	390	\$ 2,383	G Caltrans	\$ 8,0	00 \$	4,391	Caltrans	\$	600	\$ 320	G	1,8	34 \$	572	G Caltrans	\$ 10,000	) \$ -	<b>G</b> \$	150,000	\$ -



# FY 2009-10 Third Quarter Proposition 1B Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program Project Delivery Report

January 1 - March 31, 2010 Federal Fiscal Year 2010

Quarterly Report to the California Transportation Commission



# Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program Status

Third Ouarter Fiscal Year 2009-10

The purpose of this report is to provide information on the Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program (LBSRP) delivery status for the 479 bridges adopted by the California Transportation Commission (Commission) on May 28, 2007.

Subsequent to the adoption of the LBSRP by the Commission, 53 out of the 479 bridges adopted by the Commission were identified as not needing bond funds. Therefore, this report will reflect the program delivery of 426 bond bridges from here on.

The Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality and Port Security Bond Act of 2006 (Prop 1B) authorized \$125 million of state funds for the LBSRP. These funds provide the 11.47 percent required non-federal local match for right of way and construction phases of remaining seismic retrofit work on local bridges, ramps, and overpasses, and includes \$2.5 million set aside for bond administrative costs. An additional \$32.9 million of state funds have been identified to cover the non-federal match. These funds are available through an exchange of a portion of the local funds received from federal Highway Bridge Program (HBP). These funds are available to accommodate part of the current \$45.8 million shortfall in required local match needs. Consistent with the Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Guidelines adopted by the Commission, the Department of

Transportation (Department) sub-allocates the bond funds on a first come, first serve basis, for new phases of right of way and construction work.

The Commission allocated \$13.5 million bond funds for Fiscal Year (FY) 2007-08, \$21 million bond funds for FY 2008-09, and \$12.2 million bond funds for FY 2009-10. The bond funds allocated by the Commission are available for sub-allocation by the Department for one fiscal year. Therefore, bond funds not sub-allocated from FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 will be available for future years. Consistent with the Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Guidelines, the Department has exchanged \$24.3 million of local share of funds received through the federal HBP for state funds to accommodate local non-federal match needs for Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) and other transit bridges. To date. \$14.8 million of State match and \$16.1 million of seismic bond funds have been suballocated to local agencies for a total of \$30.9 million.

This report satisfies the Commission's quarterly reporting requirement for Proposition 1B Quarterly Report on the LBSRP. Information on the progress of LBSRP is tracked based on the federal fiscal year (FFY).

# Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program Progress Report

#### **Overall Bond Program Status**

To date, of the total 426 bridges, prestrategy work has been completed on 419 bridges, the design phase has been completed on 143 bridges, Construction is underway on 107 bridges, and retrofit is complete on 36 bridges.

#### FFY 2010 Bond Program Accomplishments

Progress continues to be made to implement and deliver the LBSRP. Local agencies have identified 19 bridges to be delivered in FFY 2009-10.

As of March 31, 2010, the Department has sub-allocated \$3.6 million of state match for one bridge project (based on projects authorized) in the FY 2009-10 and eleven bridges have completed construction phase.

#### **Second Quarter FFY 2010 Milestones Met**

The following bridges completed major project delivery milestones in the last quarter:

Local Agency	Br. No.	Project	Milestone	
Del Mar	57C0207	North Torrey Pines Road	Advanced to Construction	
Alameda County	33C0026	High Street over Oakland Estuary	Complete	
Alameda County	33C0027	Park Street over Oakland Estuary	Complete	
Los Angeles County	53C0139	College Park Drive over San Gabriel Bridge	Complete	
Los Angeles County	53C0471	Washington Blvd over Rio Honda	Complete	

Los Angeles County	53C1851	Oak Grove Drive over Flint Canyon Wash	Complete
Los Angeles County	53C1829	Oak Grove Blvd over Arroyo Seco	Complete
Los Angeles County	53C1915	4 <sup>th</sup> Street over Alhambra High School	Complete
San Joaquin County	29C0187	Airport Way over San Joaquin River	Complete
San Jose	37C0052L	Southwest Expressway over Meridian Avenue	Complete
San Jose	37C0701	East Julian Street over Coyote Creek	Complete
San Jose	37C0732	East William Street over Coyote Creek	Complete
Los Angeles County	53C0031	Alondra Blvd & Los Angeles River	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C0106	Imperial Highway & San Gabriel River	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C0139	College Park Dr & San Gabriel River	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C0266	Willow St & Coyote Creek	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C0329	Garey Ave & UPRR Amtrak	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C0458	UPRR & Santa Fe Ave	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C0495	Irwindale Ave & Big Dalton Wash	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C0592	Cherry Ave & UPRR	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C0671	Azusa Canyon Rd & Big Dalton Wash	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C0864	Martin L. King Jr. Ave & SPTCRR	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C0916	First St & White Ave	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C0918	First St & Towne Ave	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C0951	Garey Ave & UPRR	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C1577	Oleander Ave & Compton Creek	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C1829	Oak Grove Drive & Arroyo Seco	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C1851	Oak Grove Drive & Flint Canyon Wash	Final Delivery
Los Angeles County	53C1915	4 <sup>th</sup> St & Alhambra High School POC	Final Delivery
Orange County	55C0038	Santiago Canyon Rd	Final Delivery
San Luis Obispo Co.	49C0338	Moonstone Beach & Leffingwell Creek	Final Delivery


#### **Program Management**

Following table shows the list of LBSRP bridges that are programmed for delivery in FFY 2009-10. Each project in the LBSRP is being monitored at the component level for potential scope, cost, and schedule changes to ensure timely delivery of the full scope as approved and adopted. The following projects are locked in for delivery in the FFY 2009-10 and local agencies will not be allowed to change their schedules. Projects programmed in the current FFY for which federal funds are not obligated by end of the FFY may be removed from fundable element of the FTIP at the discretion of the Department.

#### **Programmed Bridges in FFY 2009-10**


	I	l	<del>-</del>				Ctata Funda
District	Agency	Bridge Number	Description	Phase	Bond Amount Programmed	Bond Amount Sub- Allocated as of 3/31/10	State Funds Sub-Allocated as of 3/31/10
03	03 Placer County 19C0060		Auburn-Foresthill Road	Construction	\$6,998,306	\$0	
04	Oakland	33C0148	23rd Avenue	Right of Way	\$5,735	\$0	
04	Oakland	33C0253	Coliseum Way	Right of Way	\$5,735	\$0	
05	Monterey County	44C0151	Peach Tree Road	Right of Way	\$5,735	\$0	
05	Santa Barbara County	51C0014	Jalama Road	Construction	\$82,924	\$0	
05	Santa Barbara County	51C0016	Jalama Road	Construction	\$112,142	\$0	
08	Indio	56C0084	Jackson Street	Right of Way	\$2,294	\$0	
08	Indio	56C0283	South Bound Indio Boulevard	Right of Way	\$2,294	\$0	
08	Indio	56C0291	Jackson Street	Right of Way	\$2,294	\$0	
08	Indio	56C0292	North Bound Indio Boulevard	Right of Way	\$2,294	\$0	
11	Del Mar	57C0207	North Torrey Pines Road	Right of Way	\$6,882	\$0	
11	Del Mar	57C0207	North Torrey Pines Road	Construction	\$3,900,506	\$0	\$3,599,410
12	Newport Beach	55C0149L	South Bound Jamboree Road	Construction	\$96,459	\$0	
12	Newport Beach	55C0149R	North Bound Jamboree Road	Construction	\$67,761	\$0	
12	Newport Beach	55C0151	Bayside Drive	Construction	\$57,500	\$0	
12	12 Orange County 55C0655		John Wayne Airport - Macarthur	Construction	\$468,894	\$0	
12	Orange County	55C0656	Route 55 Departures	Construction	\$109,539	\$0	
12	12 Orange County 55C0657		Macarthur	Construction	\$40,260	\$0	
12	Orange County	55C0658	Departures Traffic Construction		\$186,961	\$0	
			TOTAL		\$12,154,515	\$0	\$3,599,410

North Torrey Pine Bridge (Br. No. 57C0207) is using state transferred funds instead of the bond funds since these funds may expire. Unlike state funds, the unused allocated bond funds may be re-allocated in the future years.



Funds are being tracked based on Federal Fiscal Year. Sub-Allocation is based on approved program supplement.

## Bond Funded Bridges by Phase



#### **Bond Funds Committed and Expended (millions)**

Component	Available	CTC Allocated	Expended
LBSRP Bond RW & Const.	\$122.5	\$46.7	\$16.1
State RW & Const.	\$32.9	\$24.3	\$14.8
Total	\$155.4	\$71.0	\$30.9
Bond Administrative Cost	\$2.5		

<sup>\*</sup> Projection is based on LA-ODIS information for Third quarter of the FY 2009-10.

# Status of Local Bridges Identified to Receive Bond Match by Phase of Work

Agency Group	Number of Agencies	Bridges in Pre- Strategy	Bridges in Post-Strategy	Bridges in Construction	Completed	Total No.
Los Angeles Region (City and County)	2	0	14	34	14	62
Department of Water Resources	1	0	23	0	0	23
BART*	1	0	120	62	3	185
All Other Agencies	59	7	119	11	19	156
Total	63	7	276	107	36	426
Status per December 31 2009 Report	63	7	277	117	25	426
Status per Year- End Report for FFY 2009	63	7	283	113	23	426

<sup>\*29</sup> BART bridges in Pre-Strategy and 13 BART bridges in Post-Strategy were de-federalized. Status of phases provided in this table is confirmed by the Department and may be different from the attached delivery report that contains unconfirmed data submitted by local agencies.

DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTINATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTINATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY.	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$S_{COPE}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
01	Humboldt County	04C0007	Bald Hills Road	\$2,294	\$1,485,365				6/30/11	100% ROW	V	V	8
01	Humboldt County	04C0055	Mattole Road (Honeydew)	\$3,441	\$688,200		9/30/11	8/30/11	11/30/12	Design Phase Started	V	V	8
01	Humboldt County	04C0104	Waddington Road	\$1,147	\$114,700		9/30/11	4/30/11	4/30/12	80% Design	<b>\</b>	V	8
01	Humboldt County	04C0207	Williams Creek Road	\$0	\$137,640			2/1/11	9/30/11	100% Design	V	8	<b>⊗</b>
01	Mendocino County	10C0034	Eureka Hill Road	\$17,200	\$321,160		10/18/10	5/2/11	3/1/13	7% Design	V	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
01	Mendocino County	10C0048	Moore Street	\$11,470	\$183,520		6/14/10	5/2/11	9/30/12	7% Design	V	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
01	Mendocino County	10C0084	School Way	\$13,191	\$445,070		12/1/10	8/15/11	11/30/12	5% Design	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
02	Lassen County	07C0070	Road306/Cappezolli	\$0	\$137,640	<b>A</b>	10/1/12	9/1/13	12/1/14	Design Phase Started	V	V	⊗
02	Lassen County	07C0088	County Road 417	\$0	\$227,106		10/1/12	9/1/13	12/1/14	Design Phase Started	V	V	V
02	Redding	06C0108L	Cypress Avenue West Bound	\$0	\$114,700			0. 1. 10	12/31/10	77% Construction	V	V	V
02	Redding	06C0108R	Cypress Avenue East Bound	\$0	\$114,700				12/31/10	77% Construction	V	<b>▼</b>	<b>V</b>
02	Tehama County	08C0008	Evergreen Road	\$12,000	\$688,200		6/30/10	12/30/10	4/30/13	25% Design	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>
02	Tehama County	08C0009	Bowman Road	\$9,000	\$802,900		9/30/10	4/30/10	4/30/11	90% Design 20% ROW	V	<b>V</b>	<b>⊗</b>
02	Tehama County	08C0043	Jellys Ferry Road	\$11,000	\$974,950		6/30/10	12/30/10	4/30/13	65% Design	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	•

✓ No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts ⊗ Known scope, budget, or schedule impact
 ◆ Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)


Phase Complete Completed ahead of schedule Behind schedule

DISTRICT	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTIMATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$J_{dOOS}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
	Butte County	12C0120	Ord Ferry Road	\$3,000	\$1,525,510		2/1/11	2/1/11	6/30/15	10% Design	<b>\</b>	8	V
03	Nevada County	17C0045	Hirschdale Road	\$7,000	\$308,000		12/30/11	11/30/11	5/30/14	60% Design 10% ROW	V	V	8
03	Nevada County	17C0046	Hirschdale Road	\$6,310	\$277,640		12/30/11	11/30/11	5/30/14	50% Design 10% ROW	V	V	×
03	Placer County	19C0060	Auburn-Foresthill Road	\$5,735	\$4,588,000				3/1/13	Waiting Award	V	<b>(X)</b>	<b>⊗</b>
03	Yolo County	22C0074	County Road 57	\$0	. ,	<b>A</b>			6/30/12	Waiting Award	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
04	Alameda	33C0230	Ballena Boulevard	\$0	\$62,309				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	V
04	Alameda County	33C0026	High Street	\$0	. ,				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V
	Alameda County	33C0027	Park Street	\$0					<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V
04	Alameda County	33C0147	Fruitvale Avenue	\$0	. ,		5/30/10	5/10/10	4/30/11	90% Design	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V
04	Alameda County	33C0237	Elgin Street	\$0	. ,		5/30/11	5/30/11	12/30/11	95% Design	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
04	Antioch	28C0054	Wilbur Avenue	\$0	\$917,600		8/9/10	10/20/10	10/22/12	95% Design 75% ROW	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	•
04	Concord	28C0442	Marsh Drive	\$0	. ,		5/30/10	7/30/10	4/1/11	81% Design	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	•
04	Fairfax	27C0144	Creek Road	\$0	\$22,366		6/1/10	No R/W	12/1/11	10% Design	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	•
	Fremont	33C0128	Niles Boulevard	\$0	. , ,		12/31/10	3/1/11	12/31/11	70% Design	V	8	$\otimes$
04	Healdsburg	20C0065	Healdsburg Avenue	\$5,735	\$244,311		12/31/12	12/31/11	9/30/15	Design Phase Started	V	V	V

✓ No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts
 ✓ Known scope, budget, or schedule impact
 Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)

Phase Complete Completed ahead of schedule Behind schedule

DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTINATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY.	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$SCOP_E$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
04	Larkspur	27C0150	Alexander Avenue	\$0	\$352,393		11/30/10	9/30/10	12/31/11	10% Design	V	V	V
04	Oakland	33C0030	Embarcadero Street	\$2,868	\$1,799,668		9/30/10	12/31/10	12/31/12	75% Design	V	<b>(X)</b>	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
04	Oakland	33C0148	23rd Avenue	\$4,588	\$705,515		9/30/10	12/31/10	6/30/12	90% Design	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\otimes$	$\otimes$
04	Oakland	33C0178	Park Boulevard	\$0	\$144,787		6/30/10	9/30/10	3/31/12	90% Design	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	<b>⊗</b>
04	Oakland	33C0179	Park Boulevard	\$0	\$144,787		6/30/10	9/30/10	3/31/12	90% Design	V	V	<b>(X)</b>
04	Oakland	33C0180	Park Boulevard	\$0	\$144,787		6/30/10	9/30/10	3/31/12	90% Design	$\overline{\checkmark}$	V	$\otimes$
04	Oakland	33C0181	East 14th Street	\$0	\$484,034				9/30/12	Waiting Award	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
04	Oakland	33C0182	East 12th Street	\$0	\$1,219,261				9/30/12	Waiting Award	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
04	Oakland	33C0202	Hegenberger Road	\$4,588	\$1,436,242		9/30/10	12/31/10	6/30/12	90% Design	$\overline{\checkmark}$	8	<b>⊗</b>
04	Oakland	33C0215	Leimert Boulevard	\$0	\$557,968		12/31/10	3/31/11	9/30/12	90% Design	$\overline{\checkmark}$	8	<b>⊗</b>
04	Oakland	33C0238	Campus Drive	\$0	\$345,533		9/30/10	12/31/10	6/30/12	90% Design	$\overline{\checkmark}$	V	<b>⊗</b>
04	Oakland	33C0253	Coliseum Way	\$4,588	\$440,816		9/30/10	12/31/10	6/30/12	90% Design	$\overline{\checkmark}$	8	<b>⊗</b>
04	Orinda	28C0330	Miner Road	\$3,854	\$141,091		12/31/10	12/31/10	9/30/13	80% Design 10% ROW	$\overline{\checkmark}$	V	<b>V</b>
04	Orinda	28C0331	Bear Creek Road	\$0	\$11,929		6/30/10	9/30/10	9/30/13	50% Design	$\overline{\checkmark}$	<u> </u>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
	Peninsula Joint Powers Board	34C0051	Quint Street	\$0	\$198,219		12/31/10	No R/W	2/15/13	35% Design	V	V	<b>•</b>



DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTINATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$S_{COPE}$	BUDGET	$^{SCHEDULE}$
	Peninsula Joint Powers Board	34C0052	Jerrold Avenue	\$0	\$44,200			No R/W	6/30/11	95% Design	V	<b>▼</b>	V
04	Peninsula Joint Powers Board	35C0087	Tilton Avenue	\$0	\$130,847				3/31/11	100% Design	V	V	V
04	Peninsula Joint Powers Board	35C0090	Santa Inez Avenue	\$0	\$136,374				3/31/11	100% Design	V	<u>&lt;</u>	V
04	Peninsula Joint Powers Board	35C0091	East Poplar Avenue	\$0	\$163,627				3/31/11	100% Design	V	<b>▼</b>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
04	Peninsula Joint Powers Board	35C0161	Southern Pacific Transportation Company	\$0	\$136,125				3/31/11	100% Design	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
04	Pittsburg	28C0165	North Parkside Drive	\$0	\$57,400	5/21/10	8/28/10	7/28/10	12/20/10	90% Strategy	>	<b>▼</b>	8
	San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District	BART Various	BART 1: Projects authorized in FFY 2008/09 and prior	\$1,671,709	\$14,601,726				5/30/12	38% Construction	<b>▼</b>	<u>&lt;</u>	<b>✓</b>
	San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District	BART Various	BART 2: R-Line North Aerials over Public Road	\$0	\$1,417,879		8/30/10	8/30/10	9/30/13	85% Design 85% ROW	<b>✓</b>	<u> </u>	<b>✓</b>
	San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District	BART Various	BART 3: A-Line South Aerials over Public Roads	\$0	\$1,196,780		8/30/10	8/30/10	9/30/13	85% Design 85% ROW	<b>V</b>	<u> </u>	<b>✓</b>
	San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District	BART Various	BART 4: A-Line Stations over Public Roads	\$0	\$298,676		8/30/10	8/30/10	9/30/13	85% Design 85% ROW	<b>V</b>	<b>▼</b>	<b>✓</b>
	San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District	BART Various	BART 5: A-Line North Aerials over public Roads	\$0	\$1,906,314		8/30/10	8/30/10	9/30/13	85% Design 85% ROW	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>

No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts 🔞 Known scope, budget, or schedule impact Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year) Phase Complete

Completed ahead of schedule Behind schedule

DISTRICT	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTIMATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$SCOP_{\overline{E}}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
04	San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District	BART Various	BART 6: West Oakland Aerials over Public Roads	\$0	\$192,581		8/30/10	8/30/10	9/30/13	85% Design 85% ROW	<b>\</b>	<b>\</b>	<b>✓</b>
04	San Francisco County Transportation Authority	34U0003	San Francisco & Approach Structures	\$0	\$18,000,000	6/30/10	2/1/12	2/1/12	9/30/14	85% Strategy	<b>\</b>	<b>\</b>	<b>▼</b>
04	San Francisco International Airport	35C0133	Departing Flight Traffic	\$10,000	\$2,721,335				6/30/12	35% Construction	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
04	San Jose	37C0052L	Southwest Expressway	\$0	\$35,678				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	V
04	San Jose	37C0299	Belt (Auzerias Street)	\$0	\$0					Bridge Removed			
04	San Jose	37C0300	Belt/Pipe(Auzerias & Del Monte)	\$0	\$0					Bridge Removed			
04	San Jose	37C0701	East Julian Street	\$0	\$45,557					Project Complete	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
04	San Jose	37C0732	East William St	\$0	\$15,762				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	V
04	Santa Clara County	37C0121	Shoreline Boulevard	\$0	\$54,107				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	V
04	Santa Clara County	37C0159	Alamitos Road	\$0	\$930,000		12/30/11	12/30/10	6/15/13	15% Design	V	<b>(X)</b>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
04	Santa Clara County	37C0173	Aldercroft Heights Road	\$0	\$93,771				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	V
04	Santa Clara County	37C0183	Central & Lawrence Expressway	\$0	\$82,549				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
04	Sonoma County	20C0005	Geysers Road	\$11,370	\$572,016		6/1/11	1/1/12	11/1/12	10% Design	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
04	Sonoma County	20C0017	Watmaugh Road	\$22,740	\$562,639		6/1/11	12/1/11	5/1/13	10% Design	<b>▼</b>	V	V

No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts 🔞 Known scope, budget, or schedule impact Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year) Phase Complete Completed ahead of schedule

Behind schedule

DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTINATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTINATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY.	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$S_{COPE}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
	Sonoma County	20C0018	Bohemian Highway	\$57,028	\$2,992,454		5/1/12	5/1/12	10/15/16	5% Design	V	V	V
04	Sonoma County	20C0139	Wohler Road	\$22,740	\$562,639		12/1/10	6/1/11	11/1/12	50% Design	V	V	V
04	Sonoma County	20C0141	Annapolis Road	\$0	\$165,510				11/1/11	Waiting Award	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	<b>⊗</b>
04	Sonoma County	20C0155	Wohler Road	\$4,548	\$465,115		10/1/11	12/1/11	10/1/13	15% Design	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	<b>✓</b>	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
04	Sonoma County	20C0242	Chalk Hill Road	\$11,370	\$574,705	6/1/11	1/1/15	1/1/15	11/1/16	0% Strategy	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$
04	Sonoma County	20C0248	Lambert Bridge Road	\$11,370	\$572,016	9/30/11	9/30/15	9/30/15	10/1/16	0% Strategy	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$
04	Sonoma County	20C0262	Boyes Boulevard	\$56,850	\$581,394		6/1/13	6/1/13	7/1/16	50% Design	V	V	V
04	Sonoma County	20C0407	West Dry Creek Road	\$11,370	\$572,016		12/1/12	12/1/12	10/1/15	Design Phase Started	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
04	Union City	33C0111	Decoto Road	\$0	\$626,147		9/30/10	8/30/10	12/30/12	70% Design 96% ROW	V	V	<b>\</b>
04	Union City	33C0223	Whipple Road	\$0	\$74,899		6/30/10	6/16/10	3/31/12	95% Design 95% ROW	V	V	<b>•</b>
04	Vallejo	23C0152	Sacramento Street	\$0	\$1,098,138	8/1/10	10/1/11	No R/W	10/1/14	Request Re-Strategy	V	8	8
05	King City	44C0059	First Street	\$0	\$39,342				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	V
05	Monterey County	44C0009	Nacimiento Lake Drive	\$14,510	\$402,597		12/31/10	1/14/11	11/11/11	40% Design	V	<b>(X)</b>	<b>⊗</b>
05	Monterey County	44C0042	Union Pacific Railroad & Amtrak	\$0	\$0					Bridge Removed			
05	Monterey County	44C0099	Boronda Road	\$24,087	\$508,121		1/1/13	1/1/13	4/1/15	Design Phase Started	V	8	<b>✓</b>

Moknown scope, budget, or schedule impacts ⊗ Known scope, budget, or schedule impact

Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)

Phase Complete Completed ahead of schedule Behind schedule

DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTINATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTINATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$S_{COPE}$	BUDGET	$^{SCHEDULE}$
	Monterey County	44C0115	Schulte Road	\$0	\$508,121		<b>A</b>	6/4/10	11/15/12	90% ROW	V	<b>(X)</b>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
	Monterey County	44C0151	Peach Tree Road	\$5,735	\$215,063		12/31/10	1/14/11	12/31/11	40% Design	V	8	•
05	Monterey County	44C0158	Lonoak Road	\$0	\$413,493			5/10/10	12/31/11	95% ROW	V	8	•
05	San Benito County	43C0027	Panoche Road	\$0	\$7,433		5/30/12	1/30/13	1/30/14	Design Phase Started	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
05	San Benito County	43C0043	Lone Tree Road	\$0	\$194,891				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	$\checkmark$
05	San Luis Obispo County	49C0338	Moonstone Beach	\$0	\$68,820				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
05	Santa Barbara	51C0144	Southern Pacific Transportation Company	\$0	\$0					Bridge Removed			
05	Santa Barbara	51C0146	Union Pacific Railroad & Amtrak	\$0	\$0					Bridge Removed			
05	Santa Barbara	51C0150	Union Pacific Railroad & Amtrak	\$0	\$0					Bridge Removed			
05	Santa Barbara	51C0250	Chapala Street	\$28,675	\$362,755		3/31/11	12/31/11	9/30/13	10% Design	$\overline{\checkmark}$	8	$\overline{\checkmark}$
05	Santa Barbara County	51C0001	Cathedral Oaks Road	\$5,735	\$286,750		2/18/11	2/18/11	12/18/12	20% Design	V	<b>⊗</b>	<b>⊗</b>
05	Santa Barbara County	51C0002	San Marcos Road	\$1,720	\$114,132		11/15/10	11/15/10	5/15/11	80% Design	$\overline{\checkmark}$	8	8
05	Santa Barbara County	51C0006	Floradale Avenue	\$29,822	\$1,243,578		6/30/12	6/30/12	1/30/14	70% Design	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
05	Santa Barbara County	51C0014	Jalama Road	\$0	\$82,924		<b>A</b>	No R/W	6/30/10	95% Construction	V	<b>▼</b>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
05	Santa Barbara County	51C0016	Jalama Road	\$0	\$112,142		<b>A</b>	No R/W	6/30/10	95% Construction	V	8	V

No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts Someone No known scope, budget, or schedule impact

Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)

Phase Complete

Completed ahead of schedule

Behind schedule

DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTIMATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY.	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$S_{COP_E}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
05	Santa Barbara County	51C0017	Jalama Road	\$0	\$201,682		10/15/10	10/15/10	9/15/11	65% Design	V	8	<b>⊗</b>
05	Santa Barbara County	51C0018	Union Pacific Railroad & Amtrak	\$5,735	\$138,000		1/16/11	1/16/11	12/18/11	40% Design	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	8	8
05	Santa Barbara County	51C0039	Rincon Hill Road	\$5,735	\$79,946		8/30/10	2/28/11	1/30/12	Design Phase Started	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
05	Santa Barbara County	51C0173	Santa Rosa Road	\$4,474	\$148,766			6/15/10	3/30/11	95% Design 50% ROW	V	<b>(X)</b>	<b>⊗</b>
05	Santa Cruz	36C0103	Soquel Drive	\$0	\$11,470	6/30/10	6/30/11	No R/W	12/31/12	85% Strategy	V	V	<b>•</b>
05	Santa Cruz	36C0108	Murray Avenue	\$38,540	\$1,065,678		3/31/11	2/28/11	12/31/12	75% Design	V	<b>(X)</b>	$\otimes$
05	Solvang	51C0008	Alisal Road	\$0	\$46,701		6/30/10	No R/W	12/31/11	Design Phase Started	V	<b>▼</b>	$\checkmark$
06	Bakersfield	50C0021L	Manor Street South Bound	\$0	\$298,220	6/30/11	6/30/11	No R/W	7/1/12	15% Strategy 20% Design	V	V	<b>⊗</b>
06	Bakersfield	50C0021R	Manor Street North Bound	\$0	\$298,220	6/30/11	6/30/11	No R/W	7/1/12	15% Strategy 20% Design	V	<b>V</b>	<b>⊗</b>
06	Department of Water Resources	42C0140	West Shields Avenue	\$0	\$22,940		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	<u> </u>		$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
06	Department of Water Resources	42C0141	North Russell Avenue	\$0	\$22,940		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	V	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
06	Department of Water Resources	42C0143	West Nees Avenue	\$0	\$22,940		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	V	<b>▼</b>	$\checkmark$
06	Department of Water Resources	42C0156	West Jayne Avenue	\$0	\$18,352		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	<b>▼</b>	<b>▼</b>	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
06	Department of Water Resources	42C0159	West Mount Whitney Avenue	\$0	\$18,352		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	V	<b>▼</b>	V

✓ No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts
 ✓ Known scope, budget, or schedule impact
 ◆ Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)

Phase Complete Completed ahead of schedule Behind schedule

DISTRICT	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTIMATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY.	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$SCOP_E$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
	Department of Water Resources	42C0173	West Manning Avenue	\$0	\$18,352		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	V	<b>\</b>	V
06	Department of Water Resources	42C0245	West Panoche Road	\$0	\$18,352		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	<b>▼</b>	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	<b>▼</b>
06	Department of Water Resources	42C0370	West Clarkson Avenue	\$0	\$22,940		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	V	<u> </u>	V
	Department of Water Resources	42C0371	South El Dorado Avenue	\$0	\$22,940		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
	Department of Water Resources	42C0425	West Gale Avenue	\$0	\$18,352		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
	Department of Water Resources	45C0071	Avenal Cutoff	\$0	\$18,352		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
06	Department of Water Resources	45C0123	Plymouth Avenue	\$0	\$18,352		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	$\overline{\checkmark}$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
	Department of Water Resources	45C0124	30th Avenue	\$0	\$18,352		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	$\overline{\checkmark}$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
	Department of Water Resources	45C0125	Quail Avenue	\$0	\$18,352		5/30/11	10/1/10	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	$\overline{\checkmark}$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
	Department of Water Resources	50C0113	Elk Hills Road	\$0	\$0					Bridge Removed			
	Department of Water Resources	50C0123	Old River Road	\$0	\$17,205		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	✓	<b>V</b>	<b>✓</b>
06	Fresno County	42C0098	South Calaveras Avenue	\$0	\$45,880		6/15/10	No R/W	12/30/10	87% Design	V	<b>▼</b>	V
	Fresno County	42C0280	West Althea Avenue	\$0	. ,	4/1/11	9/28/11	No R/W	1/30/13	5% Strategy	V	8	V
06	Fresno County	42C0281	West Sierra Avenue	\$0	\$57,350	4/1/11	9/28/11	No R/W	1/30/13	5% Strategy	V	<b>(X)</b>	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$

No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts
 Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)

DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTIMATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$_{\rm SCOP_E}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
06	Tulare County	46C0027	Avenue 416	\$0	\$521,885		3/31/12	10/31/10	12/31/12	Design Phase Started	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	<b>\</b>	8
07	Los Angeles	53C0045	Beverly-First Street	\$0	\$848,780		9/6/10	No R/W	5/3/13	55% Design	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
07	Los Angeles	53C0096	Fletcher Drive	\$0	\$848,780				9/30/12	3% Construction	V	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
07	Los Angeles	53C0859	North Spring Street	\$0	\$229,400		6/30/10	9/30/10	9/30/13	85% Design 10% ROW	V	V	•
07	Los Angeles	53C1010	North Main Street	\$0	\$723,595				9/28/12	3% Construction	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles	53C1184	4th Street	\$0	\$290,191				5/1/12	5% Construction	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles	53C1335	Tampa Avenue	\$0	\$59,644				8/1/11	5% Construction	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles	53C1362	Vanowen Street	\$0	\$208,750				5/1/12	Waiting Award	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles	53C1388	Winnetka Ave	\$0	\$45,306				12/30/11	5% Construction	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles	53C1875	Avenue 26	\$0	\$229,400		1/31/12	No R/W	2/3/14	20% Design	V	V	V
07	Los Angeles	53C1880	Sixth Street	\$9,717,773	\$28,593,105		6/28/13	9/30/13	12/30/16	35% Design	V	<b>(X)</b>	<b>(X)</b>
07	Los Angeles	53C1881	Hyperion Avenue	\$0	\$1,220,371		9/1/10	12/31/10	10/1/13	90% Design	V	8	8
07	Los Angeles	53C1882	Hyperion Avenue	\$0	\$290,191		9/10/10	No R/W	10/1/13	90% Design	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	•
07	Los Angeles	53C1883	Glendale Boulevard	\$0	\$114,700		9/10/10	12/31/10	10/1/13	90% Design	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	<b>⊗</b>
07	Los Angeles	53C1884	Glendale Boulevard	\$0	\$114,700		9/10/10	12/31/10	10/1/13	90% Design	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	8
07	Los Angeles County	53C0031	Alondra Boulevard	\$0	\$35,022				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	V

✓ No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts
 ✓ Known scope, budget, or schedule impact
 Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)

DISTRICT	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTINATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY.	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$S_{COPE}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
07	Los Angeles County	53C0036	Beverly Boulevard	\$0	\$121,536	<b>A</b>		7/1/10	9/1/12	10% ROW	V	V	<b>•</b>
07	Los Angeles County	53C0070	East Fork Road	\$0	\$181,339				4/30/12	20% Construction	$\triangleright$	<b>⊗</b>	<b>⊗</b>
07	Los Angeles County	53C0082	Washington Boulevard	\$0	\$17,308			<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	Project Complete	$\triangleright$	V	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0084	Slauson Avenue	\$0	\$128,805			10/7/10	5/31/13	75% ROW	$\triangleright$	V	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0085	Florence Avenue	\$0	\$32,043				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	<b>▼</b>	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0106	Imperial Highway	\$0	\$117,518		<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	Project Complete	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0138	Union Pacific Railroad	\$0	\$5,843				3/30/11	15% Construction	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0139	College Park Drive	\$0	\$12,135				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0178	Valley Boulevard	\$0	\$337,380	<b>A</b>			3/31/11	15% Construction	V	V	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0261	Avalon Boulevard	\$0	\$23,581			<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0266	Willow Street	\$0	\$32,852				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0289	Azusa Avenue	\$0	\$465,526				5/30/11	60% Construction	V	V	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0329	Garey Avenue	\$0	\$31,207				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0375	Foothill Boulevard	\$0	\$288,959				4/30/12	Waiting Award	V	<b>⊗</b>	<b>V</b>
07	Los Angeles County	53C0377	Foothill Boulevard	\$0	\$101,317				1/31/11	15% Construction	V	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>

Moknown scope, budget, or schedule impacts ⊗ Known scope, budget, or schedule impact

Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)

DISTRICT	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTINATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY.	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$S_{COP_{\overline{E}}}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
	Los Angeles County	53C0445	Slauson Avenue	\$0	\$267,255				9/30/10	85% Construction	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0458	Union Pacific Railroad	\$0	\$26,234				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0459	Wilmington Avenue 223	\$0	\$186,296		<b>A</b>		10/30/12	Waiting Award	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0471	Washington Boulevard	\$0	\$59,999				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0495	Irwindale Avenue	\$0	\$12,150				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\otimes$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0531	Atchinson, Topeka, & Sante Fe Railroad	\$0	\$121,983				9/30/11	15% Construction	V	<b>▼</b>	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0575	Artesia Boulevard	\$0	\$66,627				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	$\overline{\checkmark}$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0590	Union Pacific Railroad	\$0	\$10,006				3/31/11	20% Construction	V	<b>▼</b>	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0592	Cherry Avenue	\$0	\$8,106					Project Complete	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0594	Long Beach Boulevard	\$0	\$17,401				3/30/11	20% Construction	V	<b>\</b>	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0596	Atchinson, Topeka, & Santa Fe Railroad	\$0	\$27,982				3/31/11	15% Construction	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0599	Alameda Street	\$0	\$409,476	<b>A</b>	6/30/10	9/30/10	1/31/13	75% Design	$\overline{\checkmark}$	<b>(X)</b>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0671	Azusa Canyon Road	\$0	\$12,540				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	$\overline{\checkmark}$	<b>V</b>	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0784	At&Sf Rr	\$0	\$0					Bridge Removed			
07	Los Angeles County	53C0807	Avenue T	\$0	\$123,439				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$

No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts ⊗ Known scope, budget, or schedule impact
 Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)

DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTINATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY.	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$S_{COPE}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
07	Los Angeles County	53C0810	Southern Pacific Transportation Company	\$0	\$27,452				3/31/11	10% Construction	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0864	Martin Luther King Junior Avenue	\$0	\$49,332				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	$\triangleright$	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0867	Soto Street	\$0	\$380,032				5/30/11	65% Construction	V	<b>\</b>	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0884	Ocean Boulevard	\$0	\$0					Bridge Removed			
07	Los Angeles County	53C0885	Long Beach Freeway	\$0	\$33,263				10/30/10	85% Construction	V	<b>▼</b>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0890L	Queens Way-South Bound	\$0	\$236,625				5/30/11	60% Construction	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0890R	Queens Way-South Bound	\$0	\$236,625				5/30/11	60% Construction	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0892L	Queens Way South Bound	\$0	\$279,275				8/30/10	95% Construction	$\triangleright$	<b>\</b>	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0892R	Queens Way North Bound	\$0	\$279,275				8/30/10	95% Construction	<b>\</b>	<b>▼</b>	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0897	S.P.T.C. R R	\$0	\$15,901				3/31/11	10% Construction	V	<b>▼</b>	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0916	First Street	\$0	\$19,658				•	Project Complete	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C0918	First Street	\$0	\$19,658					Project Complete	V	<b>▼</b>	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0930	9th Street	\$0	\$259,726				9/30/10	80% Construction	V	<b>▼</b>	•
07	Los Angeles County	53C0931	10th Street Off Ramp	\$0	\$761,189				9/30/11	70% Construction	V	V	V

✓ No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts
 ✓ Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)
 ✓ Phase Complete
 ✓ Completed ahead of schedule

DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTINATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTINATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY.	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$_{SCOP_{\overline{E}}}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
07	Los Angeles County	53C0933	7th Street On Ramp	\$0	\$42,677				10/29/10	90% Construction	V	V	8
07	Los Angeles County	53C0934	6th Street Off Ramp	\$0	\$388,356				5/31/10	85% Construction	<b>△</b>	<u> </u>	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C0951	Garey Avenue	\$0	\$24,093				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C1403	The Old Road	\$0	\$812,443	<b>A</b>	5/1/11	10/31/11	2/1/14	Design Phase Started	V	<b>▼</b>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C1577	Oleander Avenue	\$0	\$17,584				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	<b>\</b>	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C1710	Fruitland Avenue	\$0	\$62,523					Bridge Removed			
07	Los Angeles County	53C1829	Oak Grove Drive	\$0	\$245,534		<b>A</b>		<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C1851	Oak Grove Drive	\$0	\$253,025				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
07	Los Angeles County	53C1909	At & Sf Rr	\$0	\$35,235				1/31/11	15% Construction	<b>V</b>	V	V
07	Los Angeles County	53C1915	4th Street	\$0	\$35,141		<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
80	Barstow	54C0088	North 1st Avenue	\$0	\$350,000		12/31/10	1/11/11	3/30/13	Design Phase Started	<b>▼</b>	<b>(X)</b>	8
80	Barstow	54C0089	North 1st Avenue	\$0	\$82,010		12/30/10	12/11/10	3/30/13	Design Phase Started	<b>V</b>	V	8
80	Barstow	54C0583	Yucca Street	\$0	\$50,000		12/31/10	3/11/11	3/30/13	Design Phase Started	V	<b>(X)</b>	8
80	Colton	54C0077	La Cadena Drive	\$0	\$134,199		12/31/10	No R/W	8/31/11	90% Design	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
08	Colton	54C0078	La Cadena Drive	\$0	\$14,911		12/31/10	No R/W	8/31/11	90% Design	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$

✓ No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts ⊗ Known scope, budget, or schedule impact
 ◆ Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)

DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTIMATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$S_{COP_E}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
80	Colton	54C0079	La Cadena Drive	\$0	\$14,911		12/31/10	No R/W	8/31/11	90% Design	V	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V
80	Colton	54C0100	Mount Vernon Avenue	\$0	\$71,285		12/31/10	No R/W	8/31/11	90% Design	$\overline{\checkmark}$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
80	Colton	54C0101	Mount Vernon Avenue	\$0	\$19,384		12/31/10	No R/W	8/31/11	90% Design	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	<b>✓</b>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
80	Colton	54C0375	West C Street	\$0	\$14,911		12/31/10	No R/W	8/31/11	90% Design	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
80	Colton	54C0384	C Street	\$0	\$22,366		12/31/10	No R/W	8/31/11	90% Design	V	V	V
80	Colton	54C0599	Rancho Avenue	\$0	\$14,292		12/31/10	No R/W	8/31/11	90% Design	V	V	V
80	Department of Water Resources	54C0449	Ranchero Street	\$0	\$28,675		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	<b>⊗</b>
80	Department of Water Resources	54C0451	Mesquite Street	\$0	\$17,205		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	V	V	8
80	Department of Water Resources	54C0452	Maple Avenue	\$0	\$28,675		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	$\checkmark$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	8
80	Department of Water Resources	54C0495	Goodwin Drive	\$0	\$17,205		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	✓	V	8
80	Department of Water Resources	54C0496	Duncan Road	\$0	\$17,205		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	V	V	8
80	Grand Terrace	54C0379	Barton Road	\$0	\$52,188		6/1/10	6/1/10	10/1/11	95% Design 90% ROW	V	V	•
80	Indio	56C0084	Jackson Street	\$2,294	\$157,218		<b>A</b>	10/31/10	3/31/11	100% Design	V	8	8
80	Indio	56C0283	S/B Indio Blvd.	\$2,294	\$137,640		<b>A</b>	10/31/10	3/31/11	99% Design	V	8	8
80	Indio	56C0291	Jackson Street	\$2,294	\$298,220		<b>A</b>	10/31/10	3/31/11	99% Design	V	<b>(X)</b>	8

✓ No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts ⊗ Known scope, budget, or schedule impact
 ◆ Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)


DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTINATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY.	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$S_{COP_E}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
80	Indio	56C0292	North Bound Indio Boulevard	\$2,294	\$125,554		<b>A</b>	10/31/10	3/31/11	100% Design	V	<b>⊗</b>	<b>⊗</b>
80	Lake Elsinore	56C0309	Auto Center Drive	\$0	. ,	4/1/13	6/15/14	No R/W	6/21/16	0% Strategy	V	V	$\otimes$
80	Loma Linda	54C0130	Anderson Street	\$0	. ,				9/30/11	Waiting Award	<b>\</b>	<b>\</b>	V
80	Riverside County	56C0001L	South Bound Van Buren Boulevard	\$0	\$1,316,701				12/15/11	14% Construction	V	<b>△</b>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
80	Riverside County	56C0001R	North Bound Van Buren Boulevard	\$0	\$1,316,701				12/15/11	14% Construction	V	V	V
80	Riverside County	56C0017	River Road	\$0	\$21,678				12/15/10	60% Construction	V	V	V
80	Riverside County	56C0071	Mission Boulevard//Buena Vista	\$57,350	\$3,670,400		12/30/14	12/30/14	3/15/18	Design Phase Started	V	V	8
80	San Bernardino	54C0066	Mount Vernon Avenue	\$0	\$3,452,670	7/30/10	8/30/11	8/30/11	8/30/13	80% Strategy	$\checkmark$	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
	Department of Water Resources	39C0250	Mccabe Road	\$0	\$17,205		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	V	V	8
10	Department of Water Resources	39C0252	Butts Road	\$0	\$28,675		4/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	V	V	8
10	Department of Water Resources	39C0314	Mervel Avenue	\$0	\$17,205		5/30/11	No R/W	9/30/11	Design Phase Started	V	V	8
10	Merced County	39C0339	Canal School Road	\$0	\$113,668					Bridge Removed			
10	Modesto	38C0050	Carpenter Road	\$0	\$1,591,233		9/30/10	9/30/10	12/31/11	80% Design	✓	✓	<b>⊗</b>
10	San Joaquin County	29C0187	Airport Way	\$0	\$420,730				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	V	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$
10	San Joaquin County	38C0032	Mchenry Avenue	\$0	\$238,576	NoData	7/8/11	7/29/11	10/1/14	25% Design	$\overline{\checkmark}$	V	V

✓ No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts
 ✓ No known scope, budget, or schedule impact
 Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)

DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTINATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$S_{COPE}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
10	Stanislaus County	38C0003	Santa Fe Avenue	\$0	\$536,796		10/31/13	10/31/13	6/30/15	Design Phase Started	<b>△</b>	<b>✓</b>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
10	Stanislaus County	38C0004	Hickman Road	\$0	\$820,105		7/1/13	7/1/12	11/1/14	1% Design	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	8
10	Stanislaus County	38C0010	Crows Landing	\$0	\$745,550		6/1/13	6/30/13	10/31/15	11% Design	V	V	•
10	Stanislaus County	38C0048	Geer Road	\$0	\$141,655		3/31/11		7/31/13	Design Phase Started	<u> </u>	<b>△</b>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
10	Stanislaus County	38C0202	Pete Miller Road	\$0	\$44,733		4/28/12	No R/W	10/31/12	Design Phase Started	V	<b>▼</b>	<b>⊗</b>
10	Stanislaus County	39C0001	River Road	\$0	\$670,995		3/30/12	12/30/12	12/28/13	Design Phase Started	V	V	8
10	Tracy	29C0126	Eleventh Street	\$0	\$2,278,743		3/30/12	4/1/12	12/30/14	6% Design	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	8	8
11	Del Mar	57C0207	North Torrey Pines Road	\$0	\$3,599,410				9/30/12	Waiting Award	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\otimes$	$\checkmark$
11	Imperial County	58C0014	Forrester Road	\$28,675	\$725,569	6/21/11	3/21/14	4/21/13	11/21/14	Request Re-Strategy	V	<b>√</b>	<b>⊗</b>
11	Imperial County	58C0092	Araz Road	\$0	\$135,116	6/21/11	6/21/13	No R/W	2/21/14	Request Re-Strategy	V	<b>▼</b>	•
11	Imperial County	58C0094	Winterhaven Drive	\$0	\$152,780	6/21/11	6/21/13	No R/W	2/21/14	Request Re-Strategy	$\checkmark$	<b>√</b>	•
11	Oceanside	57C0010	Douglas Drive	\$0	\$743,065	3.2	6/30/10	6/30/10	12/30/11	55% Design	$\checkmark$	<b>✓</b>	$\overline{\checkmark}$
11	Oceanside	57C0322	Hill Street	\$0	\$930,956		6/30/11	6/30/11	12/30/12	33% Design	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V
11	San Diego	57C0015	North Harbor Drive	\$0	\$1,901,081				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
11	San Diego	57C0416	First Avenue	\$0	\$698,064				5/15/10	98% Construction	V	V	<b>•</b>

✓ No known scope, budget, or schedule impacts ⊗ Known scope, budget, or schedule impact
 ◆ Potential schedule impact (late, but within the same Federal Fiscal Year)

DISTRICE	AGENCY	BRIDGE NO.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ESTMATED BOND RIGHT OF WAY VALUE	ESTINATED BOND CONSTRUCTION VALUE	END STRATEGY	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY.	END CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$S_{COP_{\overline{E}}}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
	San Diego	57C0418	Georgia Street	\$0	\$142,549		7/1/12	9/1/12	12/1/13	15% Design	V	V	V
11	Santee	57C0398	Carlton Oaks Drive	\$0	\$20,646	3/30/11	5/30/12	No R/W	6/30/13	11% Strategy	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
12	Newport Beach	55C0015	Park Avenue	\$0	\$146,242		9/15/11	10/7/12	7/15/13	Design Phase Started	V	V	⊗
12	Newport Beach	55C0149L	South Bound Jamboree Road	\$0	\$96,459			5/5/10	2/28/11	Design Phase Complete	$\overline{\checkmark}$	8	•
12	Newport Beach	55C0149R	North Bound Jamboree Road	\$0	\$67,761			5/5/10	2/28/11	Design Phase Complete	V	⊗	V
12	Newport Beach	55C0151	Bayside Drive	\$0	\$57,500				2/28/11	Design Phase Complete	$\overline{\checkmark}$	⊗	•
12	Orange County	55C0038	Santiago Canyon Road	\$0	\$55,379				<b>A</b>	Project Complete	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$
12	Orange County	55C0655	John Wayne Airport - Macarthur	\$0	\$468,894	<b>A</b>			10/31/11	Design Phase Complete	$\overline{\checkmark}$	✓	V
12	Orange County	55C0656	Route 55 Departures	\$0	\$109,539				10/31/11	Design Phase Complete	V	8	V
12	Orange County	55C0657	Macarthur	\$0	\$40,260				10/31/11	Design Phase Complete	V	V	<b>V</b>
12	Orange County	55C0658	Departures Traffic	\$0	\$186,961				10/31/11	Design Phase Complete	V	✓	V
			Total	\$11,997,846	\$156,376,431								





# FY 2009-10 3rd Quarter Report State-Local Partnership Program

Quarterly Report to the California Transportation Commission



# State-Local Partnership Program Progress Report

# **SUMMARY**:

This report covers the third quarter of the State Fiscal Year (FY) 2009-10 for the State-Local Partnership Program (SLPP). There are 59 projects with a total value of \$252.8 million that have been approved by the California Transportation Commission (Commission) for this program. Six projects that were previously removed from the program are not included in these numbers.

The SLPP is set at \$200 million each year for five years, for a total of \$1 billion. It is split into two sub-programs. The first is a "formula" based program and the second is a "competitive" based program. The formula program matches local sales tax, property tax and/or bridge tolls and is 95 percent of the total SLPP. The competitive program matches local uniform developer fees and represents five percent of the SLPP. Any SLPP funds that are not programmed in either the "formula" or "competitive" programs in a given fiscal year will remain available for future programming in the remaining cycles of the SLPP.

# Formula Program:

Each year the Commission reviews projects that are nominated for the formula program. The Commission will adopt those projects that meet the requirements of Proposition 1B, the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction and Port Security Bond Act of 2006, and have a commitment of the required match and any required supplementary funding. The following is the status of the formula program projects. See the attached lists for specific project information.

- Cycle 1: In Fiscal Year (FY) 2008-09, 18 projects were programmed for formula share funding. Six projects have since been removed from the program because of the uncertainty of available bond funding. The 12 remaining projects total \$88.3 million in SLPP bond funds. One of these projects, Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) Warm Springs Extension, is being funded by four agencies pooling their FY 2008-09 formula shares. Five projects have approved allocations. Of the seven remaining projects, two are expected to be removed from the program in the next quarter, two are delivered but not allocated, one of these has an approved Letter of No Prejudice (LONP), and the remaining three are not yet delivered.
- Cycle 2: As of March 31, 2010, there were 21 projects programmed for formula share funding in FY 2009-10, totaling \$144.9 million in SLPP bond funds. The BART Warm Springs Extension is one of these projects, and in this cycle it is being funded by three agencies pooling their FY 2009-10 formula shares. One project has an approved allocation. Four projects are delivered but not allocated, two of these have an approved LONP, and the remaining 16 projects are not yet delivered.

# **Competitive Program:**

Each year the Commission will review eligible projects that are nominated for the competitive grant program. Projects have to meet the requirement of Proposition 1B and must have a commitment of the required match and any supplementary funding needed. No single grant may exceed \$1 million.

The Commission will select projects that meet the following specified criteria:

- Geographic balance
- Cost-effectiveness
- Multimodal
- Safety
- Reliability
- Construction schedule
- Leverage of funding
- Air quality improvements

The following is the status of the competitive program projects. See the attached lists for specific project information.

- Cycle 1: In FY 2008-09, 12 projects were programmed for competitive share funding. These 12 projects total \$9.6 million in SLPP bond funds. Eight of these projects have an approved allocation, two are delivered but not yet allocated, with one of those having an approved LONP, and the other two projects are not yet delivered.
- Cycle 2: In October 2009, 14 projects were approved for competitive share funding for FY 2009-10. These 14 projects total \$10 million in SLPP bond funds. None of these projects have an approved allocation at this time and six are delivered but not allocated, with two of these having an approved LONP.

### LONP:

The LONP Guidelines were approved in December 2009. As of March 31, 2010, there are 6 projects that have requested, and been approved for, a LONP. See the attached lists for specific project information.

# **BACKGROUND**:

On November 7, 2006, the voters approved Proposition 1B, which authorized \$1 billion for the State-Local Partnership Program to be available, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for allocation by the Commission over a five-year period to eligible transportation projects nominated by eligible transportation agencies. Proposition 1B requires a dollar for dollar match of local funds for an applicant agency to receive state funds under the program.

# **Current Status:**

This report includes several attachments that provide detailed information on project status. Please note that the "Project Numbers" in these lists are for clarification in this report and are only for reference to indicate the number of projects in this report. These "Project Numbers" are subject to change in subsequent reports as projects are added and deleted.

For	mu	la Proj	ects - Sta	atus	and Detail: Scope Budget a	nd Sche	edule											
PROJECT NUMBER	DISTRICT	COUNTY/ Agency	AGENCY	PROJECT ID	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL PROJECT COST X \$1,000	TOTAL CONST COST X \$1,000	TOTAL SLPP FUNDS X \$1,000	APPROVED BEGIN CONST	CONSTRUCTION % COMPLETE	CONSTRUCTION AWARDED	ALLOCATED BUT NOT AWARDED	DELIVERED BUT NOT ALLOCATED	NOT YET DELIVERED	LONP	SCOPE	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
1	3	Nev	Truckee	7427	Annual Slurry Seal Project	\$673	\$410	\$82	6/2009	N/A				Χ		•	•	0
2	3	Nev	Truckee	7430	Annual Slurry Seal Project	\$608	\$608	\$81	6/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
3	3	Nev	Nevada City	7424	Nevada City Paving- Various Locations	\$62	\$62	\$31	9/2010	0				Χ		•	•	•
4	3	Sac	Cal Trans		Sac 50 - HOV	\$160,925	\$133,125	\$7,214	10/2009	13	Х					•	•	•
5	4	Ala	Alameda Cty Transit		Bus Procurement Program	\$41,620	\$41,620	\$8,215	7/2011	0				Х		•	•	•
6	4	Various	Bay Area Rapid Transit		BART - Warm Springs Extension	\$890,000	\$743,404	\$31,275	6/2011	0		Χ				•	•	•
7	4	Various	Bay Area Rapid Transit		BART - Warm Springs Extension	\$890,000	\$764,904	\$23,158	6/2011	0		Χ				•	•	•
8	4	Bay Area Toll Auth.	Bay Area Rapid Transit		Oakland Airport Connector	\$529,000	\$499,100	\$1,000	1/2010	0			Х		Х	•	•	•
9	4	CC	Cal Trans		SR 4 East Somersville to 160 Seg 2	\$122,550	\$87,300	\$5,253	7/2011	0				Х		•	•	•
10	4	CC	Cal Trans		SR 4 East Somersville to 160 Seg 3	\$210,800	\$155,460	\$5,012	3/2012	0				Х		•	•	•
11	4		El Cerrito	7433	2009 RAC Overlay Program	\$1,442	\$1,215	\$73	4/2009	N/A				Χ		•	•	0
12	4		El Cerrito	7434	2010 RAC Overlay Program	\$3,227	\$2,890	\$281	6/2010	0				Χ		•	•	•
13	4	SF	Cal Trans		Doyle Drive Replacement Project	\$954,847	\$200,000	\$8,397	12/2010	0				Χ		•	•	•
14	4	SM	San Mateo County Transit Dist		Purchase Buses for Paratransit	\$215	\$215	\$49	10/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
15	4	Son	Santa Rosa		Hybrid Bus Acquisition	\$2,400	\$2,400	\$1,200	3/2010	0		Χ				•	•	•
16	4	Son	City of Santa Rosa	7432	Arnold Drive Widening	\$660	\$660	\$330	6/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
17	6	Mad	Chowchilla	7443	Measure T Street Improvement Project	\$2,748	\$2,518	\$258	9/2010	0				X		•	•	•
18	6	Mad	City of Madera	7442	Rehab, Resurface, Reconstruct & ADA	\$732	\$712	\$356	9/2010	0			Х			•	•	
19	6	Mad	Madera County	7406	Ave 12 Sidewalk between Roads 36 & 37	\$320	\$309	\$150	3/2010	0		Х				•	•	•
20	6	Mad	City of Madera	7444	Street 3R and ADA Improvements	\$444	\$434	\$216	5/2010	0				X		•	•	•
21	6	Mad	Madera County Transp. Commission		Road 200 Reconstruction & Widening	\$6,519	\$5,624	\$544	6/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
22	6	Tul			Road 80 Widening - Phase 1A	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$2,294	7/2010	0				Χ		•	•	•
23	6	Tul	Tulare County	7429	Road 108 Widening	\$29,498	\$29,498	\$2,295	7/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
24	7	LA	Cal Trans		I-5 N. Carpool Lanes SR 118-170	\$321,056	\$231,780	\$40,000	1/2010	0	Х					•	•	•

For	mul	a Proj	jects - Sta	atus	and Detail: Scope Budget a	nd Sche	edule											
PROJECT NUMBER	DISTRICT	COUNTY/ Agency	AGENCY	PROJECT ID	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL PROJECT COST X \$1,000	TOTAL CONST COST X \$1,000	TOTAL SLPP FUNDS X \$1,000	APPROVED BEGIN CONST	CONSTRUCTION % COMPLETE	CONSTRUCTION AWARDED	ALLOCATED BUT NOT AWARDED	DELIVERED BUT NOT ALLOCATED	NOT YET DELIVERED	LONP	SCOPE	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
25	7	LA	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transp Auth	7449	I-10 & I-110 Convert HOV to HOT Lanes	\$69,300	\$57,710	\$20,000	3/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
26	7	LA	Cal Trans		I-5 Carmenita Interchange	\$379,730	\$160,600	\$20,000	4/2011	0				Χ		•	•	•
27	7	LA	LA County Metropolitan Transp Auth.		LA- San Fernando Valley Transit Ext	\$341,900	\$295,600	\$14,700	7/2009	3			Х		Х	•	•	•
28	8	Riv	Riverside County Transp. Commission	7440	SR74 / I-215 Interchange	\$46,552	\$23,801	\$3,700	6/2010	6				х		•	•	•
29	10	SJ	City of Stockton	7448	Lower Sacramento Rd Grade Separation	\$34,000	\$30,040	\$5,100	7/2010	0			Х			•	•	
30	11	SD	San Diego Association of Gov.		Blue Line Light Rail Vehicles	\$233,178	\$233,178	\$31,097	10/2009	10			Х			•	•	
31	12	Ora	City of Brea	7408	Imperial Hwy and Assoc. Rd Smart St.	\$1,900	\$1,900	\$200	5/2010	0			Х		Χ	•	•	•
32	12	Ora	City of Buena Park	7447	Beach Blvd / SR 91 EB Ramp Widen	\$1,260	\$1,260	\$465	3/2010	0				Х	_	•	•	•
33	12	Ora	City of Rancho Santa Margarita	7446	Santa Margarita Parkway / Avenida Empresa	\$366	\$366	\$183	2/2010	0				Х		•	•	0
Tota	als							\$233.2M			2	4	6	21	3			

<sup>Project is on time, on budget, or within scope.
Schedule and/or budget is changing due to pending PPR.
Project has been delivered and is awaiting allocation.</sup> 

O The agency will be removing the project from the program and reprogramming the funds to a future project. Project may have been started without an allocation.

# **SLPP Corrective Actions – Formula Projects**

# **Project 6&7: BART Warm Springs Connector Project**

This project was allocated in January 2010. The current construction start date is June 2011. BART will be requesting a time extension at the June/July 2010 CTC meeting. This is a Design/ Build project and it is taking longer to complete this process than BART originally anticipated.

# **Project 8: Oakland Airport Connector**

This \$529M project lost \$70M in ARRA funds due to an environmental justice compliance issue. BART is currently trying to determine how to replace those funds to maintain the current project scope. An updated construction start date will be available when the agency determines how they will replace the lost ARRA funds.

# **Project 13: Doyle Drive Replacement Project**

Construction start date is being delayed until August 2011. This is due to the delay in securing Public, Private, Partnership funding. It is currently being addressed by the Department.

# **Project 14: Purchase Buses for Paratransit**

The construction start date on this project is slipping. They are waiting for the Paratransit federal funds (5310 funds) to be available, and expect to purchase the buses in July or August 2010.

# **Project 17: Measure T Street Improvement Project**

The City of Chowchilla is delaying schedule. Forecast construction start date is now June 2011. The schedule is being delayed because the city does not have staff and resources to move forward with the project right now due to budget and financial issues.

# **SLPP Updates – Formula Projects**

# Project 12: 2010 RAC Overlay Project

City of El Cerrito has scaled back the project cost to \$2,206,00 with \$2,031,000 for construction.

# Project 21: Road 200 Reconstruction and Widening

MCTC has changed the project total cost to \$6,919,000.

# Project 24: I-5 North Carpool Lanes Project

Project total cost is now \$250,926,000 with the construction cost now at \$151,000,000. Construction start date is now May 2010. The delay is due to combining this project with another project. Project was advertised in December 2009 and bid opening was February 2010.

# Project 25: I-10 & I-110 Convert HOV to HOT Lanes

LACMTA has changed the construction cost to \$64,710,000.

# **Project 26: I-5 Carmenita Interchange Project**

Construction start date has been moved ahead to October 2010.

# **Project 27: LA San Fernando Valley Transit Extension**

LACMTA received an approved LONP in January. Waiting to get an updated construction schedule.

# Project 31: Imperial Hwy and Assoc. Rd - Smart Street

City of Brea is delaying schedule, the construction start date is now August 2010. Project is currently out to bid, but they are waiting for Cal Trans to upgrade the right of way cert. Should be upgraded by June 2010.

# Project 32: Beach Blvd/ SR 91 EB Ramp Widen

City of Buena Park has updated the total project cost to \$1,400,000 with this all being construction cost. The construction start date is now July 2010 because the city is waiting for a final permit from CalTrans.

Con	npe	titive F	Projects -	Sta	tus and Detail: Scope Budg	et and S	Schedule	)										
PROJECT NUMBER	DISTRICT	COUNTY/ Agency	AGENCY	PROJECT ID	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL PROJECT COST X \$1,000	TOTAL CONST COST X \$1,000	TOTAL SLPP FUNDS X \$1,000	APPROVED BEGIN CONST	CONSTRUCTION % COMPLETE	CONSTRUCTION AWARDED	ALLOCATED BUT NOT AWARDED	DELIVERED BUT NOT ALLOCATED	NOT YET DELIVERED	LONP	SCOPE	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
34	3		City of Placerville	7402	Point View Drive	\$3,160	\$2,455	\$750	8/2010	0		Х				•	•	•
35	3	F(1) (	El Dorado County	7415	White Rock Rd. Widen & Signal	\$1,132	\$1,000	\$500	1/2010	0			Χ			•	•	
36	3	ED	El Dorado County	7413	Durock Rd / Business Dr. Intersection	\$1,740	\$1,440	\$710	12/2009	0			Χ			•	•	
37	3	ED	El Dorado County	7414	Silva Valley Parkway Widening	\$2,735	\$2,365	\$993	1/2010	0			Х			•	•	
38	3	Pla	Placer County		Tahoe City Transit	\$7,342	\$5,808	\$226	7/2010	2		Х				•	•	•
39	3	Sac	Grove	7398	Waterman / Grant Line	\$7,635	\$6,878	\$1,000	7/2010	0		Х				•	•	•
40	3	Sac	City of Elk Grove	7397	Franklin/ Elk Grove	\$4,015	\$1,976	\$988	4/2010	0		Х				•	•	•
41	3		City of West Sacramento	7425	Tower Bridge Gateway - East ph	\$8,789	\$8,789	\$1,000	7/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
42	5		San Luis Obispo Co	7409	Willow Rd. Extension	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$1,000	4/2010	0		Х				•	•	•
43	5	SLO	San Luis Obispo County	7423	Willow Rd Extension ph II	\$32,000	\$32,000	\$1,000	4/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
44	5		Santa Barbara County	7412	Union Valley Pkwy / Bradley Rd	\$1,278	\$935	\$550	2/2010	0			Χ			•	•	
45	5	SB	City of Goleta	7417	Fairview / Berkeley Traffic Signal	\$315	\$300	\$150	6/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
46	6	Kin	City of Hanford	7399	Greenfield Ave. Ext	\$895	\$825	\$250	6/2010	0		Х				•	•	•
47	6		City of Hanford	7400	12th Ave Widening	\$2,370	\$2,150	\$600	6/2010	0		Χ				•	•	•
48	6	Kin	City of	7411	11th Ave Widening	\$1,448	\$1,320	\$500	6/2010	0			Х		Х	•	•	•
49	8		City of Riverside	7426	Rte 91 Auxiliary Lane	\$3,100	\$2,750	\$1,000	6/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
50	8		City of Moreno Valley	7439	Cactus Ave Street Improvements	\$6,350	\$5,500	\$1,000	6/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
51	8		City of Moreno Valley	7441	Eucalyptus St. Improvements	\$6,266	\$5,405	\$1,000	7/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
52	8	RIV	Riverside County	7435	Magnolia Ave / Neece St. Signal	\$781	\$620	\$150	9/2010	0				Х		•	•	•
53	8	Riv	City of Indio	7418	Golf Center Parkway Rehab	\$3,400	\$3,000	\$433	2/2010	0			Χ		Χ	•	•	•

Con	npe	titive l	Projects -	Sta	tus and Detail: Scope Budg	et and S	Schedule	e										
PROJECT NUMBER	DISTRICT	COUNTY/ Agency	AGENCY	PROJECT ID	PROJECT NAME	TOTAL PROJECT COST X \$1,000	TOTAL CONST COST X \$1,000	TOTAL SLPP FUNDS X \$1,000	APPROVED BEGIN CONST	CONSTRUCTION % COMPLETE	CONSTRUCTION AWARDED	ALLOCATED BUT NOT AWARDED	DELIVERED BUT NOT ALLOCATED	NOT YET DELIVERED	LONP	SCOPE	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
54	10	Ama	Amador County	7404	Mission Blvd Gap	\$1,955	\$1,600	\$800	4/2010	0		Х				•	•	•
55	10	Mer	City of Merced	7419	59/ Cooper Ave Signal	\$5,020	\$2,300	\$1,000	6/2010	0				Χ		•	•	•
56	10	Mer	City of Merced	7410	Parsons Ave	\$2,850	\$1,590	\$1,000	6/2010	0			Χ			•	•	
57	10	Mer	City of Merced	7428	Yosemite Ave Reconstruction	\$2,100	\$1,750	\$1,000	8/2010	0				X		•	•	•
58	10		City of Stockton	7422	Thornton Road	\$16,023	\$13,000	\$1,000	6/2009	0				Х		•	•	•
59	11		San Diego County	7403	S. Santa Fe Ave	\$28,652	\$19,454	\$1,000	12/2009	0			Х		Х	•	•	•
Tota	tals							\$19.6M			0	8	8	10	3			

<sup>Project is on time, on budget, or within scope.
Schedule and/or budget is changing due to pending PPR.
Project has been delivered and is awaiting allocation.
The agency will be removing the project from the program and reprogramming the funds to a future project. Project may have been started without an allocation.</sup> 

# **SLPP Corrective Actions – Competitive Projects**

# Project 55: Hwy 59/ Cooper Avenue Signal

The City of Merced made an allocation request in November 2009, but did not have environmental documents reviewed by the Commission. They also do not have their encroachment permit. The allocation request is deferred until they have their encroachment permit. The forecasted construction start date is now September 1, 2010.

# **Project 58: Thornton Road**

The City of Stockton is still anticipating a construction start date of April 3, 2011 because Measure K funds have not come in as anticipated. The city is trying to find an alternative funding source to expedite the project to an earlier construction start date. Also, the city has spent all of their developer fees and doesn't anticipate getting any more to meet the match requirement for the program.

# **Project 59: South Santa Fe Avenue**

San Diego County is changing the construction start date to April 2010. This project received a LONP in January 2010.

# <u>SLPP Updates – Competitive Projects</u>

# Project 35: White Rock Rd. Widen & Signal

El Dorado County has changed the project total cost to \$1,294,000. The original budget was estimated, as the project is finishing the design stage the budgets are being refined to reflect more accurate costs.

# Project 36: Durock Rd/Business Drive Intersection

El Dorado County has changed the project total cost to \$2,168,000 and the total construction cost to \$1,567,000. The original budget was estimated, as the project is finishing the design stage the budgets are being refined to reflect more accurate costs.

# **Project 37: Silva Valley Parkway Widening**

El Dorado County has changed the project total cost to \$2,745,000. The original budget was estimated, as the project is finishing the design stage the budgets are being refined to reflect more accurate costs.

# Project 43: Willow Road Extension - Phase II

San Luis Obispo County has delayed the construction start date until August 2010. They will be requesting allocation in June and anticipate requesting a LONP in August.

# Project 44: Union Valley Parkway / Bradley Rd

Santa Barbara County has updated the total construction cost to \$1,100,000. The construction start date is now June 2010.

# Project 52: Magnolia Avenue / Neece Street Signal

The total project cost is now \$836,000 due to an increase in design costs.



# FY 2009-10 Third Quarter Traffic Light Synchronization Program Project Delivery Report

Quarterly Report to the California Transportation Commission



# TRAFFIC LIGHT SYNCHRONIZATION PROGRAM PROGRESS REPORT

# **BACKGROUND:**

Proposition 1B was passed by California voters on November 7, 2006, and created the Traffic Light Synchronization Program (TLSP). Proposition 1B provides \$250 million, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for TLSP projects approved by the California Transportation Commission (Commission). The Department of Transportation (Department) is required to provide a quarterly report to the Commission on the status of progress by the local agencies on completing TLSP work funded by the Proposition 1B bond funds.

The guidelines for the TLSP were adopted on February 13, 2008. On May 28, 2008, the Commission approved 21 traffic light synchronization projects totaling \$147,000,000 for the City of Los Angeles and 62 additional traffic light synchronization projects totaling \$98,000,000 for agencies other than the City of Los Angeles. At the December 2009 CTC meeting, the Echo Park/Silver Lake project was split in two, resulting in a total of22 TLSP projects in the City of Los Angeles.

# **Program Summary:**

As of the close of the third quarter of FY 2009-10:

- Funds for 48 projects had been allocated.
- Construction was complete for 8 projects.
- Due to the funding uncertainties surrounding Proposition 1B bonds, 6 projects were delivered but not yet allocated.

# **City of Los Angeles:**

The City of Los Angeles has 22 TLSP projects covered under one baseline agreement. A total of \$147,000,000 in TLSP funding has been approved and \$32,211,000 has been allocated for 5 projects. In addition 1 project, with a value of \$7,899,000 in TLSP funding has been delivered but not yet been allocated.

**Project Status – City of Los Angeles** 

		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		y or Los Angeles	1										
Dist	Со	Agency	Proj ID	Project Name	TLSP Prog Cost	TOTAL CONST COST	BEGIN	End Construct Date	CONST PERCENT COMPLETE	BEGIN PS&E DATE	END PS&E DATE	SCOPE	BUDGET	SCHEDULE	Comments
7	LA	Los Angeles	6760	ATCS - Central Business District	\$748,000	\$8,109,000	Jun-12	Jul-15	0	Oct-10	Jul-11	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6761	ATCS - Central City East	\$0	\$4,299,000	Oct-12	Nov-15	0	Apr-11	Oct-11	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6762	ATCS - Echo Park / Silver Lake	\$6,059,000	\$6,059,000	Jul-09	Aug-12	90	Dec-09	Sep-10	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	TBD	ATCS - Echo Park / Silver Lake Phase 2	\$4,504,000	\$4,504,000	Sep-11	Dec-13	0	Feb-10	Aug-10	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6763	ATCS - Los Angeles	\$11,529,000	\$11,529,000	Apr-11	May-15	0	Jan-10	Jan-11	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6764	ATCS - Santa Monica Fwy Corridor Phase 1	\$7,277,000	\$7,277,000	Mar-12	Apr-15	0	May-10	Feb-11	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6765	ATCS - Santa Monica Fwy Corridor Phase 2	\$7,321,000	\$7,321,000	Jan-12	Feb-15	0	Jul-10	Apr-11	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6766	ATCS - West Adams	\$4,542,000	\$4,542,000	May-12	Jun-15	0	Jul-10	Dec-10	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6767	ATCS - Westwood / West Los Angeles	\$3,850,000	\$3,850,000	Jul-11	Aug-14	0	Aug-10	Mar-11	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6768	ATCS - Wilshire East	\$5,276,000	\$5,276,000	Dec-11	Jan-15	0	Oct-08	Jan-10	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6769	ATSAC - Canoga Park	\$10,890,800	\$10,890,800	Aug-10	Sep-13	0	Jan-09	Jan-10	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6770	ATSAC - Canoga Park Phase 2	\$11,030,700	\$11,030,700	Dec-10	Jan-14	0	Oct-09	May-10	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6771	ATSAC - Foothill	\$6,276,000	\$6,276,000	Jun-11	Jul-14	0	Aug-08	Aug-09	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6772	ATSAC - Harbor - Gateway 2	\$7,899,000	\$7,899,000	Aug-10	Sep-13	0	Dec-08	Jan-10	•	•		
7	LA	Los Angeles	6773	ATSAC - Pacific Palisades / Canyons	\$7,696,000	\$7,696,000	Aug-10	Sep-13	0	Jun-08	Dec-08	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6774	ATSAC - Platt Ranch	\$4,358,000	\$4,358,000	Dec-09	Jan-13	35	Nov-07	Aug-08	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6775	ATSAC - Reseda	\$8,506,000	\$8,506,000	Jan-09	Feb-12	80	Jun-08	May-09	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6776	ATSAC - Reseda Phase 2	\$7,221,000	\$7,221,000	Jun-10	Jul-13	0	Mar-08	Jan-09	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6777	ATSAC - San Pedro	\$8,911,000	\$8,911,000	Sep-09	Oct-12	40	Dec-08	Nov-09	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6778	ATSAC - Wilmington	\$7,964,000	\$7,964,000	Aug-10	Sep-13	0	Nov-09	Sep-10	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6779	ATSAC-Coliseum / Florence	\$8,192,000	\$8,191,700	Sep-11	Oct-14	0	Nov-09	Sep-10	•	•	•	
7	LA	Los Angeles	6780	ATSAC-Coliseum / Florence Phase 2	\$8,192,000	\$8,191,700	Jul-11	Aug-14	0	Jan-10	Nov-10	•	•	•	

<sup>Project is on time, on budget, or within scope.
Allocation request is late or the construction start date has been delayed.
Schedule and/or budgeting figures are changing due to pending baseline</sup> amendment.

<sup>□</sup> Project has been delivered and is awaiting allocation.

# **Competitive TLSP Projects:**

At of the end of the third quarter, no new projects had been completed.

For the 62 TLSP projects with agencies other than the City of Los Angeles, the Commission has allocated \$52,568,000 for 43 specific projects with some projects having multiple phases or segments (OCTA, San Mateo and City of San Jose). Of the 43 projects allocated, construction on eight projects totaling \$2,923,000 has been completed. In addition 5 projects, totaling \$3,887,000 in TLSP funding, have been delivered but not allocated.

**Project Status – Other Agencies** 

	1 10	jeet Otatus	Othic	a Agencies											
Dist	Co	Agency	Proj ID	Project Name	TLSP Prog Cost	TOTAL CONST COST	BEGIN CONST Date	End Construct Date	CONST PERCENT COMPLETE	Begin PS&E Date	End PS&E Date	SCOPE	BUDGET	SCHEDULE	Comments
3	Pla	Roseville	6794	East ITS Coordination	\$1,165,000	\$1,294,000	Jan-09	Dec-09	98	Jul-08	Jul-08	•	•	•	
3	Sac	Citrus Heights	6745	TLSP Phase II Greenback Lane	\$180,000	\$218,000	Dec-08	Apr-10	100	Feb-07	May-08	•	•	•	
					<b>*</b> ***********************************	<del>+=</del> :-,									
3	Sac	Citrus Heights Rancho	6746	TLSP Phase III Antelope Road	\$102,000	\$124,000	Jun-10	Jul-10	0	Nov-09	Nov-09	•	•		
3	Coo		6792	Folsom Boulevard	¢400,000	¢200,000	Con 00	May 10	00	Con 00	lan 00	•	•	•	
3	Sac	Cordova		TLSP	\$180,000	\$380,000	Sep-09	May-10	90	Sep-08	Jan-09	•	•	_	Coo na C
3	Sac	Sacramento	6795	ILSP	\$2,862,000	\$3,857,000	Mar-10	Feb-11	0	Aug-08	Jun-09	•	•	•	See pg. 6
3	Sac	Sacramento County	6796	Florin Road	\$401,000	\$501,000	Jun-09	Apr-10	93	Dec-08	May-09	•	•	•	
		Sacramento										•	•	•	
3	Sac	County	6797	Madison Avenue	\$142,000	\$627,000	Sep-08	Feb-09	100	Oct-07	Aug-08				
4	Ala	Alameda CMA	6744	San Pablo Corridor	\$21,400,000	\$25,400,000	Sep-10	Mar-12	0	Oct-08	Nov-09	•	•	•	
4	Ala	Alameda County	6743	Redwood Road	\$124,000	\$134,000	Feb-10	May-10	0	Sep-08	Jul-09	•	•	•	See pg. 6
4	Ala	San Leandro	6802	ATMS Expansion	\$350,000	\$558,000	Jul-09	May-10	80	Nov-08	Jun-09	•	•	•	
4	CC	San Ramon	6806	Bollinger Canyon	\$475,000	\$709,000	Mar-10	Sep-10	0	May-09	Jun-09	•	•	•	
4	CC	San Ramon	6807	Crow Canyon	\$310,000	\$405,000	Mar-10	Sep-10	0	May-09	Jun-09	•	•	•	
4	CC	Walnut Creek	6824	Ygnacio Valley Road Corridor	\$1,489,000	\$1,750,000	Jun-09	Mar-10	93	Sep-08	Apr-09	•	•	•	
4	Mrn	Marin County	6781	Sir Francis Drake Boulevard	\$208,000	\$260,000	May-09	Dec-09	100	Dec-08	Apr-09	•		•	
4	SCI		6801	TLSP			Jan-09	Jun-11	36		Feb-10	•		•	Caa na 6
4	SCI	San Jose*	0001	ILSP	\$15,000,000	\$20,000,000	Jan-09	Jun-11	30	Sep-08	Feb-10	•	•	•	See pg. 6
4	SCI	Santa Clara County	6814	County Expressway TDCS for TLSP	\$900,000	\$990,000	Mar-10	Dec-10	0	Jul-08	Jul-09	•	•	•	
4	SF	SFMTA	6800	Franklin, Gough & Polk Streets	\$5,110,000	\$10,220,000	Jan-10	Dec-12	5	Jul-09	Dec-09	•	•	•	
4	SM	San Mateo C/CAG*	6805	SMART Corridor Projects	\$10,000,000	\$22,000,000	Jun-10	Nov-11	0	Aug-09	Nov-10	•	•	•	
4	Son	Santa Rosa	6816	Steele Lane / Guerneville	\$1,100,000	\$1,558,000	Dec-08	Oct-09	100	Jun-08	Aug-08	•	•	•	
5	SCr	Watsonville	6825	Signal Corridor Upgrade	\$120,000	\$132,000	Jun-10	Sep-10	0	Mar-09	Nov-09	•	•		
6	Fre	Fresno	6751	Clovis Avenue	\$2,100,000	\$3,087,000	Mar-10	Feb-11	0	Jul-08	Oct-09	•	•	<b>□</b> ₩	See pg. 7
6	Fre	Fresno	6752	Shaw Avenue	\$2,100,000	\$3,003,000	Sep-11	Mar-12	0	Jul-08	Oct-09	•	•	•	
6	Kin	Hanford	6757	12th Avenue	\$90,000	\$160,000	May-10	Jul-10	0	Jan-09	Nov-09	•	•	•	
7	LA	Compton	6747	Rosecrans Avenue	\$1,050,000	\$1,366,000	Aug-10	Aug-11	0	Apr-08	Mar-09	•	•		
7	LA	Culver City	6749	Citywide TLSP	\$440,000	\$550,000	Jul-10	Sep-10	0	Jul-09	Oct-09	•	•	•	See pg. 6
7	LA	Glendale	6754	Brand Boulevard	\$930,000	\$1,163,000	Sep-10	Mar-11	0	Jan-10	Apr-10	•	•		See pg. 6
7	LA	Glendale	6755	Colorado Street/ San Fernando Road	\$613,000	\$766,000	Sep-10	Mar-11	0	Jan-10	Apr-10	•	•	•	See pg. 6
7	LA	Glendale	6756	Glendale Avenue/ Verdugo Road	\$1,798,000	\$2,248,000	Sep-10	Mar-11	0	Jan-10	May-10	•	•	•	See pg. 6
7	LA	Inglewood	6758	La Brea Avenue	\$426,000	\$606,000	Jun-10	Sep-11	0	Dec-07	Sep-08	•	•		See pg. 6
7	LA	Long Beach	6759	Long Beach Area TLSP	\$3,000,000	\$9,000,000	Jul-10	Jul-11	0	Sep-07	Aug-08				Project removed
7	LA	Pasadena	6784	California Boulevard	\$68,000	\$76,000	Aug-10	Apr-11	0	Aug-08	Sep-09	•	•	•	TOTTIOVEG
7	LA	Pasadena	6785	Del Mar Boulevard	\$138,000	\$172,000	Aug-10	Apr-11	0	Aug-08	Sep-09	•	•	•	
7	LA	Pasadena	6786	Fair Oaks Avenue	\$70,000	\$87,000	Aug-10 Aug-10	Apr-11	0	Aug-08	Sep-09	•		•	
7	LA	Pasadena	6787	Hill Avenue	\$66,000	\$83,000	Aug-10	Apr-11	0	Aug-08	Sep-09	•	•	•	
7	LA	Pasadena	6788	Los Robles Avenue	\$107,000	\$134,000	Aug-10	Apr-11	0	Aug-08	Sep-09	•	•	•	
7	LA	Pasadena	6789	Orange Grove Boulevard	\$188,000	\$235,000	Aug-10	Apr-11	0	Aug-08	Sep-09	•	•	•	
7	LA	Pasadena	6790	San Gabriel Boulevard	\$42,000	\$52,000	Aug-10	Apr-11	0	Aug-08	Sep-09	•		•	
7	LA	Pasadena	6791	Sierra Madre Boulevard	\$110,000	\$138,000	Oct-10	Jun-11	0	Aug-08	Sep-09	•		•	
7	LA	Santa Clarita	6815	Advanced System Detection Expansion	\$500,000	\$600,000	Oct-10	Apr-10	85	Jul-08	Dec-08			•	
		Murrieta	6782							Jul-08		•		•	
8	Riv Riv		6782	Murrieta Hot Springs Road TLSP ATMS Phase II	\$478,000 \$4,488,000	\$5,511,000 \$4,488,000	Aug-09	Sep-10	50 40	Dec-08	Apr-09	•		•	
		Corona				\$4,488,000	Aug-09	Aug-10			Apr-09		_		
8	Riv	Temecula	6819	Citywide Traffic Signal Synchronization	\$515,000	\$567,000	Jul-10	Sep-10	0	Mar-09	Jun-09	•	•		

Dist	Co	Agency	Proj ID	Project Name	TLSP Prog Cost	TOTAL CONST COST	BEGIN CONST Date	End Construct Date	CONST PERCENT COMPLETE	Begin PS&E Date	End PS&E Date	SCOPE	BUDGET	SCHEDULE	Comments
8	SBd	SANBAG	6808	TLSP Tier 3 & 4	\$2,000,000	\$4,000,000	Jul-10	Dec-11	0	Mar-09	Apr-10	•	•	•	
8	SBd	Rancho Cucamonga	6793	Foothill Boulevard	\$225,000	\$900,000	Mar-09	Dec-09	100	Dec-07	Aug-08	•	•	•	
10	ร	Tracy	6820	Grant Line Road	\$300,000	\$320,000	Dec-09	Sep-10	0	Oct-08	Mar-09	•	•	•	
10	ร	Tracy	6821	Tracy Boulevard	\$150,000	\$180,000	Dec-09	Sep-10	0	Oct-08	Mar-09	•	•	•	
11	SD	El Cajon	6750	Main Street	\$120,000	\$120,000	May-10	Aug-10	0	Sep-08	Dec-08	•	•	•	
11	SD	San Diego County	6798	Bonita Road, Sweetwater Road, Briarwood Road	\$718,000	\$1,473,000	Sep-09	Jun-10	85	Feb-09	Apr-09	•	•	•	
11	SD	San Diego County	6799	South Mission Road	\$78,000	\$100,000	Sep-09	Apr-10	66	Feb-09	Apr-09	•	•	•	
11	SD	San Marcos	6803	Rancho Santa Fe Road	\$266,000	\$336,000	Dec-09	Apr-10	0	Nov-08	Oct-09	•	•		See pg. 6
11	SD	San Marcos	6804	San Marcos Boulevard Smart Corridor	\$549,000	\$646,000	Nov-08	Jun-10	90	Jun-08	Oct-08	•	•	•	
11	SD	SANDAG	6809	At-grade Crossing Traffic Synchronization	\$820,000	\$1,020,000	Dec-08	Sep-10	25	Jul-04	Jun-08	•	•	•	
11	SD	SANDAG	6810	East-West Metro Corridor	\$1,267,000	\$1,417,000	Dect-08	Jun-10	60	Nov-03	Nov-03	•	•	•	
11	SD	SANDAG	6811	I-15 Corridor	\$2,162,000	\$2,412,000	Dec-08	Jun-10	35	Nov-03	Nov-03	•	•	•	
11	SD	SANDAG	6812	I-805 Corridor	\$640,000	\$790,000	Dec-08	Aug-09	100	Nov-03	Nov-03	•	•	•	
11	SD	SANDAG	6813	Transit Signal Priority	\$951,000	\$2,947,000	Dec-08	Jul-10	15	Sep-07	Sep-07	•	•	•	
11	SD	Santee	6817	Magnolia Avenue	\$116,000	\$145,000	Feb-10	Aug-10	0	Oct-08	Nov-09	•	•	•	
11	SD	Santee	6818	Mission Gorge Road	\$416,000	\$520,000	Feb-10	Aug-10	0	Oct-08	Dec-09	•	•	•	
11	SD	Vista	6822	North Santa Fe Avenue	\$161,000	\$201,000	Oct-08	May-09	100	Jul-08	Aug-08	•	•	•	
11	SD	Vista	6823	South Melrose Drive	\$267,000	\$321,000	Oct-08	May-09	100	Jul-08	Aug-08	•	•	•	<u> </u>
12	Ora	Garden Grove	6753	TMC Upgrade	\$1,859,000	\$5,800,000	Feb-08	Feb-10	40	Jul-05	Oct-09	•	•	*	See pg. 7
12	Ora	OCTA*	6783	Countywide TLSP	\$4,000,000	\$8,000,000	Jul-09	Jan-12	0	Dec-08	Jan-09	•	•	•	See pg. 6

<sup>\*</sup> Note: Projects for the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA), the City of San Jose and City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (San Mateo C/CAG) fall under several categories, as the projects have been phased or segmented.

- Project is on time, on budget, or within scope.
- Allocation request is late or the construction start date has been delayed.
   Schedule and/or budgeting figures are changing due to pending baseline amendment.
- □ Project has been delivered and is awaiting allocation.
- ★ Report not submitted for project

# **TLSP Corrective Actions**

# **Baseline Agreement Amendments**

The following projects that received allocations during the third quarter will submit baseline agreement amendments during the Fourth Quarter to adjust schedules.

City of Sacramento- ITS Expansion (Project ID 6795)

City of Culver City- Citywide Traffic Signal Optimization and Synchronization Project (Project ID 6749)

City of Glendale- Colorado Street and San Fernando Road Corridor (Project ID 6755)

City of Glendale- Glendale Avenue/ Verdugo Road Corridor (Project 6756)

Orange County Transportation Authority- Countywide TLSP (Project ID 6783)

City of San Jose-TLSP (Project ID 6801)

# City of Glendale – Brand Boulevard (Project ID 6754)

A baseline amendment request will be submitted during the Fourth Quarter to reflect a begin construction date of September 2010. The project has not been delivered.

# **Other Corrective Actions**

# <u>City of Long Beach – Long Beach Area TLSP (Project ID 6759)</u>

This project had a sizable cost match to be provided by the Boeing Corporation. Due to both the unavailability of bond dollars and a lower construction cost than expected, Boeing decided to build the project without any bond dollars. The project has been removed from the program.

# Alameda County - Redwood Road (Project ID 6743)

Alameda County reported that the project was under construction as of January 1, 2010. The project is behind the current approved schedule. Project progress will be monitored during the Fourth Quarter.

# City of San Marcos – Rancho Santa Fe Road (Project ID 6803)

The forecasted begin construction date for this project was January 2010 with a current begin construction date of March 2009. The project is behind the current approved schedule and no construction progress was reported during the Third Quarter. Project progress will be monitored during the Fourth Quarter.

# City of Inglewood – La Brea Avenue (Project ID 6758)

The forecasted begin construction date for this project is June 2010 with a current begin construction date of April 2010. The project has not been delivered.

# **TLSP Non-Reporting Projects**

City of Fresno - Clovis Ave. (Project ID 6751)

City of Garden Grove - TMC Upgrade (Project ID 6753)



# FY 2009-10 Third Quarter Report Highway Railroad Crossing Safety Account

Quarterly Report to the California Transportation Commission



# Highway Railroad Crossing Safety Account Progress Report

# **SUMMARY**:

This report for the Highway Railroad Crossing Safety Account (HRCSA) is for the third quarter of the 2009-10 fiscal years. There are 22 competitively selected projects that have been programmed in two parts. This report includes the status of all HRCSA projects.

There is \$135,052,000 in HRCSA funds for 12 projects in Part 1 of the program. Two projects for \$18,926,000 have been allocated and approved contracts have been written. One project is on the delivered but not funded list.

There is \$85,540,000 in HRCSA funds for 10 projects in Part 2 of the program. Two projects for \$11,987,000 have been allocated and approved contracts have been written. Two projects are on the delivered but not funded list.

# **STATUS (**as of March 31, 2010**)**:

# APPROVED CONTRACTS

# Part 1

- # 8 BNSF Grade Separation at 7th Standard Rd/Santa Fe Way County of Kern
- #18 G Street Undercrossing City of Merced

## Part 2

- o #33 Park Boulevard at Harbor Drive/Pedestrian Bridge City of San Diego
- o #29 6<sup>th</sup> Street Overcrossing Bridgework Phase City of Sacramento

# DELIVERED, NOT ALLOCATED

# Part 1

o #43 - Lower Sacramento Road at UPRR GS - County of San Joaquin

## Part 2

- #41 Eight Mile Road/UPRR (East) GS County of San Joaquin
- o #42 Eight Mile Road/UPRR (West) GS County of San Joaquin

# **BACKGROUND**:

Proposition 1B was passed by California voters on November 7, 2006. Proposition 1B authorized \$250 million for HRCSA in two parts, \$150 million for projects on the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) priority list and \$100 million for high-priority railroad crossing improvements, including grade separation projects. The Guidelines for HRCSA were adopted on March 12, 2008. On August 28, 2008, the Commission programmed the 23 HRCSA projects totaling \$243,769,000. Based on recent amendments, the current program level is 22 projects totaling \$220,592,000.

HRCSA is a competitive program in which local and regional agencies applied for funds in a similar manner as a grant. Applications were reviewed and scored. Scores were based on the criteria set in the guidelines. A ranked project list was established and allocation was recommended starting at the top of the project list and moving down until \$150 million was exhausted for part 1 and \$100 million was exhausted for part 2. Each project has its own baseline agreement signed by the Department and the local or regional agency

ID	Dst	Cnty	Applicant	Project Name	Tota	al Project	HF	RCSA Prgrmd	END PA&ED	END PS&E	END R/W	Allctn Req	Date Voted	BEG CON	% Con Cmp
		PA	RT 1												
8	6	KER	County of Kern	BNSF GS at 7th Stndrd Rd/Santa Fe Way	\$	28,853	\$	9,926							7%
44	4	SM	PCJPB	San Mateo Bridges GS	\$	13,440	\$	1,445				May-10		Jun-10	0%
12	7	LA	City of Los Angeles	North Spring Street GS Reconstruction	\$	48,764	\$	5,001	Dec-09	Mar-10	Apr-10			Jun-10	0%
11	7	LA	City of Los Angeles	Riverside Drive GS Replacement	\$	57,965	\$	5,000			Jan-10			Jun-10	0%
14	7	LA	ACE	Nogales Street GS	\$	84,049	\$	25,600	Aug-09	Dec-09	Mar-10			Mar-10	0%
39	4	SF	PCJPB	Jerrold Avenue & Quint St Bridges GS	\$	19,630	\$	4,674				May-10		Jun-10	0%
18	10	MER	City of Merced	G Street Undercrossing	\$	18,000	\$	9,000						Mar-10	0%
1	4	ALA	City of Fremont	Warren Avenue GS	\$	51,564	\$	9,600		Dec-09	Dec-09			Apr-10	0%
9	6	KER	County of Kern	Hageman Road/BNSF Railroad	\$	35,300	\$	17,650		Dec-09	Mar-10			Jun-10	0%
47	6	TUL	City of Tulare	Bardsley Avenue GS	\$	17,181	\$	7,156		Apr-10	Apr-10			Jun-10	0%
45	4	SM	PCJPB	San Bruno GS	\$	165,000	\$	30,000				May-10		Jul-10	0%
43	10	SJ	City of Stockton	Lower Sacramento Rd at UPRR GS	\$	34,000	\$	10,000				Apr-10		Jul-10	0%
				Total Part 1	\$	573,746	\$	135,052							
		PA	RT 2												
33	11	SD	City of San Diego	Park Blvd at Harbor Dr./Pedestrian Bridge	\$	27,000	\$	6,000							60%
13	7	LA	SCRRA	Broadway-Brazil St Grade Crossing	\$	6,500	\$	3,000		Jan-10	NA			Nov-09	0%
29b	3	SAC	City of Sacramento	6th St Overcrossing - Bridgework	\$	11,974	\$	5,987						Feb-10	0%
29r				6th St Overcrossing - Roadwork	\$	15,370	\$	7,685		Apr-10	Apr-10			Jun-10	0%
				7th St Undercrossing	\$	2,269	\$	1,144		REMOVE	D FROM P	ROGRAM		Jun-10	0%
2	4	ALA	City of Fremont	Kato Road GS	\$	40,239	\$	10,000		Dec-09	Dec-09			Apr-10	0%
48	6	TUL	City of Tulare	Cartmill Avenue GS	\$	26,808	\$	11,293		Apr-10	Apr-10			Jun-10	0%
46	6	TUL	County of Tulare	Betty Drive GS	\$	28,075	\$	12,175		Mar-10	May-10			Jun-10	0%
40	10	SJ	Port of Stockton	Port of Stockton Expressway	\$	11,500	\$	4,400	Apr-10	Apr-10	NA			Jun-10	0%
41	10	SJ	City of Stockton	Eight Mile Road/UPRR (East) GS	\$	31,000	\$	8,500				Apr-10		Jul-10	0%
42	10	SJ	City of Stockton	Eight Mile Road/UPRR (West) GS	\$	25,000	\$	8,500				Apr-10		Jul-10	0%
20	12	ORA	City of Irvine	Sand Canyon GS	\$	54,604	\$	8,000		Dec-09	Nov-09			Aug-10	0%
	4	CC	City of Richmond	Marina Bay GS	\$	37,500	<del>-</del>	5,000		REMOVE	D FROM P	ROGRAM		Oct-13	0%
				Total Part 2	\$	278,070	\$	85,540							
			Complete	Admin Fees				5,000							
			Pending	Total Program	\$	851,816	\$	220,592							
			See Narrative												

# **SCHEDULE DELAYS:**

In accordance with the Highway Railroad Crossing Safety Account (HRCSA)
Guidelines, projects that do not receive an allocation by June 30, 2010, will be eligible for reprogramming in the 2010 HRCSA programming cycle.

# • #12 - North Spring Street GS Reconstruction - City of Los Angeles

Public comment on environmental document was published March 15. Environmental document completed on April 28, 2010. PS&E and R/W documents will be completed by June 2010.

# #11 – Riverside Drive GS Replacement - City of Los Angeles

The design has been completed and the RR Agreement has been drafted and is in final negotiations. The R/W certification by Caltrans is in process.

# #14 – Nogales Street GS - ACE

Environmental is 98% complete. PS&E has been submitted to agencies for review. The design must be modified as a result of negotiation with property owners during right of way process. Right of Way phase of the project is to be completed by July 2010.

# #1 – Warren Avenue GS – City of Fremont

City of Fremont reports they are waiting for the Railroad comments on Design and must negotiate Right of Way. PS&E and Right of Way will not be completed until September 2010

# #9 - Hageman Rd/BNSF Railroad GS - County of Kern

The County reports design is 75% complete. Parcels are being value assessed and layouts modified to minimize acquisitions and utility relocations. Right of Way is proceeding concurrently with Design both should be complete June 2010.

# #13 - Broadway-Brazil St Grade Crossing Improvements - SCRRA

Agency reports the design and construction is delayed until October 2010. The Agency is also having trouble with coordinating county agreements.

# #2 - Kato Road GS - City of Fremont

City reports a design schedule delay due to third party review and acceptance of the grade separation design and acquisition of properties. Design and Right of Way delayed until July 2010. The City reports a new construction start date of December 2010.

## • #20 - Sand Canyon GS - City of Irvine

Right of Way offers made to property owners. Right of Way will be complete in March 2010. Construction start date has not changed.



# FY 2009-2010 Third Quarter Report Proposition 1B Intercity Rail Improvement Program

Quarterly Report to the California Transportation Commission



# Intercity Rail Improvement Program Progress Report

# **SUMMARY**:

This report is for the third quarter of Fiscal Year (FY) 2009-2010 for the Proposition 1B Intercity Rail Improvement (IRI) Program. Because of the lack of Proposition 1B bond sales, a number of the IRI projects that were programmed previously have been delayed. Some projects, however, have received partial allocations and are moving toward completion.

The IRI Program list contains 12 projects. Five projects have been fully allocated and three projects have received partial allocations. Funding agreements have been executed for all projects that have received an allocation.

# **CURRENT STATUS:**

Despite the unavailability of Proposition 1B bond funds for the "Procure New Rail Cars" project, development of specifications has been 95% completed by Division of Rail staff and the private consultant. Based on analysis of progress to-date, it is anticipated that the procurement bid process could be ready to start in August 2010. The current forecast date for completion of construction of the cars is September 2015.

Construction of the Commerce-Fullerton Triple Track project between Mileposts 154.3 and 158.3 is continuing. The project is 75% complete. Work on Segment 6 and the civil engineering for the Slauson overhead is under way. End of the construction phase is now projected to be June 2012.

Plans for the New Station Tracks at Los Angeles Union Station project have been submitted to the Office of the State Architect (OSA). Due to a jurisdictional issue within the OSA, the review and access compliance approval has been delayed, but is expected by the end of fourth quarter of the current fiscal year. PS&E is 90% complete. Barring further delays at OSA, the project should be advertised for bids in July 2010. The estimated overall project cost has increased so the project sponsor will be seeking approximately \$2.5 million in additional funding from a source other than the IRI 1B Bond Program. Construction is now projected be completed in September 2012.

The San Onofre-Pulgas Track project in San Diego County received an allocation for PA&ED work at the January 2010 CTC meeting. The Division of Rail has prepared the funding agreement which needs signatures for execution. No local funds have been spent nor have any invoices been presented for payment to date, although the local agency (SANDAG) has issued task orders to begin the initial studies and preliminary engineering work. Two percent (2%) of the PA&ED work has been completed. It is anticipated that PA&ED will be complete in December 2010. The timeline for all phases of this project have been revised due to earlier unavailability of bond funds.

Project scoping for the Sacramento Maintenance Facility project has been proceeding with inhouse and a consultant under contract because Proposition 1B bond funding has not been available for this project. This phase is about 30% complete with an estimated finish date of December 2010. PS&E phase is currently scheduled to begin in January 2011 and finish in September 2011, followed by Right-of-Way phase from October 2011 through December 2011. The Construction phase is currently estimated to begin January 2012, ahead of the June 2013 planned construction start date.

The Coast Daylight project has been delayed by two years for two main reasons. First, more current modeling was necessary on the Coast Route. The timeframe for the modeling was delayed due to competing requests for in-house (UPRR) modeling associated with Federal Stimulus project applications. Second, the delay in bond sales also caused delays. PS&E is now scheduled to begin in January 2011 with PA&ED running concurrently during that process. It is anticipated that construction would begin in December 2012 with completion forecast for December 2017. The Coast Coordinating Rail Council (CRCC) continues to meet quarterly to discuss this project and develop local and regional support.

The unavailability of bond funds is preventing work from proceeding on the Fresno Layover Facility. An updated schedule has not been provided.

During the third guarter of FY 2009-10, construction activities proceeded on the Kings Park Track and Signal project. Activities included the installation of signals and turnouts as well as installation of rail and ties on side and main tracks. Grading and sub-grade work and road crossings construction is complete. Overall, 63% of construction is complete and the estimated date for completion is June 2012.

The Santa Margarita Bridge and Double Track project has begun construction. The phase is 5% complete with construction forecast to end in November 2011. An allocation of PTA funds was received and 90% of those funds have been expended on PS&E. One invoice has been paid from TRCP funds for construction to date. No Prop. 1B funds have been expended yet.

Signal work for the Emeryville Station and Track Improvements project is still being conducted in the lab. The Construction Phase is currently 5% complete. Construction of the siding extension and signal work adjacent to the station is currently scheduled to begin again in June 2010 with project completion planned for October 2010.

Work on the Bahia-Benicia Crossover project has been proceeding using local funding. Construction is now 65% complete. It is expected that the project will begin expending Prop 1B funds during the fourth quarter of FY 2009-10. Track, tie and ballast work remains to be completed before trains heading to Oakland and/or Martinez can use the crossover.

The Fiber Optic Cabling project on the SCRRA Sealed Corridor is still in the PS&E phase, which is approximately 40% complete.

Further project information may be found on the accompanying attachment.

### **BACKGROUND**:

Proposition 1B was passed by the California voters on November 7, 2006. The Guidelines for the IRI were adopted on December 13, 2007, and provides \$400 million, upon appropriation by the Legislature, to the California Department of Transportation (Department) for intercity passenger rail improvement projects. A minimum of \$125 million is designated for procurement of additional intercity passenger railcars and locomotives.

This \$400 million program is part of the \$4 billion Proposition 1B Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA). This Account is to be used to fund public transportation projects. Pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) of section 8879.50 of the Government Code, the Department is the administrative agency for PTMISEA.

At its December 2007 meeting, the Commission approved the guidelines for intercity passenger rail projects in the PTMISEA. At its February 2008 meeting, the Commission approved the list of Proposition 1B intercity rail projects to be funded in the IRI.

### California Department of Transportation Proposition 1B Intercity Rail Improvement (IRI)

IRI Quarterly Delivery Report Third Quarter FY 2009-10 January 1 - March 31

					Project S	Schedule (1)	Total Intercity I	Rail	Prop 1B (2)					_
		END	END	END	Current	% of	Funding		Funding	Actual	Planned	Actual	Planned	Ī
Corridor	Project Name	PA&ED	PS&E	R/W	Phase	Phase Completed	Request		Allocated	Allocation Date	Allocation Date	Contract to Construct	Contract to Construct	Note
Capitol Corridor,														1
Pacific Surfliner,	Procure New Rail Cars		Jul-10	NA	SPEC	95%	\$ 150,000,000				May-11		Jun-11	(3)
San Joaquin														
Pacific Surfliner, Metrolink	Commerce/Fullerton Triple Track				CON	75%	\$ 70,000,000	\$	32,000,000	Aug-08	Aug-08	Feb-09	Feb-09	(4)
Pacific Surfliner, Metrolink	New Station Track at LA Union Station		Jul-10	NA	PS&E	90%	\$ 35,100,000	\$	21,800,000	Apr-08	Apr-08		Jun-10	(5)
Pacific Surfliner	San Onofre - Pulgas Track Project in San Diego County	Dec-10	Jun-11	NA	PA&ED	2%	\$ 30,000,000	\$	3,146,000	Jan-10	Dec-09		Sep-11	(5)
Capitol Corridor, San Joaquin	Sacramento Maintenance Facility	Dec-10	Sep-11	Dec-11	PA&ED	30%	\$ 30,000,000				Dec-13		Jun-13	
Pacific Surfliner, Coast Daylight	Coast Daylight Track and Signal	Jan-13	Jul-12	NA	PA&ED	0%	\$ 25,000,000				Jun-12		Dec-12	(5)
San Joaquin	Fresno Layover Facility	Jun-14	Apr-16	Oct-17	PA&ED	0%	\$ 9,601,000				Jun-13		Nov-17	
San Joaquin	Kings Park Track and Signal Improvements				CON	63%	\$ 8,500,000	\$	8,500,000	Aug-08	Aug-08	Oct-08	Aug-08	
Pacific Surfliner	Santa Margarita Bridge and Double Track				CON	5%	\$ 16,206,000	\$	16,206,000	Apr-08	Apr-08	Aug-08	Sep-09	
Capitol Corridor, San Joaquin	Emeryville Station and Track Improvements				CON	5%	\$ 10,000,000	\$	10,000,000	May-08	May-08	Sep-08	Aug-08	
Capitol Corridor	Bahia Benicia Crossover				CON	65%	\$ 4,750,000	\$	4,750,000	Apr-08	Apr-08	Sep-08	Aug-08	
Metrolink	SCRRA Sealed Corridor				PS&E	40%	\$ 3,000,000	\$	3,000,000	Apr-08	Apr-08	Aug-08	Aug-08	
San Joaquin	Merced Crossover	D FROM I	PROGRAI	VI	\$ 5,000,000			4		•		(6)		

### Explanation of Notes

- (1) Measure of progress based on current phase for entire project regardless of funding type
- (2) Total amounts programmed and/or allocated from Prop 1B bond funds authorized for Intercity Rail Improvement purposes
- (3) 1B funding on hold pending outcome of ARRA grant funding request
- (4) 1B funds partially allocated, with additional 1B funding on hold pending outcome of ARRA grant funding request
- (5) 1B funds for Design & Construction
- (6) Merced Crossover was removed from the IRI program and replaced with the Kings Park project by Resolution ICR1B-P-0809-01

### Acronyms Used

SPEC Specification Development

PA&ED Preliminary Approval (Preliminary Design Engineering) and Environmental Documents

PS&E Plans, Specification and Estimates

R/W Right-of-Way

CON Construction

CTC California Transportation Commission

ARRA American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009



# FY 2009-10 Third Quarter Report Trade Corridors Improvement Fund

Quarterly Report to the California Transportation Commission



# Trade Corridors Improvement Fund Progress Report

### **SUMMARY**:

This report covers the third quarter of Fiscal Year (FY) 2009-10 for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF) program. As of the close of the third quarter, 75 projects have had baseline agreements approved by the California Transportation Commission (Commission).

To ensure transparency and accountability, a TCIF Bond Accountability Plan has been developed, and the 75 projects with executed baseline agreements are listed on the TCIF Bond Accountability website. The remaining four projects are the most complicated projects that require agreements with railroads and/or private developers prior to approval of the project baseline agreements. The Department is working with these project sponsors to help resolve their issues.

During this reporting period, the Commission approved two (2) amendments. In addition two (2) projects completed major milestones. Some projects are experiencing schedule delays due to unexpected delays in phase completion and funding uncertainties related to the Proposition 1B bond sales, but progress continues to be made to deliver and implement the adopted TCIF program.

### **CURRENT STATUS:**

### Project Amendments

There were two (2) amendments approved during the quarter.

				Projects With Approved Ame	ndments			
Project Number	Dist	County	Agency	Project Name	Total Project Cost (x1000)	TCIF Cost (x1000)	Begin Const	End Const
68	11	SD	SANDAG	SR 11/Otay Mesa East POE	\$708,820	\$75,000	04/01/13	03/31/16
77	11	SD	IMP	Brawley Bypass/SR 78/11	\$76,564	\$49,549	08/20/10	02/20/12

### Projects Allocated But Not Awarded

To date, bond allocation has been made for one project for which construction contracts have not been awarded (see table below).

				Projects Allocated But Not A	warded			
Project Number	Dist	County	Agency	Project Name	Target Date For Award	Date Allocated	Begin Const	End Const
9	3	SAC	SAC	Sacramento Intermodal Track Relocation	Apr 10	Dec 9, 09	May 10	Dec 11

### **Projects Under Construction**

There are five projects currently under construction, (see table below).

				Projects Under	Constru	uction				
Project Number	Dist	County	Agency	Project Name	TCIF Program	Const Match	Total Const.	Begin Const.	End Const.	% Comp.
42	8	RIV	Riverside	Columbia Avenue Grade Separation	\$6,000	\$19,450	\$25,450	Sep 08	Dec 09	76
44	8	RIV	Riverside	Magnolia Avenue Grade Separation	\$20,000	\$6,800	\$26,800	Feb 10	Jan 12	7
66	7	VEN	Oxnard	US 101 Rive Avenue Interchange	\$30,449	\$30,449	\$60,898	Mar 09	Sep 11	0
67	7	SD	Caltrans	State Route 905	\$91,605	\$3,495	\$95,100	Jul 09	Jul 12	17
58	8	SBD	Rialto	I-10 Corridor at Riverside	\$14,096	\$20,004	\$34,000	Jan 10	Jan 12	0
				Total	\$162,150	\$80,198	\$242,248			

### Projects Without Executed Baseline Agreements

- Project 2 Martinez Subdivision/Richmond Rail Connector (Contra Costa County)

  This project is undergoing revised environmental and engineering assessments.
- Project 7 Shafter Intermodal Rail (Kern County)
  The City of Shafter is moving forward with project development. Consultants are working on finalizing a business plan that will provide economic information to be used to develop potential railroad operating agreements.
- Project 13 San Joaquin Valley Short Haul Rail (Stanislaus County)

  This project is delayed due to the economic downturn and pending litigation.

### **BACKGROUND:**

The Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006, approved by the voters as Proposition 1B on November 7, 2006, provided \$2 billion for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF). In its TCIF Guidelines, the Commission recognized the need for goods movement improvements far exceed the amount authorized in the TCIF program, that other funding sources should be explored, and that delivery challenges could limit project funding. The Commission supported increasing TCIF funding by approximately \$500 million from the State Highway Account to fund state-level priorities that are critical to goods movement. The Commission programmed about 25 percent more than the \$2.0 billion authorized by Proposition 1B with the intent of fully funding these projects from federal funds, container fees, and other potential future funding sources.

On April 10, 2008, the Commission adopted 79 projects into the TCIF adopted program of projects. The projects, as amended, have a current recommended TCIF funding level of \$3.0 billion and an overall project value of \$8.6 billion.

The Commission put forth an accountability implementation plan that incorporates provisions from Proposition 1B, the Governor's Executive Order S-02-07, and Government Code Section 8879.50, et seq. (Chapter 181, Statutes of 2007 [Senate Bill 88]). The accountability plan emphasizes transparency and accountability throughout the lifetime of a project. One of the most significant accountability actions taken by the Commission, in its program adoption actions, is the expectation that bond funding will be limited to the cost of construction. As with other Proposition 1B programs, the Commission requires that project baseline agreements be developed and executed by the project sponsor(s), the California Department of Transportation, and the Commission's Executive Director.

PROJECT	DISTRICT	COUNTY	NOMINA TED BY	$ROUT_{\overline{E}}$		END ENVIRONMENTA	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	BEGIN CONSTRUCTIO	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$SCOP_{\overline{E}}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
1	4	ALA	Port of Oakland		7th Street Grade Separation	09/30/10	12/09/10	11/24/11	11/25/11	Env 75%, Des 10%			
2	4	CC	Port of Oakland		Martinez / Richmond Rail Connector	Baseline Aç Developme	greement Und nt	ler					
3	4	ALA	Port of Oakland		Outer Harbor Intermodal Terminals	04/30/10	12/09/10	11/24/11	11/25/11	Env 75%, Des 10%			
4	4	ALA	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	880	I-880 Reconstruction 29th Avenue and 23rd Avenue		12/01/11	04/01/12	08/01/12	Env. 100%	V	V	V
5	4	ALA	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	580	I-580 Eastbound Truck Climbing Lane	01/31/10	08/01/11	08/01/11	02/01/12	Env. 95%	<b>√</b>	V	$\checkmark$
6	6	KER	Caltrans / BNSF		Tehachapi Corridor Rail Improvement	06/01/10	12/01/11	N/A	03/01/12	Env. 95%, Des. 95%	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\checkmark$
7	6	KER	City of Shafter		Shafter Intermodal Rail Facility	Awaiting Ba	iseline Agreen	nent					
8	3	PLA	Caltrans / UP		Track and Tunnel at Donner Summit	Withdrawn	by Sponsor						
9	3	SAC	City of Sacramento		Sacramento Track Relocation				01/02/10	Env. 100%, Des. 100%, RW 100%	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	<b>V</b>	V
10	10	SJ	San Joaquin Council of Governments		State Route 4 West Crosstown Freeweay Extension	11/01/10	02/01/13	01/01/13	06/01/13	Env. 79%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
11	10	SJ	Port of Stockton / Contra Costa County		San Francisco Bay to Stockton Channel Deepening	09/22/11	03/01/12	06/01/12	06/01/12	Env. 79%	$\triangleright$	<b>V</b>	V
12	4	SOL	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	80	I-80 Eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales		05/01/12	05/01/12	10/01/12	Env. 100%, Des. 20%,	V	V	V

PROJECT	DISTRICT			$ROUT_{\overline{E}}$		END ENVIRONMENTAI	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	BEGIN CONSTRUCTION.	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$SCOP_{\overline{E}}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
13	10	STA	Stanislaus County		San Joaquin Valley Short Haul Rail	Awaiting Ba	iseline Agreen	nent					
14	3	YOL	Port of Sacramento		Sacramento River Deep Water Channel	07/31/10		N/A	08/01/10	Env. 45%, Des. 100%,	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	X
15	7	LA	Alameda Corridor East Construction Authority		San Gabriel Valley Grade Separation	12/01/09	12/01/10	11/01/10	04/01/11	Env. 95%, Des. 30%,	V	V	<b>V</b>
16	7	LA	Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority	47	Schuyler Heim Bridge	12/08/08	06/01/10	10/01/10	04/01/11	Env. 95%,	V	V	X
17	7	LA	City of Santa Fe Springs		Valley View Avenue Grade Separation		06/01/08	06/01/09	10/01/09	Env. 100%, Des. 78%, RW 70%	V	$\checkmark$	×
18	7	LA	Southern California Regional Rail Authority		New Siding on the Antelope Valley Line		04/30/09	N/A	9/30/09 5/20/10	Env. 100%, Des. 60%	V	$\checkmark$	×
19	7	LA	Port of Los Angeles	47/ 110	I-110 Access Ramp Improvement	08/30/09	03/31/11	N/A	09/01/11	Env. 64%, Des. 27%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X
20	7	LA	Port of Los Angeles	110	C Street Access Ramp Improvements	08/30/09	03/31/11	N/A	03/01/12	Env. 64%, Des. 27%	$\checkmark$	$\overline{\checkmark}$	X
21	7	LA	City of Commerce		Washington Boulevard Widening	07/01/08	07/01/08	06/01/08	05/01/09	Env. 98%, Des. 0%	V	<b>V</b>	X
22	7	LA	Port of Los Angeles		South Wilmington Blvd. Grade Separation		07/01/10	N/A	01/01/11	Env. 100%, Des. 80%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
23	7	LA	Port of Long Beach	710	Gerald Desmond Bridge Replacement	06/01/09	09/01/10	09/01/10	12/01/10	Env. 75%	$\checkmark$	X	X
24	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Pier F Support Yard		09/01/10	N/A	10/01/10	Env. 100%, Des. 70%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X

PROJECT	DISTRICT	COUNTY	NOMINA TED BY	$ROUT_{\overline{E}}$	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	END ENVIRONMENTAI	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	BEGIN CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$SCOP_{E}$	$BUDGE_{T}$	SCHEDULE
25	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Ocean Blvd. Track Realignment		09/01/10	N/A	10/01/10	Env. 100%, Des. 70%	$\checkmark$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	X
26	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Pier B Street Realignment	04/01/10	05/01/12	N/A	06/01/12	Env. 25%, Des. 25%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X
27	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Terminal Island	06/01/10	05/01/11	N/A	06/01/11	Env. 25%, Des. 25%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X
28	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Reconfigure Control Point		03/01/10	N/A	04/01/10	Env. 100%, Des. 15%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X
29	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Reeves Ave. Grade Separation	04/01/10	09/01/12	09/01/12	10/01/12	Env. 25%, Des. 15%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X
30	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Navy Mole Storage Yard	06/01/10	11/01/12	11/01/12	12/01/12	Env. 25%, Des. 15%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X
31	7	LA	Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority		Ports Rail - New Cerritos Rail Bridge	12/01/10	01/01/13	01/01/13	06/01/13	Env. 5%	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	$\checkmark$
32	7	LA	Port of Los Angeles		Ports Rail - West Basin Road Rail		09/01/09	N/A	04/01/10	Env. 100%, Des. 80%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X
33	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Pier 400 Second Lead Track	Withdrawn	by Sponsor						
34	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority	91	State Route 91 Connect Lanes Between State Route 57/I-5	04/01/10	08/01/12	07/01/12	12/01/12	Env. 90%, Des. 30%		<b>√</b>	V
35	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		State College Blvd. Grade Separation	07/01/10	03/01/13	08/01/12	04/01/13	Env. 85%, Des. 90%	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	V
36	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		Placentia Avenue Undercrossing		09/01/10	03/01/10	10/01/10	Env. 100%, Des. 90%, RW 75%,	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>V</b>

PROJECT	DISTRICT	COUNTY	NOMINA TED BY	$ROUT_{\overline{E}}$	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	END ENVIRONMENTAL	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	BEGIN CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$SCOP_{E}$	$BUDGE_{T}$	SCHEDULE
37	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		Orangethorpe Avenue Grade Separation		06/01/13	10/01/12	07/01/13	Env. 100%, Des. 20%	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	V
38	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		Kraemer Boulevard Undercrossing		12/01/10	05/01/10	01/01/11	Env. 100%, Des. 75%, RW 20%	V	<b>✓</b>	$\checkmark$
39	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		Raymond Avenue Grade Separation		03/01/13	10/01/12	04/01/13	Env. 100%	V	V	$\checkmark$
40	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		Lakeview Avenue Overcrossing		06/01/11	12/01/10	07/01/11	Env. 100%, Des. 35%, RW 25%	V	V	$\checkmark$
41	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		Tustin Avenue / Rose Drive Overcrossing		06/01/13	10/01/12	07/01/13	Env. 100%, Des. 25%	<b>V</b>	V	V
42	8	RIV	City of Riverside		Columbia Avenue Grade Separation			03/01/08	09/01/08	Env. 100%, Des. 100%, RW 98%, Const. 86%	<b>V</b>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
43	8	RIV	City of Corona		Auto Center Drive Grade Separation		09/01/08	11/01/08	07/01/09	Env. 100%, Des. 95%, RW 20%	V	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\boxtimes$
44	8	RIV	City of Riverside		Magnolia Avenue Grade Separation			06/01/09	02/01/10	Env. 100%, Des. 100%, RW 86%, Const.11%	<b>▼</b>	<b>V</b>	$\checkmark$
45	8	RIV	City of Riverside		Iowa Avenue Grade Separation		03/01/10	03/01/10	07/01/10	Env. 100%, Des. 50%, RW 25%	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	X
46	8	RIV	City of Banning		Sunset Avenue Grade Separation	12/01/09	07/01/11	07/01/11	01/15/12	Env. 72%	V	V	X

PROJECT	DISTRICT	COUNTY	NOMINA TED BY	$ROUT_{E}$	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	END ENVIRONMENTAI	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	BEGIN CONSTRUCTIO.	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$SCOP_{E}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
47	8	RIV	City of Riverside		Streeter Avenue Grade Separation		10/01/11	10/01/11	01/01/12	Env. 100% Des 2% ROW 2%	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
48	8	RIV	Riverside County		Avenue 56 Grade Separation	12/31/10	06/29/12	03/30/12	12/28/12	Env. 31%, Des. 0%	$\overline{V}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
49	8	RIV	Riverside County		Avenue 66 Grade Separation	12/31/10	06/29/12	03/30/12	12/28/12	Env.35%, Des. 10%	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
50	8	RIV	Riverside County		Clay Street Grade Separation	04/30/10	06/30/11	09/30/11	03/30/12	Env. 55%, Des. 8%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X
51	8	RIV	City of Riverside		Riverside Avenue Grade Separation	06/01/09	03/01/11	03/01/11	12/01/11	Env. 95%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X
52	8	RIV	City of Riverside		3rd Street Grade Separation	09/01/09	01/01/12	01/01/12	04/01/12	Env. 49%	V	V	X
53	8	RIV	Riverside County		Magnolia Avenue Grade Separation	09/30/10	12/30/11	03/29/13	09/30/13	Env. 22%, Des. 0%	ightharpoons	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
54	8	RIV	City of Riverside	215	March Airport Access Improvement		08/26/11	08/11/11	01/02/12	Env. 100%, Des. 40%, RW 15%	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	V	<b>V</b>
55	8	SBD	San Bernardino Association of Governments	15/ 215	I-5 Widening and Devore Interchange	08/01/11	07/01/13	06/01/13	11/01/13	Env. 15%	<b>▼</b>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
56	8	SBD	San Bernardino Association of Governments	10	I-10 Corridor Logistics Access at Cherry Avenue		12/31/10	04/01/11	08/01/11	Env. 100%, Des. 29%, RW 4%	$\overline{V}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
57	8	SBD	San Bernardino Association of Governments	10	I-10 Corridor Logistics Access at Citrus Avenue		12/31/10	12/31/10	04/01/11	Env. 100%, Des. 29%, RW 3%	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
58	8	SBD	San Bernardino Association of Governments	10	I-10 Corridor Logistics Access at Riverside Avenue				01/01/10	Env. 100%, Des. 100%, RW 100%	<b>✓</b>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

PROJECT	DISTRICT	COUNTY	NOMINA TED BY	$ROUT_{E}$	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	END ENVIRONMENTAI	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	BEGIN CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$SCOP_{E}$	BUDGET	SCHEDULE
59	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		Glen Helen Parkway Grade Separation	06/30/08	09/30/10	09/01/09	11/01/10	Env. 99%, Des. 20%	<b>V</b>	$\checkmark$	×
60	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		North Milliken Avenue Grade Separation		05/31/09	06/15/09	06/30/09	Env. 100%, Des. 95%, RW 85%	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	X
61	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		South Milliken Avenue Grade Separation	12/31/09	12/31/11	06/30/12	12/01/12	Env. 95%	<b>V</b>	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	X
62	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		Valley Boulevard Grade Separation	Withdrawn	by Sponsor						
63	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		Palm Avenue Grade Separation	12/30/09	06/30/12	06/30/12	07/01/12	Env. 75%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>
64	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		Lenwood Road Grade Separation	10/01/10	01/01/12	12/01/11	04/01/12	Env. 5%, Des. 8%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
65	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		Vineyard Avenue Grade Separation	12/31/09	12/31/11	06/30/12	12/01/12	Env. 95%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	×
66	7	VEN	City of Oxnard	101	US 101 Rice Avenue Interchange				03/01/09	Env. 100%, Des. 100%, RW 100%	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>
67	11	SD	San Diego Association of Governments	905	State Route 905				07/13/09	Env. 100%, Des. 100%, RW 100% Const. 17%	<b>✓</b>	X	<b>V</b>
68	11	SD	San Diego Association of Governments	11	SR 11/Otay Mesa East Port of Entry	03/31/10	12/31/12	12/31/12	04/01/13	Env. 50%	V	V	<b>V</b>

PROJECT	DISTRICT			$ROUT_{\overline{E}}$		END ENVIRONMENTA	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	BEGIN CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$SCOP_{ar{E}}$	$BUDGE_{T}$	SCHEDULE
69	11		Port of San Diego	5/15	Bay Marina Drive Grade Separated Improvements	08/05/10	12/29/11	12/29/11	06/14/12	Env. 36%	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	$\checkmark$
70	11	SD	Port of San Diego	5	10th Avenue Grade Separated Improvements	04/19/11	05/23/13	05/23/13	11/07/13	Env. 26%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
71	11	SD	Port of San Diego	5	32nd Street at Harbor Drive Grade Separated Improvements	12/29/11	05/23/13	05/23/13	11/07/13	Env. 19%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
72	11	SD	Port of San Diego	5	Civic Center Drive at Harbor and I-15	08/05/10	12/29/11	12/29/11	06/14/12	Env. 36%	$\checkmark$	X	$\checkmark$
73	11	LA	Port of San Diego		National City Terminal Improvement	02/28/10	02/28/10	02/28/10	05/30/11	Env. 25%, Des. 3%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	X
74	11	SD	San Diego Association of Governments		Southline Rail Improvements Yard	01/01/11	07/01/12	07/01/12	01/04/13	Env. 60%, Des. 10%	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
75	11	SD	San Diego Association of Governments		Southline Rail Improvements Mainline	04/01/10	10/01/11	N/A	04/02/12	Env. 100%, Des. 20%	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>
76	11	SD	San Diego Association of Governments		LOSSAN N Rail Corridor at Sorrento	12/01/09	03/01/11	N/A	09/01/11	Env. 37%, Des. 52%	V	<b>✓</b>	V
77	11	IMP	Imperial Valley Association of Governments	78	Brawley Bypass State Route 78/111		04/16/10	02/25/10	08/20/10	Env. 100%, Des. 88%, RW 87%	<b>\</b>	<b>√</b>	$\checkmark$
78	5	MON	Monterey County	101	San Juan Road Interchange		08/12/12	04/01/12	01/11/13	Env.100%	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
79	8	SBD	Caltrans / BNSF / UP		Colton Crossing Flyover	Deleted by	CTC action at	March 2010	) meeting.				
80	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		South Archibald Grade Separation	12/31/09	06/30/11	06/30/12	12/01/12	Env. 95%	<b>V</b>	<b>√</b>	X

PROJECT	DISTRICE			ROUTE	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	END ENVIRONMENTAL	END DESIGN	END RIGHT OF WAY	BEGIN CONSTRUCTION	CURRENT PHASE (% COMPLETE)	$SCOP_{E}$	$BUDGE_{\mathcal{T}}$	SCHEDULE
81	10	SJ	Northern California Trade Corridors Coalition		Sperry Road Extension		03/01/11	07/01/10	05/01/11	Env. 100%, Des. 90%, RW 10%	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
82	4	CC	Northern California Trade Corridors Coalition		Marina Bay Parkway Grade Separation	06/01/09	10/11/10	10/11/10	12/21/10	Env. 90%, Des. 20%	<b>V</b>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
			Phase Complete										
			Behind Schedule										
			Allocated but Not	Awarde	d								
			Awarded										
		$\checkmark$	No Known Scope,	Budget	, or Schedule Impact								
		X	Known Scope, Bu	dget, or	Schedule Impact (Amendment)								
		<b>•</b>	Potential Schedule	e Impac	t (Corrective Action Report)								

1 PROJECT	P DISTRICT NUMBER	COUNTY	NOMINATED BY	ROUTE	7th Street Grade Separation	TOTAL PROJECT COST (K1,000) \$220,504	LCOS/LCOS/LCOS/LCOS/LCOS/LCOS/LCOS/LCOS/	ENVIRONMENTAL COST	\$7,020 \$7,020	86'9\$************************************	CONSTRUCTION COST	SLNJAWWOO Amendment reduced TCIF funding by \$65
												million from \$175 million to \$110 million, 12/9/09.
2	4	CC	Port of Oakland		Martinez / Richmond Rail Connector	\$35,000	\$17,500	Baseline Ag Developme		Jnder		
3	4	ALA	Port of Oakland		Outer Harbor Intermodal Terminals	\$274,296	\$131,889	\$38,984	\$15,500		\$219,812	Amendment increased TCIF funding \$21.889 million from \$110 million to \$131.889 million, 12/9/09
4	4	ALA	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	880	I-880 Reconstruction 29th Avenue and 23rd Avenue	\$96,787	\$73,000	\$4,200	\$7,387	\$5,200	\$70,600	
5	4	ALA	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	580	I-580 Eastbound Truck Climbing Lane	\$64,265	\$64,265	\$2,490	\$5,140	\$5	\$51,000	
6	6	KER	Caltrans		Tehachapi Corridor Rail Improvement	\$112,700	\$54,000	\$3,700	\$1,000	\$0	\$108,000	
7	6	KER	City of Shafter		Shafter Intermodal Rail Facility	\$30,000	\$15,000	Awaiting Ba	aseline Agr	eement		
8	3	PLA	Caltrans/UP		Track and Tunnel at Donner Summit	Withdrawn by S	Sponsor					

PROJECT	DISTRICT	COUNTY	NOMINA TED BY	$ROUT_{\mathcal{E}}$	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TOTAL PROJECT COST (x1,000)	TCIF COST (x 1,000)	ENVIRONMENTAL COST	DESIGN COST	RIGHT OF WAY COST	CONSTRUCTION COST	COMMENTS
9	3	SAC	City of Sacramento		Sacramento Track Relocation	\$56,850	\$25,266	\$2,000	\$4,984	\$0	\$44,600	Allocation \$25.266 million, 12/9,09.
10	10	SJ	San Joaquin Council of Governments	4	State Route 4 West Crosstown Freeweay Extension	\$193,640	\$96,820	\$4,000	\$10,500	\$41,600	\$122,308	
11	10	SJ	Port of Stockton / Contra Costa County		San Francisco Bay to Stockton Channel Deepening	\$141,447	\$17,500	\$3,668	\$1,000	\$800	\$135,979	
12	4	SOL	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	80	I-80 Eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales	\$100,900		\$5,800	\$17,700	\$2,600	\$64,700	
13	10	STA	Stanislaus County		San Joaquin Valley Short Haul Rail	\$57,434	\$22,467	Awaiting Ba	aseline Agr	eement		
14	3	YOL	Port of Sacramento		Sacramento River Deep Water Channel	\$83,275	\$10,000	\$3,275	\$0	\$30,000	\$50,000	
15	7	LA	Alameda Corridor East Construction Authority		San Gabriel Valley Grade Separation	\$723,566	\$336,600	\$0	\$34,100	\$21,770	\$667,696	
16	7	LA	Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority	47	Schuyler Heim Bridge	\$687,000	\$158,000	\$14,000	\$34,000	\$86,000	\$553,000	
17	7	LA	City of Santa Fe Springs		Valley View Avenue Grade Separation	\$75,177	\$25,570	\$0	\$0	\$10,640	\$64,537	
18	7	LA	Southern California Regional Rail Authority		New Siding on the Antelope Valley Line	\$14,700	\$7,200	\$0	\$1,500	\$0	\$13,200	

PROJECT	DISTRICT			$ROUT_{\mathcal{E}}$	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TOTAL PROJECT COST (x1,000)	<sup>TCIF</sup> COST (x 1,000)	ENVIRONMENTAL COST	DESIGN COST	RIGHT OF WAY COST	CONSTRUCTION COST	COMMENTS
19	7	LA	Port of Los Angeles	47/110	I-110 Access Ramp Improvement	\$50,719	\$14,700	\$859	\$4,168	\$0	\$42,892	
20	7	LA	Port of Los Angeles	110	C Street Access Ramp Improvements	\$29,281	\$8,300	\$660	\$2,550	\$0	\$23,980	
21	7	LA	City of Commerce		Washington Boulevard Widening	\$32,000	\$5,800	\$0	\$2,083	\$3,678	\$26,239	
22	7	LA	Port of Los Angeles		South Wilmington Blvd. Grade Separation	\$73,060	\$17,000	\$0	\$4,284	\$0	\$63,816	
23	7	LA	Port of Long Beach	710	Gerald Desmond Bridge Replacement	\$1,125,200	\$250,000	\$29,300	\$37,000	\$110,800	\$948,100	
24	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Pier F Support Yard	\$24,140	\$10,000	\$1,020	\$2,670	\$0	\$20,450	
25	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Ocean Blvd. Track Realignment	\$65,840	\$27,000	\$1,020	\$8,250	\$0	\$56,570	
26	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Pier B Street Realignment	\$30,260	\$4,180	\$3,150	\$3,950	\$0	\$23,160	
27	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Terminal Island	\$12,850	\$3,790	\$1,430	\$1,830	\$0	\$9,590	
28	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Reconfigure Control Point	\$26,460	\$10,000	\$1,050	\$5,010	\$0	\$20,400	
29	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Reeves Ave. Grade Separation	\$108,760	\$24,570	\$11,160	\$19,310	\$0	\$78,290	
30	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Navy Mole Storage Yard	\$32,960	\$6,000	\$2,510	\$4,280	\$0	\$26,170	
31	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - New Cerritos Rail Bridge	\$155,600	\$38,330	\$9,500	\$13,500	\$18,900	\$113,700	
32	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - West Basin Road Rail	\$125,340	\$51,230	\$6	\$5,141	\$0	\$120,193	

PROJECT	DISTRICT			$ROUT_{\overline{E}}$	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TOTAL PROJECT COST (x1,000)	<sup>TCIF</sup> COST (x 1,000)	ENVIRONMENTAL COST	DESIGN COST	RIGHT OF WAY COST	CONSTRUCTION COST	COMMENTS
33	7	LA	Port of Long Beach		Ports Rail - Pier 400 Second Lead Track	Withdrawn by	Sponsor					
34	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority	91	State Route 91 Connect Lanes Between State Route 57/I-5	\$73,400	\$34,950	\$3,500	\$5,387	\$4,676	\$52,400	
35	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		State College Blvd. Grade Separation	\$62,083	\$30,731	\$5,315	\$5,315	\$14,889	\$36,564	
36	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		Placentia Avenue Undercrossing	\$39,369	\$14,934	\$2,000	\$1,966	\$10,269	\$25,134	
37	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		Orangethorpe Avenue Grade Separation	\$83,957	\$41,666	\$4,557	\$5,241	\$21,749	\$52,410	
38	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		Kraemer Boulevard Undercrossing	\$45,910	\$22,642	\$3,354	\$3,354	\$3,260	\$35,942	
39	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		Raymond Avenue Grade Separation	\$63,739	\$12,757	\$3,337	\$3,337	\$25,025	\$32,040	
40	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		Lakeview Avenue Overcrossing	\$58,525	\$28,685	\$2,494	\$2,868	\$24,478	\$28,685	
41	12	ORA	Orange County Transportation Authority		Tustin Avenue / Rose Drive Overcrossing	\$63,400	\$31,700	\$2,894	\$3,328	\$23,893	\$33,285	
42	8	RIV	City of Riverside		Columbia Avenue Grade Separation	\$34,050	\$6,000	\$143	\$1,657	\$6,800	\$25,450	

PROJECT	DISTRICT			$ROUT_{ar{E}}$	PROJECT DESCRIPTION		<sup>TCIF</sup> COST (x 1,000)	ENVIRONMENTALCOCT	DESIGN COST	RIGHT OF WAY COST	CONSTRUCTION COST	COMMENTS
43	8	RIV	Riverside County Transportaion Commission/Corona		Auto Center Drive Grade Separation	\$32,000	\$16,000	\$630	\$1,370	\$2,720	\$27,280	
44	8	RIV	City of Riverside		Magnolia Avenue Grade Separation	\$52,960	\$20,000	\$160	\$2,500	\$23,500	\$26,800	
45	8	RIV	City of Riverside		Iowa Avenue Grade Separation	\$32,000	\$13,000	\$500	\$1,500	\$5,500	\$24,500	
46	8	RIV	City of Banning		Sunset Avenue Grade Separation	\$36,500	\$10,000	\$400	\$4,100	\$1,100	\$30,900	
47	8	RIV	City of Riverside		Streeter Avenue Grade Separation	\$36,800	\$15,500	\$1,500	\$1,000	\$14,300	\$20,000	
48	8	RIV	Riverside County		Avenue 56 Grade Separation	\$60,000	\$10,000	\$800	\$2,800	\$8,500	\$47,900	
49	8	RIV	Riverside County		Avenue 66 Grade Separation	\$33,500	\$10,000	\$700	\$2,300	\$6,500	\$24,000	
50	8	RIV	Riverside County		Clay Street Grade Separation	\$37,350	\$12,500	\$1,125	\$4,325	\$2,000	\$29,900	
51	8	RIV	City of Riverside		Riverside Avenue Grade Separation	\$30,300	\$8,500	\$500	\$2,000	\$12,800	\$15,000	
52	8	RIV	City of Riverside		3rd Street Grade Separation	\$40,161	\$17,500	\$661	\$1,500	\$10,000	\$28,000	
53	8	RIV	Riverside County		Magnolia Avenue Grade Separation	\$81,750	\$13,700	\$1,780	\$4,220	\$3,880	\$71,870	
54	8	RIV	City of Riverside	215	March Airport Access Improvement	\$97,550	\$10,000	\$3,550	\$5,500	\$7,000	\$81,500	
55	8	SBD	San Bernardino Association of Governments	15/ 215	I-15 Widening and Devore Interchange	\$368,553	\$118,012	\$7,075	\$16,773	\$42,810	\$302,095	

PROJECT	DISTRICT			$ROUT_{\overline{E}}$	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TOTAL PROJECT COST (X1,000)	TCIF COST (x 1,000)	ENVIRONMENTALCOC	DESIGN COST	RIGHT OF WAY COST	CONSTRUCTION COST	COMMENTS
56	8	SBD	San Bernardino Association of Governments	10	I-10 Corridor Logistics Access at Cherry Avenue	\$77,806	\$30,773	\$935	\$5,822	\$9,503	\$61,546	
57	8	SBD	San Bernardino Association of Governments	10	I-10 Corridor Logistics Access at Citrus Avenue	\$54,457	\$23,600	\$0	\$2,000	\$5,257	\$47,200	
58	8	SBD	San Bernardino Association of Governments	10	I-10 Corridor Logistics Access at Riverside Avenue	\$34,000	\$14,096	\$0	\$1,885	\$2,470	\$29,645	
59	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		Glen Helen Parkway Grade Separation	\$26,868	\$7,172	\$0	\$2,650	\$3,000	\$21,218	
60	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		North Milliken Avenue Grade Separation	\$74,210	\$6,490	\$557	\$3,000	\$4,750	\$65,903	
61	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		South Milliken Avenue Grade Separation	\$76,115	\$8,031	\$750	\$2,000	\$3,736	\$69,629	
62	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		Valley Boulevard Grade Separation	Withdrawn by	Sponsor					
63	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		Palm Avenue Grade Separation	\$35,176	\$9,390	\$750	\$2,000	\$1,126	\$31,300	
64	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		Lenwood Road Grade Separation	\$25,075	\$6,694	\$0	\$2,760	\$743	\$21,572	

PROJECT	DISTRICT			$ROUT_{\mathcal{E}}$	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TOTAL PROJECT COST (x1,000)	<sup>TCIF</sup> COST (x 1,000)	ENVIRONMENTAL COST	DESIGN COST	RIGHT OF WAY COST	CONSTRUCTION COST	COMMENTS
65	8	LA	San Bernardino Association of Governments		Vineyard Avenue Grade Separation	\$44,517	\$6,884	\$750	\$2,000	\$8,402	\$33,365	
66	7	VEN	City of Oxnard	101	US 101 Rice Avenue Interchange	\$86,899	\$30,449	\$1,226	\$3,253	\$21,522	\$60,898	
67	11	SD	San Diego Association of Governments	905	State Route 905	\$104,700	\$91,605	\$0	\$500	\$0	\$95,100	
68	11	SD	San Diego Association of Governments	11	State Route 11/Otay Mesa East Port of Entry	\$708,820	\$75,000	\$7,400	\$42,690	\$72,000	\$53,800	
69	11	SD	Port of San Diego	5	Bay Marina Drive Grade Separated Improvements	\$2,380	\$910	\$80	\$130	\$330	\$1,570	
70	11	SD	Port of San Diego	5/15	10th Ave Grade Separated Improvements	\$67,200	\$30,910	\$2,150	\$3,760	\$8,990	\$45,300	
71	11	SD	Port of San Diego	5	32nd Street at Harbor Drive Grade Separated Improvements	\$118,460	\$50,665	\$4,400	\$7,750	\$12,000	\$78,800	
72	11	SD	Port of San Diego	5	Civic Center Drive at Harbor and I-15	\$3,260	\$1,150	\$100	\$180	\$650	\$2,130	
73	11	LA	Port of San Diego		National City Terminal Improvement	\$34,300	\$15,000	\$1,050	\$3,250	\$0	\$30,000	
74	11	SD	San Diego Association of Governments		Southline Rail Improvements Yard	\$40,460	\$25,900	\$540	\$1,810	\$12,210	\$25,900	
75	11	SD	San Diego Association of Governments		Southline Rail Improvements Mainline	\$107,030	\$98,060	\$220	\$8,750	\$0	\$98,060	

OJEGT	DISTRICT	COUNTY	NOMINATED BY	$ROUT_{\overline{E}}$	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TOTAL PROJECT COST (x1,000)	TCIF COST (x 1,000)	ENVIRONIMENTAL COST	DES/GN COST	RIGHT OF WAY COST	CONSTRUCTION COST	COMMENTS
E S	ĺά	၂ ႘	<u> </u>	\rac{R_C}{1}	<u> </u>	128	128		<u> </u>	K K		9
76	11		San Diego Association of Governments		LOSSAN N Rail Corridor at Sorrento	\$23,700	\$10,800		\$500	\$600	\$21,000	
77	11	IMP	Imperial Valley Association of Governments	78	Brawley Bypass State Route 78/111	\$76,564	\$49,549	\$1,206	\$6,500	\$15,400	\$44,998	
78	5	MON	Monterey County	101	San Juan Road Interchange	\$90,600	\$28,325	\$4,700	\$5,000	\$27,600	\$46,200	
79	8	SBD	Caltrans		Colton Crossing Flyover	Deleted by CTC	Caction at March	n 2010 meet	ing.			
80	8	,	San Bernardino Association of Governments		South Archibald Grade Separation	\$58,406	\$7,658	\$750	\$2,000	\$1,346	\$54,310	
81	10		Northern California Trade Corridors Coalition		Sperry Road Extension	\$63,000	\$30,000	\$1,000	\$5,000	\$7,000	\$50,000	
82	4	CC	Northern California Trade Corridors Coalition		Marina Bay Parkway Grade Separation	\$37,950	\$18,975	\$3,015	\$4,043	\$1,573	\$29,319	
					TOTALS	\$8,395,821	\$2,862,892					

Red denotes a change for this quarter.

## TCIF Project Action Plan Report Third Quarter FY 2009-10

Each project in the program is being monitored at the component level for potential scope, cost, and schedule changes to ensure timely delivery of the full scope as approved and adopted. Listed below are project action plans that have been identified to address identified scope, cost or schedule issues on projects.

### 1. Program amendments that were approved by the Commission during the past quarter.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
68	11	SD		SR 11/Otay Mesa East POE	\$75,000	\$708,820	
77	11	IMP		Brawley Bypass/SR 78/11	\$49,549	\$76,564	

### **Project Action Plan:**

TCIF-P-0910-007 (Brawley Bypass)

TCIF-P-0910-04 (SR 11/Otay Mesa East POE)

### 2. Program changes that will require Commission action; plan has been submitted for preparing an amendment.

### None

### 3. Program changes that will require Commission action, preparation of a plan has just started.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
14	3	YOL	N/A	Sacramento Deep Water Channel	10,000	83,275	Env,
							Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

This project was delayed because the environmental document has taken the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) longer than anticipated. The revised schedule is now shows the FEIR/EIS completed in the summer of 2011 and construction to commence immediately. However, the USACE expects the project to be completed by its original estimated completion date: Summer 2013. The End Environmental phase date has slipped from 07/31/10 to 07/31/11 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 08/1/10 to 08/1/11.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
16	7	LA	47	Schuyler Heim Bridge	158,000	687,000	Schedule

### **Project Action Plan:**

The Environmental Document was approved on May 19, 2009. The variance from the original scheduled completion was due to the fact that a Health Risk Assessment needed to be prepared and which required additional time to complete the Environmental Process. The Environmental Document was approved. The expressway portion of the project (TCIF funds) is going to be delayed two years from the fall of 2010 to the fall of 2012, to settle and mitigate a legal challenge to the project.

	Ū	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
•	17	7	LA	N/A	Valley View Avenue Grade Separation	25,570	75,177	Design, ROW, Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

Final design was delayed by ten months in order to accommodate changes in the relocation of certain utilities. As a result, right-of-way requirements had to be revised and amended offers had to be made to the affected property owners. Additionally, the project schedule has been impacted because condemnation proceedings had to be initiated by the City of Santa Fe Springs. The City is preparing to request an amendment to the Baseline Agreement.

The End Design date has slipped from 06/01/08 to 06/01/10 and the End ROW date has slipped from 06/01/09 to 03/01/10 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 10/01/09 to 10/01/10.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
18	7	LA	N/A	New Siding on the Antelope Valley Line	7,200	14,700	Design,
							Const.

During environmental clearance, critical habitat for California coastal Gnatcatcher was discovered causing delays to the project. The End Design date has slipped from 04/30/09 to 05/20/10 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 09/30/09 to 08/6/10. Project completion now projected to be 2/15/11.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
19	7	LA	47/ 110	I-110 Access Ramp Improvement	14,700	50,719	Env, Design, Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

This project is also called the John S. Gibson project. The End Environmental date has slipped from 08/30/09 to 05/24/11, the End Design date has slipped from 03/31/11 to 11/04/11, and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 09/01/11 to 06/04/12.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
20	7	LA	110	C Street Access Ramp Improvements	8,300	40,300	Env.

### **Project Action Plan:**

To better evaluate the collective traffic impacts of these projects, a detailed traffic model incorporating all of the projects was developed, requiring significant time to complete. Additional structural analyses to design non-standard retaining walls along I-110 to facilitate the ramp widening weren't anticipated in original design/required additional geotechnical studies. Additional environmental studies were determined to be necessary to address historic resources discovered on the project. Project delays incurred thus far not recoupable/later delays will be investigated to see if options exist for recovering some of the schedule. This has caused the End Environmental date to slip from 08/30/09 to 09/20/10, the End Design date to slip from 03/31/11 to 11/28/11. Begin Construction date will move forward from 03/1/12 to 9/10/12.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
21	7	LA	N/A	Washington Boulevard Widening	5,800	32,000	Env,
							Design,
							ROW,
							Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

The current project schedule has fallen behind adopted schedule due to an unforeseen need to develop a full EIR. Preparation of the EIR has caused a delay in the completion of the environmental phase. The design, right of way, and construction phase dates have been updated accordingly. The City of commerce expects the EIR to be adopted in May 2010 and forecasts construction to begin by March 2011. Schedule Variance is as follows: End Environmental date has slipped from 07/01/08 to 05/01/10, End Design date has slipped from 7/01/08 to 1/01/11, End ROW date has slipped from 06/01/08 to 01/01/11 and Begin Construction date has slipped from 05/01/09 to 03/01/11. As previously agreed, the City will request a formal schedule modification from the CTC after the EIR has been adopted when the City can accurately identify start and end dates for PS&E, Right of Way and Construction.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
23	7	LA	710	Gerald Desmond Bridge Replacement	250,000	1,125,200	Env, Design, ROW, Const.

The Project Report and Environmental Document (PAED) are targeted for completion by October of 2010. A health risk assessment study is being prepared which is a new requirement on port projects. The End Environmental date has slipped from 06/01/09 to 10/08/10, the End Design date has slipped from 09/01/10 to 07/09/13, the End ROW date has slipped from 09/01/10 to 02/19/13 and the Begin Construction has slipped from 12/01/10 to 10/22/13.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
24	7	LA	N/A	Ports Rail – Pier F Support Yard	10,000	24,140	Design,
							Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

The project is delayed due to utility relocation works. The End Design date has slipped from 09/01/10 to 12/15/10 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 10/01/10 to 04/15/11.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
25	7	LA	N/A	Ports Rail – Ocean Boulevard Track	27,000	65,840	Design,
				Realignment			Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

The project is delayed due to utility relocation works. The End Design date has slipped from 09/01/10 to 12/15/10 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 10/01/10 to 04/15/11.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
26	7	LA	N/A	Ports Rail – Pier B Street Realignment	4,180	30,260	Env,
							Design,
							Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

The project is delayed due to changes in the EIR and a required traffic study. The End Environmental date has slipped from 04/01/10 to 03/15/11, the End Design date has slipped from 05/01/12 to 09/15/12 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 06/01/12 to 12/15/12.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
27	7	LA	N/A	Ports Rail – Terminal Island	3,790	12,850	Env, Design, Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

The project is delayed due to changes in the EIR and various permits required. The End Environmental date has slipped from 12/30/10 to 4/30/11, the End Design date has slipped from 05/01/11 to 12/30/11. Begin construction date is 1/1/12.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
28	7	LA	N/A	Ports Rail – Reconfigure Control Point	10,000	26,460	Design, Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

The project is delayed due to complexity of railroad signal design work. The End Design date has slipped from 03/01/10 to 12/30/10. Begin construction date is 1/11/11.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
29	7	LA	N/A	Ports Rail – Reeves Avenue Grade Separation	24,570	108,760	Env,
							Design, ROW,
							Const.

The project is delayed due to changes in the EIR and various permits required. The End Environmental date has slipped from 12/30/10 to 4/30/11 the End Design date has slipped from 12/30/12 to 5/1/13, the End ROW date has slipped from 09/01/12 to 06/01/13 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 10/01/12 to 06/01/13.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
30	7	LA	N/A	Ports Rail – Navy Mole Storage Yard	6,000	32,960	Env, Design, ROW, Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

The project is delayed due to changes in the EIR and various permits required. The End Environmental date has slipped from 12/30/10 to 4/30/11, the End Design has slipped to 5/1/13, the End ROW date has slipped from 11/01/12 to 05/01/13 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 12/01/12 to 06/01/13.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
32	7	LA	N/A	Ports Rail – West Basin Road Rail	51,230	125,340	Design,
							Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

The project Begin Construction date has been delayed from the original schedule of 04/1/10 to account for unforeseen utility conflicts. The port is now in the process of coordinating the utility relocation / protection design and construction with affected parties and has revised the project. Begin Construction slipped to 06/1/11 to account for this additional effort.

I	D	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
4	13	8	RIV	N/A	Auto Center Drive Grade Separation	16,000	32,000	Design, ROW, Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

This project was delayed because authorization to proceed with the Right of Way (ROW) from Caltrans was not approved until September 2009. The Design phase progress was also slowed down because of ROW delays. The project manager is working closely with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to avoid any conflicts between the Prado Dam Auxiliary Dike and the City of Corona's grade separation. The End Design date has slipped from 09/1/08 to 03/14/10, the End ROW date has slipped from 11/01/08 to 06/28/10 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 07/01/09 to 07/26/10.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
45	8	RIV	N/A	Iowa Avenue Grade Separation	13,000	32,000	Env,
							Design,
							ROW,
							Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

The ROW phase was delayed nearly nine months by the addition of PNRS funds. This change in the project funding triggered the need to amend the Alameda Corridor East (ACE) Plan for Riverside County and subsequent reprogramming of funds to be consistent with the amended ACE Plan. This delay was unanticipated and unavoidable as the City of Riverside had to rely on available funds to move forward with the ROW phase of the project. The Construction is delayed accordingly and is currently scheduled to begin in March 2011. The End ROW date has slipped from 03/01/10 to 10/01/10 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 07/01/10 to 03/01/11.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
52	8	RIV		3 <sup>rd</sup> Street Grade Separation	17,500	40,161	Env,
							Design,
							ROW,
							Const.

The Environmental phase has been delayed due to a project redesign that was necessitated as a result of the abandonment of a Union Pacific Railroad crossing, located approximately 300 feet to the east of the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railroad crossing. The schedule has additionally been affected by the on-going coordination with the RCTC's Perris Valley Line commuter rail project which goes through the 3<sup>rd</sup> Street crossing. The delays in the Environmental and Design phases have subsequently caused delays in the ROW and construction phases. The End Environmental date has slipped from 09/01/09 to 03/01/11, the End Design date has slipped from 01/1/12 to 07/01/12, the End ROW date has slipped from 01/01/12 to 07/01/12 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 04/01/12 to 10/01/12.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
59	8	RIV		Glen Helen Parkway Grade Separation	7,172	26,868	Env,
							Design
							ROW
							Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

This project was delayed due to design modifications in coordination with adjacent TCIF interchange project (Devore I-15/215 project) and environmental impact avoidance. The End Environmental phase date has slipped from 06/30/08 to 04/30/10, the End Design phase date has slipped from 09/30/10 to 01/31/11, the End ROW phase date has slipped from 09/01/09 to 01/31/11 and the Begin Construction phase date has slipped from 11/01/10 to 05/01/11.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
60	8	RIV		North Milliken Avenue Grade Separation	6,490	74,210	Design, ROW, Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

The design phase is 95% complete. SANBAG will be amending the Baseline Agreement for the project. The project is scheduled to begin construction in July 2010. The End Design phase date has slipped from 05/31/09 to 03/01/10, the End ROW date has slipped from 06/15/09 to 07/01/10 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 06/30/09 to 07/01/10.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
61	8	RIV		South Milliken Avenue Grade Separation	8,031	76,115	Env, Design, ROW, Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

The environmental phase was delayed over six months due to negotiations with Union Pacific Railroad on the preferred rail-highway grade separation configuration. The City of Ontario is finalizing the environmental phase and is ready to immediately initiate the design phase. The City will be working with SANBAG to amend the Baseline Agreement for the project. The End Environmental date has slipped from 12/31/09 to 06/30/10, the End Design date has slipped from 12/31/11 to 6/30/12, the End ROW date has slipped from 06/30/12 to 6/310/13 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 12/01/12 to 12/31/13.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
65	8	RIV		Vineyard Avenue Grade Separation	6,884	44,517	Env, Design, ROW, Const.

The environmental phase was delayed over six months due to negotiations with Union Pacific Railroad on the preferred rail-highway grade separation configuration. The City of Ontario is finalizing the environmental phase and is ready to immediately initiate the design phase. The City will be working with SANBAG to amend the Baseline Agreement for the project. The End Environmental date has slipped from 12/31/09 to 06/30/10, the End Design date has slipped from 12/31/11 to 06/30/12, the End ROW date has slipped from 06/30/12 to 06/30/13 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 12/01/12 to 7/01/13.

ID	D	Co.	Rte.	Project Title	Bond \$ x1000	Total \$ x1000	Variance
80	8	RIV		South Archibald Grade Separation	7,658	58,406	Env, Des, ROW, Const.

### **Project Action Plan:**

The environmental phase was delayed over six months due to negotiations with Union Pacific Railroad on the preferred rail-highway grade separation configuration. The City is Ontario is finalizing the environmental phase and will be working with SANBAG to amend the Baseline Agreement for the project. The End Environmental date has slipped from 12/31/09 to 02/28/10, the End Design date has slipped from 06/30/11 to 02/28/12, the End ROW date has slipped from 06/30/12 to 12/31/12 and the Begin Construction date has slipped from 12/01/13.